AARHUS UNIVERSITY

Coversheet

This is the accepted manuscript (post-print version) of the article.

Contentwise, the accepted manuscript version is identical to the final published version, but there may be differences in typography and layout.

How to cite this publication

Please cite the final published version:

Lauring, J., & Jonasson, C. (2018). Can leadership compensate for deficient inclusiveness in global virtual teams? *Human Resource Management Journal*, 28(3), 392-409. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12184>

Publication metadata

Title:	Can leadership compensate for deficient inclusiveness in global virtual teams?
Author(s):	Lauring, J., & Jonasson, C.
Journal:	<i>Human Resource Management Journal</i>
DOI/Link:	10.1111/1748-8583.12184
Document version:	Accepted manuscript (post-print)

General Rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

If the document is published under a Creative Commons license, this applies instead of the general rights.

Can leadership compensate for deficient inclusiveness in global virtual teams?

Although the number of global virtual teams has been growing rapidly, it is still a great challenge to achieve internal collaboration across geographic, cultural, and linguistic barriers. Two factors that have been identified to improve productivity are inclusive group attitudes in the team and the right leadership from the team leader. While there are strong indications that each of these concepts would have a favorable effect on team member performance, we set out to explore how they function in combination. More specifically, we hypothesize that inspirational motivation from a team leader can compensate for a lack of inclusive group attitudes in the form of team openness to language diversity. We also predict the positive effects of 'inspirational motivation' leadership to be stronger than those of the 'management by exception' style of leadership. Using responses from 174 team members and their 23 team leaders in the R&D department of a Danish manufacturing organization, we confirm our hypotheses. This provides clear guidelines for HRM interventions in organizations using global virtual teams.

Keywords: Global virtual teams, Language, Inspirational motivation leadership, Management by exception leadership, Transformational leadership, Diversity, Inclusive group attitudes

INTRODUCTION

A central element in managing human resources is to create a work environment where motivated employees strive to do their best to advance organizational performance (Kuvaas *et al.*, 2014). While this was traditionally a concern of a more or less detached specialist HRM function, activities have gradually been devolved to line managers and supervisors closer to the operations (Bos-Nehles *et al.*, 2013; Gilbert and Winne, 2015; Purcell and Hutchinson, 2007).

The use of line managers in HRM activities has been argued to set a 'softer' and more people-oriented course emphasizing leadership, culture, communication, and motivation (Cook *et al.*, 2016; Thornhill and Saunders, 1998). Using this approach, line managers play a vital role in

aligning the efforts of their team members with centrally formulated HRM initiatives (Gilbert and Winne, 2015). The more people-oriented style of line managers has to a great extent influenced perceptions on how to mobilize and manage performance in global virtual teams where direct contact is rarely possible (Harvey *et al.*, 2004). In this context, the team leader is an example of a line manager that makes HRM come to life through appraising, developing, involving, and communicating over a geographical, cultural, and linguistic distance (Cardy and Miller, 2015; Scott and Wildman, 2015).

While a growing body of virtual team literature is emerging, there are few studies assessing the role of leadership in virtual teams that are also globally dispersed (see however Davis and Bryant, 2003). With regard to *domestic* virtual teams, Dionne, Yammarino, Atwater, and Spangler (2004) have argued that inspirational motivation leadership can, in particular, support virtual teamwork outcomes by creating shared goals and thus facilitate a sense of direction. Purvanova and Bono (2009) similarly argue that this type of leadership strengthens the use of communication to motivate team members to reach a common goal. This is very important in virtual teams where interaction is less natural due to the dependence on technology mediated communication. The technology based interaction often leaves team members feeling more distant and anonymous (Hambley *et al.*, 2007; Hoyt and Blascovich, 2003) as well as confused and without a clear sense of purpose (Harvey *et al.*, 2004). Accordingly, inspirational motivation leadership may be particularly useful in virtual teams given the difficulty of developing a collective sense of direction at a distance.

Apart from leadership, group functioning is described as central for teams (Homan and Greer, 2013). This is because teamwork is characterized by team member interdependence. Therefore

internal communication among team members will often assist in clarifying the shared goals and organizing the ensuing task execution (Mohr *et al.*, 2012). For this to work well, the group needs to be socially coherent with inclusive attitudes that allow individuals to work as a team (Brahm and Kunze, 2012; Homan and Greer, 2013). Such inclusive attitudes, however, will be less attainable when work groups are highly culturally and linguistically diverse as is the case for *global* teams. For example, negative emotions, rather than inclusive group attitudes, have been found to result from differences related to culture and language among team members in multinational teams (Stahl *et al.*, 2010; Tenzer and Pudelko, 2015). In essence, *global virtual* teamwork involves greater physical distance and less natural communication (due to the nature of virtual teams) as well as difficulties achieving inclusive group attitudes resulting from linguistic and cultural heterogeneity (due to the nature of working globally) (Davis and Bryant, 2003; Homan and Greer, 2013; Shin *et al.*, 2012). These conditions pose novel challenges to the role of team leaders in managing human resources. They also make leadership particularly important in global virtual teams because one cannot expect the group to manage internal communication under such circumstances. It is therefore more relevant to focus on the role of the leader making up for poor group dynamics rather than the other way around.

While other inclusive group attitudes (e.g. openness to cultural differences) are also important, the central role of language in a global virtual team has been emphasized (Hinds *et al.*, 2014; Klitmøller *et al.*, 2015). This can be related to such teams having to communicate mainly via different electronic media (e.g. videoconference, telephone, and email) rather than through direct face-to-face interaction. It has therefore been argued that the virtual environment reduces the significance of culture while increasing the role of language (Shachaf, 2008). Hence, using different languages becomes a great concern in this environment as no translational cue from

physical interaction can be registered. For example, Tenzer and Pudelko (2016) found that language created collaboration difficulties in multilingual virtual teams that were not found in monolingual virtual teams. Also, Luring and Klitmøller (2015) found that language-based collaboration problems increased when teamwork took place in a virtual context compared to face-to-face interaction. We therefore see openness to language diversity as central to teamwork in a global virtual setting.

Although both inspirational motivation leadership and openness to language diversity may have constructive effects on team member performance, it is unknown how they work in combination. To gain a greater understanding of this relationship, we take as our departure theories of superordinate goals in social interaction (Fay *et al.*, 2006; Neuberg, 1989; Sherif, 1958). Based on this, we propose a model on the connection between inclusive attitudes and leadership in global virtual teams.

This article begins with a consideration of the role of inclusive attitudes and leadership in global virtual teams. It then elaborates on a theoretical explanation for how inspirational motivation (transformational leadership) can compensate for lack of inclusiveness in the form of openness to language diversity and why the effect of management by exception (transactional leadership) will be weaker. We argue that using inspirational motivation allows the team leader to provide superordinate goals that will give the global virtual team members a shared direction in their work. In this way, team members will not need to possess openness to language diversity to facilitate internal communication, and this will become less important for their performance. The theoretical explanation forms the basis of the hypotheses tested in the study.

CONCEPTUALIZATION AND THEORETICAL DEVELOPMENT

Inclusive group attitudes

Global virtual teams are groups of geographically dispersed team members that are connected using telecommunication and information technologies to accomplish a variety of tasks (Kirkman and Mathieu, 2005). Another defining characteristic of such teams is diversity on multiple dimensions such as culture and linguistics (Zellmer-Bruhn and Gibson, 2006). The combination of spatial dispersion and cultural and linguistic heterogeneity reduces team cohesion which is generally known to have negative consequences for group functioning and individual performance (Homan and Greer, 2013; Shin *et al.*, 2012). However, certain inclusive group attitudes have been found to alleviate the unfavorable results of team diversity, namely a shared openness and tolerance towards internal dissimilarities (Chavez and Weisinger, 2008; McKay *et al.*, 2009). In this regard, Sawyer *et al.* (2005) define inclusive group attitudes in diverse teams as awareness and acceptance of both the similarities and the differences that exist among group members. Such favorable attitudes could assist in reducing the disadvantages of demographic heterogeneity (Hobman *et al.*, 2004; Homan *et al.*, 2008). Inclusive group attitudes have been argued to be even more important in virtual teams due to the documented need for a positive social atmosphere (Coppola *et al.*, 2004) and for creating feelings of equality and connectedness across a distance (Boros *et al.*, 2010). Finally, such attitudes have been shown to be especially effective in socially fragmented settings with weak norms, as in the case of virtual teams (Klein *et al.*, 2011). As global virtual teams work together without physical proximity, the positive group attitudes are often directed particularly towards the norms for internal communication and language use (Klitmøller and Luring, 2013).

Openness to language diversity

Openness to language diversity should here be conceived of as team attitudes to internal communications that allow others to take part in the dialogue regardless of inherent language differences, such as variations in accents and in language proficiency levels. Studies have shown that such acceptance may be particularly important because having to communicate in a common language, other than one's own native language, and even where proficiency levels are high, is a nuisance leading to reduced and more shallow interaction (Lauring and Selmer, 2010; Volk *et al.*, 2014). Moreover, communication will be biased towards those individuals who speak one's own native language (Hinds *et al.*, 2014). Openness to language diversity may thus be critical to global virtual team functioning because it enhances individuals' willingness to communicate internally and potentially diminishes team internal subgroup-formation based on variations in language use. However, these inclusive practices do not always materialize (Hobman *et al.*, 2004). In such cases, more direction may be needed from the team leader.

Inspirational motivation and management by exception

The transformational and transactional model has been seminal in leadership research (Bass and Riggio, 2006). Here, transformational leadership has been described as a style of leadership where the leader works with subordinates in creating a vision to guide change through inspiration (Schippers *et al.*, 2008). This style of leadership is comprised of idealized charismatic influence, inspirational motivation, individualized consideration, and intellectual stimulation. In general terms, transformational leadership has been argued to be effective for mobilizing human resources in distance management (Li *et al.*, 2012; Purvanova and Bono, 2009).

Transformational leadership has often been contrasted with transactional leadership, which is comprised of the 'management by exception' and 'contingent reward' dimensions (Bass and

Riggio, 2006). Transactional leadership does not focus on creating a shared goal to guide subordinates. Instead, it entails an exchange between leader and follower based on control of goal attainment. Transactional types of team leadership have generally been found to be less effective in a virtual context (Purvanova and Bono, 2009; Ruggieri, 2009). This may well be because transactional leadership does not support team cooperation, which is challenging but necessary for performing job tasks in virtual teams (Huang *et al.*, 2010).

In this study, we do not include all transformational and transactional leadership dimensions. Instead we have chosen to focus on the one element in each of these leadership styles that we find most relevant for examining how leaders influence functions in global virtual teams. As a transformational leadership dimension, we include inspirational motivation. This type of leadership relates to the team leader's guidance of team members by proactively articulating a shared goal for the team thus providing meaning through a mental image (Chun *et al.*, 2009; Dionne *et al.*, 2004; Kearney and Gebert, 2009; Schippers *et al.*, 2008).

There are several reasons to include inspirational motivation in the study of global virtual teams. Among the different transformational leadership dimensions, inspirational motivation has often been applied when examining leadership in teams and the promotion of team climate (e.g. Aydin *et al.*, 2013; Bass and Riggio, 2006; Huang *et al.*, 2010; Voon *et al.*, 2010). For example, Hirst, van Dick, and van Knippenberg (2009) argue that inspirational motivation leadership helps to build team members' sense of collective value and mobilizes team identification. As inspirational motivation espouses collective aims, it renders the team and its goals more salient (van Knippenberg and Hogg, 2003). This helps team members prioritize their goals and channel individual effort (Khoo and Burch, 2008; Pirola-Merlo *et al.*, 2002).

With regard to work group heterogeneity, inspirational motivation leadership is also of particular relevance. In cognitively diverse teams, Shin et al. (2012) argue that this type of leadership helps individuals that are exposed to a high level of team member dissimilarity to proactively utilize team resources. For example, through inspirational motivation, team leaders can help team members to focus on the task, i.e. searching for the different perspectives and ideas among each other. Finally, inspirational motivation has been used in a number of studies on virtual teams (e.g. Dionne *et al.*, 2004; Purvanova and Bono, 2009). This leadership style has, for example, been found to improve task cohesion (Huang *et al.*, 2010) and team formation (Davis and Bryant, 2003) at a distance. In relation to this, Avolio, Waldman, and Yammarino (1991) argue that a specific feature of inspirational motivation is that it can produce individual effort and performance even when the leader is absent. Accordingly, to gain a better understanding of leader influence in global virtual teams, a good starting point is to investigate inspirational motivation leadership.

In order to contrast the effects of inspirational motivation leadership, we also include the management by exception dimension of transactional leadership. Management by exception entails that a leader only takes corrective action when performance criteria are not met, or something goes wrong; but does not give any directions if the present way of working seems functional (Lowe *et al.*, 1996). Management by exception is often used when the leader is forced to have less active involvement with followers, e.g. due to geographical distance (Antonakis and Atwater, 2002). We have chosen this particular dimension of transactional leadership because studies show that, for example compared to contingent reward, management by exception focuses mainly on monitoring problems that may arise (Avolio *et al.*, 1999). This makes the dimension

more relevant as a contrast to inspirational motivation which is dealing with the group in a proactive motivational manner. Leaders using management by exception do very little to inspire and unite employees in striving for a shared team goal (Bass and Avolio, 1993).

Superordinate goals

We propose that a link between inclusive group attitudes, inspirational motivation leadership, and team member's performance can be explained by theories of superordinate goals. Here, it is suggested that proactive inspiring leaders can help create goals which are compelling to all group members and can be attained only through joint forces (Sherif, 1958). Sherif (1958) argues that such goals become superordinate due to their meaningfulness for the entire group. Subgroup goals or personal goals then become less important. Instead, group members are united in a shared effort to fulfill the superordinate goal. In line with this, Neuberg's (1989) theorizing on goals in social interaction suggests that providing clear and shared task goals may not change group members' natural tendencies for discrimination towards each other, yet may still override the effects of them. This is because clear and appealing goals redirect the attention of team members from the social environment to the joint work task. More specifically, Neuberg's (1989) theory suggests that a 'piecemeal' cognitive process operates while people form impressions of others. It is argued that a person takes in information about other persons one bit at a time and composes a profile of these individuals based on the unique set of observed characteristics. While categorization, countering openness to diversity, is theorized to be the primary process in initial person perception, what Neuberg (1989) terms 'individuation' has been shown to follow under certain conditions; for example, when strong goals for task accuracy are present. In other words, if the individual knows exactly what to do with information coming from other dissimilar individuals, motivated by shared goals, he or she will be less likely to engage with cognitive cues

about dissimilarity in categorization processes, and will instead apply his or her energy to solving the immediate task.

While not relying directly on Neuberg's (1989) theory, Tenzer and Pudelko (2015) theoretically connect leadership and language diversity through shared goals. They argue that leaders can counteract negative emotions caused by language diversity by redirecting attention from the negative situation. They further demonstrate that this can be done by highlighting shared goals. More specifically, it is argued that if the members of a global virtual team focus on a common professional objective, language differences retreat into the background.

Based on this, we propose that inspirational motivation leadership proactively helps to provide superordinate goals which can make individual performance less dependent on language-related inclusive group attitudes in the global virtual team. This is because the individual, despite the disadvantages of language diversity, will primarily focus on achieving the group's superordinate goals with the support of the inspiring leader. We do not expect that the management by exception style of leadership will promote superordinate goals as it is directed reactively towards the individual's performance. Therefore, the team will not be united in the joint work towards a superordinate goal.

HYPOTHESES

Openness to language diversity

Useful results on inclusive attitudes have been found at the individual level (e.g. Hobman *et al.*, 2004) and the team level (e.g. Homan *et al.*, 2008). However, examining how team level diversity

attitudes affect individuals, as we do here, should demonstrate how context can influence the behavior of a single person (e.g. McKay *et al.*, 2009). This is relevant because not all individuals respond similarly to the same group environment, and because the social organization of the group can have an important influence on individual outcomes (Shin *et al.*, 2012). Accordingly, we assess how inclusive attitudes at the group level influence individual level performance.

With regard to the effect of openness to language diversity on team member performance, Luring (2008) describes how individuals often group together along language boundaries in multinational contexts. In consequence, language differences determine which individuals are isolated and which are included. Hence, individuals in teams that generally have developed negative attitudes to variance in language use can be restricted in their interaction and collaboration with speakers of other languages (Hinds *et al.*, 2014). This behavior is likely to cut off team members from valuable information about the job held by others in the team (Shin *et al.*, 2012). However, in cases where there is high openness to language diversity, this will enable individuals to communicate with a wide range of different team members and thus allow them to more fully use the value of the group's knowledge and skill variety (Stahl *et al.*, 2010). The improved collaboration will subsequently increase the individual team member's ability to perform his or her job (Luring and Selmer, 2011). We therefore hypothesize:

Hypothesis 1: There is a positive association between a team's openness to language diversity and an individual team member's job performance.

Inspirational motivation leadership

Inspirational motivation leadership has been found to have a direct effect on team member performance (Chun *et al.*, 2009). Leadership, however, has also been found to interact with other variables in many team situations. For example, Jansen, George, Van den Bosch, and Volberda (2008) found that senior directors' involved leadership moderated the relationship between co-located top management teams' social integration and organizational outcomes. Moreover, Purcell and Hutchinson (2007) argue that insufficient HR policies can sometimes be rescued by good leadership. Accordingly, a number of studies exist indicating that leadership, as a moderating factor, can make up for insufficient team processes.

With regard to goal setting, Hunger and Stern (1976) found that a superordinate goal could limit conflicts and discrimination among group members although the sources of frustration continued to aggravate. In a more recent study on health professional teams, it was demonstrated that the creation of superordinate goals worked as the integrating 'glue' to override team differences and discrimination (Fay *et al.*, 2006). Regarding the role of the team leader, Rico, Sánchez-Manzanares, Antino, and Lau (2012) found that team leaders could design superordinate goals that created more bridging practices between team members, which in turn minimized the attention to team differences. Finally, Davis and Bryant (2015) showed inspirational motivation leadership to be particularly important in the early stages of global virtual team formation. This may well occur when team members themselves have not yet learned to overcome barriers to internal communication. Based on the above, we present the second hypothesis:

Hypothesis 2: The relationship between a team's openness to language diversity and an individual team member's job performance is moderated by inspirational motivation

leadership, such that lack of openness to language diversity has a weaker negative effect on performance when inspirational motivation leadership is strong.

Management by exception leadership

Studies have generally found management by exception leadership to have a lower correlation with performance compared to inspirational motivation (Bass, 1997; Lowe *et al.*, 1996).

According to Bass and Avolio (1993), this may be related to management by exception promoting individuals that seek to work as independently from their coworkers as possible. They argue that rather than influencing team members to collaborate to meet a common goal, management by exception will lead to cooperation that is based mainly on individual negotiations. As the team leader rewards the individual effort, the group is not prompted to share information and to communicate on how to solve their tasks. A further distinction between management by exception and inspirational motivation is outlined by Breevaart and colleagues (2014) arguing that leaders who use management by exception lack inspirational appeal and motivational power. The team leader thereby does not directly aim to inspire and motivate team members to follow a superordinate goal. Therefore, this type of leadership behavior is not an optimal compensation for insufficient inclusiveness in a global virtual team (cf. Purvanova and Bono, 2009). We therefore present the last hypothesis:

Hypothesis 3: Compared to inspirational motivational leadership, management by exception has a weaker moderating effect on the relationship between a team's openness to language diversity and an individual team member's job performance so that management by exception will compensate for lack of openness to language diversity to a lesser degree.

METHODS

Data collection

This study used data from virtual R&D teams in a large Danish MNC in the manufacturing sector. Access was granted to survey all 33 virtual R&D teams. Because Gilson et al. (2015) have raised concern about the majority of research on virtual teams being based on self-report instruments, two different English language questionnaires were created: one for the team leaders and one for their team members. Both questionnaires had to be completed electronically. While the team members were asked questions concerning their work outcomes, the team leaders had to answer questions concerning the attitudes and dynamics within the virtual team. In total, 33 team leaders and their 300 team members were invited to participate in the survey. 23 team leaders responded to the study (response rate: 70 %) as well as 220 team members (response rate: 73 %). This made it possible to study 23 teams with between 3 and 13 respondents, forming a total of 174 team members. For the remaining 46 responding team members, we had no data from their team leader, and thus had to leave them out of this study.

Sample

The 174 virtual team members included in this study had an average age of 41.83 years ($SD=9.91$). A clear majority of them was male (80.46 %) and of Danish origin (55.75 %). Chinese born employees accounted for approximately 16 % of the sample. Other well-represented nationalities were American, Hungarian, and German born employees. Teams generally included members from all continents. However, apart from a few exceptions, team members had all experienced a face-to-face meeting with the other team members. The corporate language in the organization is English, but the mean number of languages spoken in the team was 3.2. On average, the team

members had worked for 9.97 years ($SD=9.19$) at the company and for 2.09 years ($SD=2.71$) in their primary virtual team.

The company has a long history in Denmark. However, in the last decades there has been an intensive focus on expanding core activities globally. Among the initiatives taken is creating a global R&D department. This has meant that R&D has gone from being an entirely Danish operation to now being located also in the USA, China, and Hungary. To increase the effectiveness within the new global R&D department, a key strategy has been to utilize global virtual teams to reap the benefits of the international organizational structure.

In the R&D department, all virtual teams have a designated leader and start off with a face-to-face meeting, usually in Denmark. From there on, team members communicate by use of e-mail, virtual meetings, telephone, and Yammer, which is a program that allows individuals to pose questions for the whole team to answer. All employees are organized in a primary virtual team with a designated team leader. However, it is also possible to be connected more loosely to other secondary teams for a longer or shorter duration.

Instrument

Background variables used by other virtual team studies (Gilson *et al.*, 2015; Morris and Venkatesh, 2000) were measured by single direct questions to the team members. For example, “*How old were you at your last birthday?*” (age), “*Are you (1) male (2) female?*” (gender), “*How long have you worked for your company?*” (company tenure), “*How long have you worked in your current primary global virtual team?*” (virtual team tenure), and “*What is your current nationality?*” (nationality). An additional binary nominal variable was introduced to control for the

influence of having a Danish versus having a foreign nationality. All other variables were measured using established multi-item scales and were answered either by the team members or by their respective team leaders. Response categories for all multi-item scales, except for job performance, ranged from (1) "strongly disagree" over (4) "neutral" to (7) "strongly agree".

Team members

Job Performance was assessed by team members using a four-item, seven-point scale by Earley (1987) which was completed only by the team members. Sample item: "*How would you rate your overall performance?*" ($\alpha=.79$). Here, response categories ranged from "poor" (1) over "neutral" (4) to "excellent" (7).

Team leaders

Openness to Language Diversity was assessed by a three-item, seven-point scale originally developed by Luring and Selmer (2012). Instead of the four item version, we used the three item version that was also applied in a study on academic team leaders by Luring, Paunova, and Butler (2015). Team openness to language diversity was rated by the team leaders. Sample item: "*In my team, members enjoy doing jobs with people despite of language barriers*" ($\alpha=.85$). *Inspirational motivation leadership* and *Management by exception* were assessed by the inspirational motivation leadership and management by exception sub-scales from Bass and Avolio's (1997) MLQ S6 index. This was completed by the team leaders. Inspirational motivation leadership was measured using two items: "*I help others find meaning in their work*" and "*I provide appealing images about what we can do*" ($iic=.54$)¹. One item of the original scale had to be removed in order to improve

¹ As Cronbach's *alpha* to a certain extent is a function of the number of items, the inter-item correlation (iic) may be a better measure of reliability for two-item scales with a minimum level of $iic=.25$ (Nunnally, 1978).

the reliability: *“I express with a few simple words what we could and should do”*. *Management by exception* was measured using a three-item, seven-point scale by Bass and Avolio’s (1997). From the original three items, the following two were retained in the scale: *“As long as things are working, I do not try to change anything”* and *“I feel satisfied when my team members just meet agreed-upon standards”* ($\alpha=.57$). This information was also provided by the team leaders.

Analysis

The sample consisted of more than one respondent per global virtual team. For this reason, the data of the 174 team members (level 1) were not statistically independent, as they were nested within their 23 global virtual teams (level 2). The ICC was calculated to be 0.04 for job performance. The full model included an identifying multilevel variable to control for the nested effect, whereas the simplified model did not. The relative fit of the models was evaluated using Akaike’s information criterion (AIC) and the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) where a decrease in the information criterion statistics suggests an improvement in goodness-of-fit. In moving from the simplified model to the full model, the AIC and BIC test statistics decreased from 4381.2 to 4345.8 and 4479.2 to 4415.3 respectively. This suggests an improvement of fit when accounting for the co-dependence of observations on the lower level, thus indicating that a multilevel model should be used to analyze the hypotheses.

RESULTS

Table 1 displays sample means, standard deviations, and Pearson correlations of the variables included in this study at the higher and lower level.

Insert Table 1 about here

Because the present data were multilevel, the hypotheses were formally tested using hierarchical linear modeling (HLM). The Snijders/Bosker R-squared was calculated for both level 1 and level 2. When comparing two models, the Snijders/Bosker R-squared is higher for the model with the greater likelihood (Snijders and Bosker, 2012). The HLM results are displayed in table 2.

*** Insert Table 2 about here***

Model 1 shows the HLM results from regressing job performance on individual-level control variables only. Model 2 regresses the work outcome variable on the individual-level control variables and on the team's openness to language diversity. This model is employed to test Hypothesis 1 which suggests that openness to language diversity within a team is positively related to a team member's job performance. A positive and significant relationship between job performance and openness to language diversity supports Hypothesis 1 ($\beta = .12, p < .05$).

Model 4 additionally regresses the work outcome variables on inspirational motivation leadership and on the interaction term between inspirational motivation leadership and openness to language diversity. This is done in order to test Hypothesis 2 according to which the effect of the team's openness to language diversity on the team member's job performance is moderated by the degree of inspirational motivation leadership. The interaction term is found to be a highly significant negative predictor when model 4 is estimated ($\beta = -.16, p < .05$). Consequently, Hypothesis 2 is supported. Comparing model 3 and model 4, it is clear that management by exception has a weaker moderating effect on the relationship between the team's openness to language diversity and the

individual team member's job performance compared to inspirational motivation leadership. Thus hypothesis 3 is supported.

The AIC, BIC and deviance indicate the goodness-of-fit of the respective models. The model fit improves after including openness to language diversity as a predictor, and even more so after including the remaining level 2 effects (though the fit is best when inspirational leadership is included rather than management by exception). This reflects the fact that all the level 2 effects are estimated to be significant in Model 4. Consequently, the Snijders/Bosker R-squared increases from .10 (Model 1) to .23 (Model 2) and finally to .29 (Model 4).

When estimating Model 4 on work performance, the results show that the positive association between individual job performance and the team's openness to language diversity will be more positive when inspirational motivation leadership is low. Figure 1 illustrates this association: the team's openness to language diversity is related more positively to the team member's job performance when inspirational motivation leadership is lower (-1 s.d., solid line) rather than higher (+1 s.d., dashed line). Accordingly, inspirational motivation leadership has a buffering interaction effect on the relationship between the team's openness to language diversity and the individual team member's job performance.

*** Insert Figure 1 about here***

Simple slope tests indicated that the relationship between the team's openness to language diversity and the team member's individual job performance was significant at low (-1SD: $t=3.04$, $p<.01$)

and medium levels ($t=2.16$, $p<.05$) of inspirational motivation leadership, but not at high levels (+1SD: $t=-0.80$, $p>.1$). The resultant empirical model is depicted in Figure 2.

*** Insert Figure 2 about here***

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to examine the effect of team openness to language diversity on global virtual team member performance and to determine if the team leader's style of leadership affected this relationship. We draw on superordinate goal theory (Neuberg, 1989; Sherif, 1958) to argue that inspirational motivation leadership (a dimension of transformational leadership) can compensate for lacking inclusive attitudes by providing a common goal while this is not the case for management by exception leadership (a dimension of transactional leadership). The empirical study confirmed our theoretical assumptions. This research is important to better understand the possibilities for performance management of team leaders working across geographical, cultural, and linguistic divides.

Theoretical contributions

Using superordinate goal theory (Neuberg, 1989; Sherif, 1958), this study offers a model for understanding the role of leadership style in overcoming a low level of inclusive attitudes with regard to language. Openness to language diversity allows effective internal global team communication but is hard to achieve in a virtual setting. We extend the existing literature by providing a new model for the relation between team inclusive group attitudes and leadership in global virtual teams.

Contribution to the literature on team inclusive group attitudes

A number of studies have explored the effects of team inclusive group attitudes in relation to team and individual level work outcomes (Hobman *et al.*, 2004; Homan *et al.*, 2008). As this body of literature has mainly dealt with domestic team inclusive group attitudes, language has only received scant attention. Our study suggests that openness towards language diversity is important for team members' performance in a global context. This emphasizes the need for team members to be able to accept the challenges of having to communicate in different languages. In a self-report sample of academics, Luring and Selmer (2011) also found a positive association between individual level openness to language diversity and performance. In our study, we use different raters to assess the relation between team openness to language diversity and individual performance in global virtual business teams. Accordingly, we offer more solid evidence for the effects of openness to language diversity on individual performances in a global virtual team context thus supporting existing findings. Our study, however, did not assess the effect of team openness to language diversity on team level performance. As other studies have found positive diversity attitudes to have a general impact also at the team level, it could be speculated that this would also be the case here (Homan *et al.*, 2008; McKay *et al.*, 2009). Nevertheless, to test this, a larger sample would be needed.

Contribution to the literature on leadership in global virtual teams

The current study also responds to calls for more research on leadership in global virtual teams which has been argued to be a neglected area in international HRM (Zander *et al.*, 2012). To add new knowledge to the global virtual team field, we combine results from virtual leadership research with recent findings on global team language management. In domestic virtual team

research, transformational and transactional leadership styles have generally been found to produce different effects with the latter being the least effective (Huang *et al.*, 2010; Purvanova and Bono, 2009; Ruggieri, 2009). In relation to language and leadership, Tenzer and Pudelko (2015) suggest that a leader of a global team can distract the team from language related problems by setting a clearly formulated goal. By combining these insights on different styles of leadership and on language and leadership, we show that in a global virtual context, where a positive communication climate can be difficult to achieve, goal setting from the team leader is highly important. This supports Purcell and Hutchinson's (2007) argument that leadership can make up for insufficiencies in other HRM activities, e.g. related to development of social climate. However, our research shows that not all types of leadership are equally effective in a global virtual team context. While inspirational motivation leadership provided goal setting that compensated for lacking inclusive team attitudes, management by exception had no effect. We suggest that this is because management by exception does not prompt team members to collaborate to meet a shared goal (Bass and Avolio, 1993). Still, it is unclear what type of shared team goals would be most effective. While one may speculate that goals related directly to the problems concerning language diversity would be more productive, Hunger and Stern (1976) have shown that even types of superordinate goals not directed at solving a team's problems can have a positive impact on the group outcomes.

Our findings also respond to several calls made for more research on the relation between leadership and context in virtual teams (Avolio *et al.*, 2014; Huang *et al.*, 2010; Kahai *et al.*, 2012). We demonstrate that there is indeed an interaction between team attitudes as a contextual factor and inspirational motivation leadership in producing more favorable individual level

outcomes. This could be related to the findings by Brahm and Kunze (2012) showing that virtual team trust climate interacts with goal setting in the prediction of team performance.

A more general conclusion in the HRM area is related to the role of line managers in actually being able to compensate for inefficient group dynamics by applying relevant leadership practices. This may be particularly useful in complex situations where the context provides obstacles to employees' opportunities for communicating. Thus, line managers' compensating leadership practices are a relevant addition to 'classic' HRM practices of employee selection, training, and development of internal group norms (cf. Brewster *et al.*, 2015).

Our findings regarding the importance of inspirational motivation leadership in a global virtual team context also add novel insight to the superordinate goal theory. So far, this theory has only been applied in co-located diverse teams (e.g. Gaertner *et al.*, 2000; Hogg, 2015). Our study provides evidence that gathering teams around inspiring shared goals can have positive group effects even at a physical distance. This could be a valuable addition to middle managers' repertoire of approaches to human resource development in global virtual teams. An additional finding that could contribute to develop superordinate goal theory further is that the type of leadership applied can determine the effectiveness of overcoming problems with internal collaboration. Extant research has mainly aimed at documenting an effect of superordinate goals on internal group processes without taking into account specific types of leadership for advancing such goals (e.g. Sherif, 1958; Neuberg, 1989; Fay *et al.*, 2006; Rico *et al.*, 2012). Taking departure from our findings more research could explore the role of certain types of leadership for effectively using superordinate goals setting.

Having targeted global multilingual virtual teams it has been argued that, in comparison with more traditional co-located multilingual teams, differences exist in relation to team dynamics as well as team leadership. When comparing virtual and co-located aspects of teams, it is more difficult to develop shared goals in virtual teams as these teams have less internal communication than co-located teams (Harvey *et al.*, 2004). This could have two consequences for co-located multilingual teams in relation to our results, namely that openness to language diversity would be easier to develop in the first place due to a higher interaction level. And secondly, that there would be less need for a team leader to set goals as stronger internal team processes and joint bonding behavior would better facilitate development and transfer of team goals (Brahm and Kunze, 2012). Additionally, it has been argued that the difference in effectiveness between inspirational motivation leadership and management by exception leadership is more prominent in virtual than in co-located teams (Avolio *et al.*, 2014; Huang *et al.*, 2010; Kahai *et al.*, 2012). Hence, although this could change with the technical circumstances surrounding virtual teams or team member experiences with working in a virtual setting, we predict that our results would not be as clear had we focused on co-located multilingual teams. Moreover, it is likely that our results would be even weaker in a domestic monolingual setting where team openness to language diversity would have little effect thereby rendering the need for compensating leadership practices unnecessary. This could also be true for other types of inclusive group attitudes in global virtual teams. However, due to the scarcity of research on global virtual teams, this proposal needs to be further explored in coming studies. Overall, we suggest that in comparison with domestic co-located teams, the conditions of global virtual teams underpin the importance of specific leadership practices to compensate for lack of team inclusive group attitudes.

Limitations

There are several limitations to the study, theoretical as well as methodological. In relation to the theoretical development, we settled upon specific choices for our research. First, in the theoretical model, we assume a direction of the causality where the global virtual team leader will be able to intervene in case of negative group dynamics – instead of positive group dynamics compensating for poor leadership. To elaborate on this, in co-located teams, good group dynamics and internal communication could lead to a self-managing team with little need for a team leader to provide shared goals (Brahm and Kunze, 2012). However, in a global virtual context, due to combined geographical, cultural, and linguistic distances, constructive group dynamics are often weak, and the leader needs to take over (Davis and Bryant, 2003; Huang *et al.*, 2010). In this regard, Avolio, Kahai, and Dodge (2000) have emphasized the important role of the team leader in a virtual team due to leaner communication making the need for directives all the greater. In other words, while leadership may compensate for insufficient inclusiveness in a global virtual team, it will be much more difficult to rely on the group's internal social climate to compensate for weak team leadership. Still, a worthwhile task in future studies would be to assess the role of positive group dynamics in case of poor global virtual team leadership.

We also made a theoretical decision related to selection of the variables employed. Different dimensions of the MLQ S6 could have been applied to represent transformational and transactional leadership. For example, rather than inspirational motivation, we could have used idealized charismatic leadership. According to Barbutto (1997), this styles of leadership implies that the followers view their leader as holding extraordinary power resulting in them showing more loyalty. While idealized charismatic leadership may also be relevant for global virtual team research, we have chosen not to focus on loyalty towards the leader. Instead, with inspirational

motivation, we assess leader influence that develops a shared direction for the team. This is because the creation of such a shared direction is an overarching challenge in a global virtual team and therefore also an object for much research (Brahm and Kunze, 2012; Davis and Bryant, 2003). Moreover, instead of management by exception leadership, we could also have selected the other transactional leadership variable, namely contingent reward leadership. However, contingent reward is often found to have an overlap with transformational leadership (including inspirational motivation) rather than transactional leadership and would as such be less useful for showing a contrast to inspirational motivation leadership (Bass, 1997; Lowe *et al.*, 1996; Palmer *et al.*, 2001). While we, for good theoretical and practical reasons, selected only one transformational and one transactional leadership dimension, further research should try to incorporate the full MLQ index to assess variance among the different types of leadership styles.

With regard to our applied methods, our study is also not without its limitations. First, the sample size is relatively small. However, this is often the case in team research, and our statistical results are quite unambiguous. Moreover, in connection to the sample size on upper level, we follow the recommendations put forth by Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal (2012) about a minimum of 10-20 clusters for a random effect estimation. Furthermore, we rely on the argumentation done by Bell *et al.* (2014) that small upper level observations are not a problem for accuracy, but rather for achieving desired power which is not a problem in our model. Secondly, we used self-rating of team leaders' leadership behavior and of the employees' job performance. This could be a problem although self-report performance measures have been found to be fairly accurate (Goffin and Gellatly, 2001). This argument is supported by the relatively strong cross-rater effect of openness to language diversity on performance. Hence, self-rating is not perceived to be an important problem in this study. Nonetheless, future studies should improve the exploratory

design of this research by using aggregated team member evaluations for assessing the team leader's behavior and team leader ratings for each individual employee. This, however, could result in other methodological problems such as lower response rates. Especially, it could be difficult to have team leaders assess the performance level for all individual team members.

Implications for managers

As the number of global virtual teams is increasing dramatically these years, our findings are of practical importance for the HRM area. First, the study demonstrates that HRM personnel could engage in training and development activities aimed to increase global virtual teams' openness to language diversity such as diversity awareness training (cf. Kulik and Roberson, 2008). More importantly, however, our results indicate that inspiration motivation leadership offers the needed goal setting to make global virtual teams overcome problems related to insufficient internal communication. Management by exception, however, will not have the same constructive effect. This provides a foundation for the selection, training, and appraisal of team leaders in order to improve the performance management of global virtual teams.

Although global HRM selection procedures have been argued to be fairly proficient in securing managers with technical expertise, less attention has been directed toward leadership styles (cf. Muethel *et al.*, 2012). Our findings suggest that those responsible for selecting team leaders for global virtual teams should focus on individuals prone to an inspirational motivation style of leadership or at least have the abilities and the willingness to develop such qualities. A number of tools exist to assess the personality and leadership style of individual organization members (cf. Den Hartog *et al.*, 2007). These can be applied when promoting team members to become team leaders.

With regard to training and development, a positive result of transformational leadership training techniques has been documented (Barling *et al.*, 1996). In addition to such development programs, training in methods to best exercise inspirational motivation leadership in a global virtual environment could be offered. For example, team leaders could be instructed in how to use video-sharing, instant messaging, and social media, to effectively transmit their leadership with the aim of reinforcing motivational goal setting (Avolio *et al.*, 2014).

Finally, a way to motivate transformational rather than transactional leadership styles among global virtual team leaders would be to assess and reward this type of behavior by incorporating it into HRM appraisal systems (cf. Purcell and Hutchinson, 2007). This could prevent the perception among team leaders that inspirational motivation leadership is merely an extra role behavior.

References

- Antonakis, J., and Atwater, L. (2002). 'Leader distance: A review and a proposed theory'. *Leadership Quarterly*, 13: 6, 673-704.
- Avolio, B., Sosik, J.J., Kahai, S.S., and Baker, B. (2014). 'E-leadership: Re-examining transformations in leadership source and transmission'. *Leadership Quarterly*, 25: 1, 105-131.
- Avolio, B.J., Bass, B.M., and Jung, D.I. (1999). 'Re-examining the components of transformational and transactional leadership using the Multifactor Leadership'. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 72: 4, 441-462.
- Avolio, B.J., Kahai, S., and Dodge, G.E. (2000). 'E-leadership: Implications for theory, research, and practice'. *Leadership Quarterly*, 11: 4, 615-668.
- Avolio, B.J., Waldman, D.A., and Yammarino, F.J. (1991). 'Leading in the 1990s: The four I's of transformational leadership'. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 15: 4, 9-16.
- Aydin, A., Sarier, Y., and Uysal, S. (2013). 'The effect of school principals' leadership styles on teachers' organizational commitment and job satisfaction'. *Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice*, 13: 2, 806-811.
- Barbuto, J.E. (1997). 'Taking the charisma out of transformational leadership'. *Journal of Social Behavior and Personality*, 12: 3, 689-697.
- Barling, J., Weber, T., and Kelloway, E.K. (1996). 'Effects of transformational leadership training on attitudinal and financial outcomes: A field experiment'. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 81: 6, 827-832.
- Bass, B., and Riggio, R.E. (2006). *Transformational leadership*. Mahwah: Lawrence.
- Bass, B.M. (1997). 'Does the transactional-transformational leadership paradigm transcend organizational and national boundaries?'. *American Psychologist*, 52: 2, 130-139.
- Bass, B.M., and Avolio, B. (1997). *Full range leadership development: Manual for the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire*. Palo Alto: Mind Garden.
- Bass, B.M., and Avolio, B.J. (1993). 'Transformational leadership and organizational culture'. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 17: 1, 112-121.
- Bell, B.A., Morgan, G.B., Schoeneberger, J.A., Kromrey, J.D., and Ferron, J.M. (2014). 'How low can you go? An investigation of the influence of sample size and model complexity on point and interval estimates in two-level linear models'. *Methodology*, 10: 1, 1-11.
- Boros, S., Meslec, N., Curseu, P.L., and Emons, W. (2010). 'Struggles for cooperation: Conflict resolution strategies in multicultural groups'. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 25, 539-554.
- Bos-Nehles, A.C., van Riemsdijk, M.J., and Kees, L.J. (2013). 'Employee perceptions of line management performance: Applying the AMO theory to explain the effectiveness of line managers' HRM implementation'. *Human Resource Management*, 52: 6, 861-877.
- Brahm, T., and Kunze, F. (2012). 'The role of trust climate in virtual teams'. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 27: 6, 595-614.
- Breevaart, K., Bakker, A., Hetland, J., Demerouti, E., Olsen, O.K., and Espevik, R. (2014). 'Daily transactional and transformational leadership and daily employee engagement'. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 87: 1, 138-157.

- Brewster, C., Brookes, M., and Gollan, P. (2015). 'The institutional antecedents of the assignment of HRM responsibilities to line managers'. *Human Resource Management*, 54: 4, 577-597.
- Cardy, R.L., and Miller, J.S. (2015). 'Technology: Implications for HRM'. *Advances in Human Performance and Cognitive Engineering Research*, 3: 1, 99-117.
- Chavez, C.I., and Weisinger, J.Y. (2008). 'Beyond diversity training: A social infusion for cultural inclusion'. *Human Resource Management*, 47: 2, 331-350.
- Chun, J.U., Yammarino, F.J., Dionne, S.D., Sosik, J.J., Koo, H., and Moon, H.K. (2009). 'Leadership across hierarchical levels: Multiple levels of management and multiple levels of analysis'. *Leadership Quarterly*, 20: 5, 689-707.
- Cook, H., Mackenzie, R., and Forde, C. (2016). 'HRM and Performance: The vulnerability of soft HRM practices during recession and retrenchment'. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 26: 4, 557-571.
- Coppola, N.W., Hiltz, S.R., and Rotter, N.G. (2004). 'Building trust in virtual teams'. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 47, 95-104.
- Davis, D.D., and Bryant, J.L. (2003). 'Influence at a distance: Leadership in global virtual teams'. *Advances in Global Leadership*, 3: 1, 303-340.
- Den Hartog, D.N., Caley, A., and Dewe, P. (2007). 'Recruiting leaders: an analysis of leadership advertisements'. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 17: 1, 58-75.
- Dionne, S.D., Yammarino, F.J., Atwater, L.E., and Spangler, W.D. (2004). 'Transformational leadership and team performance'. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 17: 2, 177-193.
- Earley, P.C. (1987). 'Intercultural training for managers: A comparison of documentary and interpersonal methods'. *Academy of Management Journal*, 30, 685-698.
- Fay, D., Borrill, C., Amir, Z., Haward, R., and West, M.A. (2006). 'Getting the most out of multidisciplinary teams: A multi-sample study of team innovation in health care'. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 79: 4, 553-567.
- Gaertner, S.L., Dovidio, J.F., Banker, B.S., Houlette, M., Johnson, K.M., and McGlynn, E.A. (2000). 'Reducing intergroup conflict: From superordinate goals to decategorization, recategorization, and mutual differentiation'. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice*, 4: 1, 98-114.
- Gilbert, C., and Winne, S. (2015). 'Strong HRM processes and line managers' effective HRM implementation: A balanced view'. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 25: 4, 600-616.
- Gilson, L.L., Maynard, M.T., Young, N.C.J., Vartiainen, M., and Hakonen, M. (2015). 'Virtual Teams Research: 10 years, 10 themes, and 10 opportunities'. *Journal of Management*, 41: 5, 1313-1337.
- Goffin, R.D., and Gellatly, I.R. (2001). 'A multi-rater assessment of organizational commitment: Are self-report measures biased?'. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 22: 4, 437-451.
- Hambley, L.A., O'Neill, T.A., and Kline, J.B. (2007). 'Virtual team leadership: The effects of leadership style and communication medium on team interaction styles and outcomes'. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 103: 1, 1-20.
- Harvey, M., Novicevic, M.M., and Garrison, G. (2004). 'Challenges to staffing global virtual teams'. *Human Resource Management Review*, 14: 3, 275-294.
- Hinds, P.J., Neeley, T.B., and Cramton, C.D. (2014). 'Language as a lightning rod: Power contests, emotion regulation, and subgroup dynamics in global teams'. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 45: 5, 536-561.
- Hirst, G., van Dick, R., and van Knippenberg, D. (2009). 'A social identity perspective on leadership and employee creativity'. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 30: 7, 963-982.

- Hobman, E.V., Bodia, P., and Gallois, C. (2004). 'Perceived dissimilarity and work group involvement: The moderating effects of group openness to diversity'. *Group and Organization Management*, 29: 5, 560-587.
- Hogg, M.A. (2015). 'Constructive leadership across groups: How leaders can combat prejudice and conflict between subgroups'. *Advances in Group Processes*, 32, 177-207.
- Homan, A.C., and Greer, L.L. (2013). 'Considering diversity: The positive effects of considerate leadership in diverse teams'. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, 16: 1, 105-125.
- Homan, A.C., Hollenbeck, J.R., Humphrey, S.E., van Knippenberg, D., Ilgen, D.R., and Van Kleef, G.A. (2008). 'Facing differences with an open mind: Openness to experience, salience of intra-group differences, and performance of diverse work groups'. *Academy of Management Journal*, 58, 1204-1222.
- Hoyt, C.L., and Blascovich, J. (2003). 'Transformational and transactional leadership in virtual and physical environments'. *Small Group Research*, 34: 6, 678-715.
- Huang, R., Kahai, S., and Jestice, R. (2010). 'The contingent effects of leadership on team collaboration in virtual teams'. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26: 5, 1098-1110.
- Hunger, J., and Stern, L. (1976). 'An assessment of the functionality of the superordinate goal in reducing conflict'. *Academy of Management Journal*, 19: 4, 591-605.
- Jansen, J.J., George, G., Van den Bosch, F.A.J., and Volberda, H.W. (2008). 'Senior team attributes and organizational ambidexterity: The moderating role of transformational leadership'. *Journal of Management Studies*, 45: 5, 982-1007.
- Kahai, S., Huang, R., and Jestice, R. (2012). 'Interaction Effect of Leadership and Communication Media on Feedback Positivity in Virtual Teams'. *Group and Organization Management*, 37: 6, 716-751.
- Kearney, E., and Gebert, D. (2009). 'Managing diversity and enhancing team outcomes: The promise of transformational leadership'. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94: 1, 77-89.
- Khoo, H.S., and Burch, G. (2008). 'The 'dark side' of leadership personality and transformational leadership: An exploratory study'. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 44: 1, 86-97.
- Kirkman, B.L., and Mathieu, J.E. (2005). 'The dimensions and antecedents of team virtuality'. *Journal of Management*, 31: 5, 700-718.
- Klein, K.J., Knight, A.P., Ziegert, J.C., Lim, B.C., and Saltz, J.L. (2011). 'When team members values differ: The moderating role of team leadership'. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 114: 1, 25-36.
- Klitmøller, A., and Luring, J. (2013). 'When global virtual teams share knowledge: Media richness, cultural distance and language commonality'. *Journal of World Business*, 48: 3, 398-406.
- Klitmøller, A., Schneider, S., and Jonsen, K. (2015). 'Speaking of global virtual teams: Language differences, social categorization and media choice'. *Personnel Review*, 44.
- Kulik, C.T., and Roberson, L. (2008). 'Common goals and missed opportunities: A research agenda for diversity education in academic and organizational settings'. *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, 7, 309-331.
- Kuvaas, B., Dysvik, A., and Buch, R. (2014). 'Antecedents and employee outcomes of line managers' perceptions of enabling HR practices'. *Journal of Management Studies*, 51: 6, 845-868.
- Luring, J. (2008). 'Rethinking social identity theory in international encounters: Language use as a negotiated object for identity making'. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 8: 3, 343-361.

- Lauring, J., and Klitmøller, A. (2015). 'Corporate language-based communication avoidance in MNCs: A multi-sited ethnography approach'. *Journal of World Business*, 50: 1, 46-55.
- Lauring, J., Paunova, M., and Butler, C. (2015). Openness to language and value diversity fosters multicultural team creativity and performance, Academy of Management Meeting (pp. August 7-11). Vancouver.
- Lauring, J., and Selmer, J. (2010). 'Multicultural organizations: Common language and group cohesiveness'. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 10: 3, 267-284.
- Lauring, J., and Selmer, J. (2011). 'Multicultural organizations: Does a positive diversity climate promote performance?'. *European Management Review*, 8: 2, 81-93.
- Lauring, J., and Selmer, J. (2012). 'International language management and diversity climate in multicultural organizations'. *International Business Review*, 21: 2, 156-166.
- Li, Y., Tan, C.-H., and Teo, H.-H. (2012). 'Leadership characteristics and developers' motivation in open source software development'. *Information and management*, 49: 5, 257-267.
- Lowe, K.B., Kroeck, K.G., and Sivasubramaniam, N. (1996). 'Effectiveness correlates of transformational leadership and transactional leadership: A meta-analytic review'. *Leadership Quarterly*, 7: 1, 385-425.
- McKay, P.F., Avery, D.R., and Morris, M.A. (2009). 'A tale of two climates: Diversity climate from subordinates' and managers' perspective and their role in store unit sales performance'. *Personnel Psychology*, 62: 4, 767-791.
- Mohr, D.C., Young, G.J., and Burgess, J.F. (2012). 'Employee turnover and operational performance: the moderating effect of group-oriented organisational culture'. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 22: 2, 216-233.
- Morris, M.G., and Venkatesh, V. (2000). 'Age differences in technology adoption decisions: Implications for a changing work force'. *Personnel Psychology*, 53, 375-403.
- Muethel, M., Gehrlein, S., and Hoegl, M. (2012). 'Socio-demographic factors and shared leadership behaviors in dispersed teams: Implications for human resource management'. *Human Resource Management*, 51: 4, 525-548.
- Neuberg, S.L. (1989). 'The goal of forming accurate impressions during social interactions: Attenuating the impact of negative expectancies'. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 56: 3, 374-386.
- Palmer, B., Walls, M., Burgess, Z., and Stough, C. (2001). 'Emotional intelligence and effective leadership'. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 22: 1, 5-10.
- Pirola-Merlo, A., Härtel, C., Mann, L., and Hirst, G. (2002). 'How leaders influence the impact of affective events on team climate and performance in R&D teams'. *Leadership Quarterly*, 13: 5, 561-581.
- Purcell, J., and Hutchinson, S. (2007). 'Front-line managers as agents in the HRM-performance casual chain: theory, analysis and evidence'. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 17: 1, 3-20.
- Purvanova, R.K., and Bono, J.E. (2009). 'Transformational leadership in context: Face-to-face and virtual teams'. *Leadership Quarterly*, 20: 3, 343-357.
- Rabe-Hesketh, S., and Skrondal, A. (2012). *Multilevel and longitudinal modeling using Stata*. College Station: Stata Press Publication.
- Rico, R., Sánchez-Manzanares, M., Antino, M., and Lau, D. (2012). 'Bridging team faultlines by combining task role assignment and goal structure strategies'. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 97: 2, 407-420.
- Ruggieri, S. (2009). 'Leadership in virtual teams: A comparison of transformational and transactional leaders'. *Social Behavior and Personality*, 37: 8, 1017-1022.

- Sawyer, O.O., Strauss, J., and Yan, J. (2005). 'Individual value structure and diversity attitudes: The moderating effects of age, gender, race, and religiosity'. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 20: 5-6, 498-521.
- Schippers, M.C., Den Hartog, D.N., Koopman, P.L., and van Knippenberg, D. (2008). 'The role of transformational leadership in enhancing team reflexivity'. *Human relations*, 61: 11, 1593-1616.
- Scott, C.P.R., and Wildman, J.L. (2015). Culture, Communication and conflict: A review of the global virtual team literature. In J.L. Wildman and R.L. Griffith (Eds.), *Leading global teams: Translating multidisciplinary science to practice* (pp. 13-33). New York: Springer.
- Shachaf, P. (2008). 'Cultural diversity and information and communication technology impacts on global virtual teams: An exploratory study'. *Information and management*, 45: 2, 131-142.
- Sherif, M. (1958). 'Superordinate goals in the reduction of intergroup conflict'. *American Journal of Sociology*, 63: 4, 349-356.
- Shin, S.J., Kim, T., Lee, J., and Bian, L. (2012). 'Cognitive team diversity and individual team member creativity: A cross-level interaction'. *Academy of Management Journal*, 55: 1, 197-212.
- Snijders, T., and Bosker, R. (2012). *Multilevel analysis: An introduction to basic and advanced multilevel modeling*. London: Sage.
- Stahl, G., Maznevski, M., Voigt, A., and Jonsen, K. (2010). 'Unraveling the effects of cultural diversity in teams: A meta-analysis of research on multicultural work groups'. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 41: 4, 690-709.
- Tenzer, H., and Pudelko, M. (2015). 'Leading across language barriers: Managing language-induced emotions in multinational teams.'. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 26: 4, 606-625.
- Tenzer, H., and Pudelko, M. (2016). 'Media choice in multilingual virtual teams'. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 47: 4, 427-452.
- Thornhill, H., and Saunders, M.N.K. (1998). 'What if line managers don't realize they're responsible for HR? Lessons from an organization experiencing rapid change'. *Personnel Review*, 27: 6, 460-476.
- van Knippenberg, D., and Hogg, M.A. (2003). 'A social identity model of leadership effectiveness in organizations'. *Research in organizational behavior*, 25: 1, 243-295.
- Volk, S., Köhler, T., and Pudelko, M. (2014). 'Brain drain: The cognitive neuroscience of foreign language processing in multinational corporations'. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 45: 7, 862-885.
- Voon, M., Monte, L., Kwang, N., and Brunswick, A. (2010). 'The influence of leadership styles on employees' job satisfaction in public sector organizations in Malaysia'. *International Journal of Business, Management and Social Sciences*, 2: 1, 24-32.
- Zander, L., Mockaitis, A.I., and Butler, C.L. (2012). 'Leading global teams'. *Journal of World Business*, 47: 4, 592-603.
- Zellmer-Bruhn, M., and Gibson, C.B. (2006). 'Multinational organizational context: Implications for team learning and performance'. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49: 3, 501-518.

Table 1: Means, standard deviations, and correlations
among the lower level and higher level variables

Lower level								
Variables	Mean	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. Job Performance	5.57	0.72	1.00					
2. Age	41.83	9.91	0.03	1.00				
3. Gender	0.80	0.40	-0.21***	0.05	1.00			
4. Nationality	0.56	0.50	0.14	0.34***	-0.06	1.00		
5. Company Tenure	9.97	9.20	0.08	0.66***	0.05	0.35***	1.00	
6. Virtual Team Tenure	2.10	2.71	0.16**	-0.00	0.16**	0.05	0.11	1.00
* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01; n=174								

Higher level					
Variables	Mean	SD	1	2	3
1. Openness to Language Diversity	5.36	0.93	1.00		
2. Inspirational Motivational Leadership	5.37	0.80	0.26	1.00	
3. Management by Exception	3.61	1.29	-0.04	-0.40*	1.00
* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01; n=23					

Table 2: Results of Hierarchical Linear Modeling (HLM)

Variables	Job Performance			
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
<i>Level 1 Main Effects</i>				
Intercept	5.81*** (0.28)	5.15*** (0.39)	6.57*** (1.34)	0.36 (2.28)
Age	-0.00 (0.01)	-0.00 (0.01)	-0.00 (0.01)	-0.00 (0.01)
Gender	-0.42*** (0.13)	-0.39*** (0.13)	-0.39*** (0.13)	-0.37*** (0.13)
Nationality	0.14 (0.12)	0.15 (0.11)	0.14 (0.11)	0.15 (0.11)
Virtual Team Tenure	0.05** (0.02)	0.05*** (0.02)	0.06*** (0.02)	0.06*** (0.02)
Company Tenure	0.00 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)
<i>Level 2 Main Effects</i>				
Openness to Language Diversity		0.12** (0.05)	-0.13 (0.22)	0.98** (0.40)
Management by Exception			-0.39 (0.29)	-0.04 (0.04)
Inspirational Leadership			0.03 (0.08)	0.94** (0.42)
<i>Level 2 Interaction</i>				
Management by Exception x Openness to Language Diversity			0.06 (0.05)	
Inspirational Leadership x Openness to Language Diversity				-0.16** (0.07)
Snijders/Bosker $R^2_{\text{within-team}}$	0.10	0.12	0.15	0.17
Snijders/Bosker $R^2_{\text{between-teams}}$	0.10	0.23	0.28	0.29
ICC	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.00
AIC	376.27	373.64	370.44	367.02
BIC	401.55	402.07	402.03	398.61
* $p < 0.1$; ** $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.01$; two-tailed				
n = 174 team members (level 1) in 23 global virtual teams (level 2)				

Figure 1: Level 2 Interaction Plot

Figure 2: Empirical Model on the Compensating Effect of Leadership in Virtual Teams