Alcohol intake in relation to non-fatal and fatal coronary heart disease and stroke: EPIC-CVD case-cohort study
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OBJECTIVE
To investigate the association between alcohol consumption (at baseline and over lifetime) and non-fatal and fatal coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke.

DESIGN
Multicentre case-cohort study.

SETTING
A study of cardiovascular disease (CVD) determinants within the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and nutrition cohort (EPIC-CVD) from eight European countries.

PARTICIPANTS
32 549 participants without baseline CVD, comprised of incident CVD cases and a subcohort for comparison.

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES
Non-fatal and fatal CHD and stroke (including ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke).

RESULTS
There were 9307 non-fatal CHD events, 1699 fatal CHD, 5855 non-fatal stroke, and 733 fatal stroke. Baseline alcohol intake was inversely associated with non-fatal CHD, with a hazard ratio of 0.94 (95% confidence interval 0.92 to 0.96) per 12 g/day higher intake. There was a J shaped association between baseline alcohol intake and risk of fatal CHD. The hazard ratios were 0.83 (0.70 to 0.98), 0.65 (0.53 to 0.81), and 0.82 (0.65 to 1.03) for categories 5.0-14.9 g/day, 15.0-29.9 g/day, and 30.0-59.9 g/day of total alcohol intake, respectively, compared with 0.1-4.9 g/day. In contrast, hazard ratios for non-fatal and fatal stroke risk were 1.04 (1.02 to 1.07), and 1.05 (0.98 to 1.13) per 12 g/day increase in baseline alcohol intake, respectively, including broadly similar findings for ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke. Associations with cardiovascular outcomes were broadly similar with average lifetime alcohol consumption as for baseline alcohol intake, and across the eight countries studied. There was no strong evidence for interactions of alcohol consumption with smoking status on the risk of CVD events.

CONCLUSIONS
Alcohol intake was inversely associated with non-fatal CHD risk but positively associated with the risk of different stroke subtypes. This highlights the opposing associations of alcohol intake with different CVD types and strengthens the evidence for policies to reduce alcohol consumption.

Introduction
Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a leading cause of mortality worldwide, and it is estimated that the overall number of cardiovascular disease deaths will rise to 20 million by 2030.1 Coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke are the most common forms of CVD, as estimated by the Global Burden of Disease study.2 CHD and stroke account for 20% and 12% respectively of overall mortality in Europe.3 The association between alcohol consumption and the risk of CVD has been investigated. A positive association with stroke...
has been established, but the shapes of dose-response relations for different CVD outcomes have not been well characterised. Epidemiological studies have provided observational evidence of lower risk of CHD with moderate alcohol consumption compared with non-drinkers and heavy drinkers. The existence of a J shaped relation between alcohol intake and the risk of CHD was reported in a meta-regression study. Lower risk of CHD in regular drinkers and higher risk of CHD in binge and heavy drinkers, compared with non-drinkers, was described in a subsequent meta-analysis. Those results were recently confirmed in a meta-analysis of 11 cohort studies, which reported that baseline alcohol intake in the range of 15-30 g/day was inversely related with the risk of CHD, compared with non-drinkers. It has also been suggested that non-fatal and fatal CHD might have different determinants. Investigations focusing on fatal CHD events tended to report positive associations with alcohol intake compared with studies that evaluated the association between alcohol intake and both non-fatal and fatal CHD events. A meta-analysis of cohort and case-control studies reported that alcohol intake was consistently associated with a higher risk of morbidity and mortality of ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke, in both men and women. In a recent study in the UK, heavy alcohol intake was positively associated with coronary death, heart failure, cardiac arrest, transient ischaemic attack, ischaemic stroke, intracerebral haemorrhage, and peripheral arterial disease, compared with moderate use, but inversely related to myocardial infarction or stable angina. Such opposing relations of alcohol consumption with different CVD types have also been reported in a recent study combined analysis of data from 83 cohorts in high-income countries.

Most prospective studies of CVD have solely assessed recent alcohol intake at participants’ time of entry into a cohort, but not queried participants’ drinking habits over many earlier decades (ie, lifetime alcohol consumption). Similarly, the association between consumption of different types of alcohol (eg, wine and beer) and CVD risk has not been thoroughly explored. Hence, we evaluated the dose-response association of baseline and lifetime alcohol consumption, including different types of alcohol, with the risk of incident CHD and stroke in the EPIC-CVD study.

Methods
Study population and design
The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and nutrition (EPIC) study enrolled 519,978 adults (366,521 women) aged mostly 35-70 from 23 centres in 10 countries between 1991 and 2000, as described elsewhere. EPIC-CVD is a multi centre case-cohort study nested within the EPIC cohort designed to investigate the determinants of cardiovascular disease (CVD). The study included 18,816 incident CVD cases identified between March 1991 and December 2010 in the EPIC cohort and a random subcohort of 17,634 EPIC participants was used as a reference group. Participants in the case-cohort study belonged to one of the following categories: cases that arose outside the subcohort, cases that arose in the subcohort, and non-cases in the subcohort.

Outcome assessment
The main coronary disease endpoints were defined as any coronary heart disease (CHD), comprised of myocardial infarction (ICD-10 (international classification of diseases, 10th revision) codes: I21, I22), angina (I20), or other CHD (I23-I25). Cerebrovascular events were ascertained and validated using the same methods as for coronary events and included haemorrhagic stroke (I60-I61), ischaemic stroke (I63), unclassified stroke (I64), and other acute cerebrovascular events (I62, I65-69, F01). Non-fatal coronary events were ascertained by different methods depending on the follow-up procedures used by each centre, using active follow-up through questionnaires or linkage with morbidity and hospital registries, or both.

Validation of suspected events was performed on all ascertained case events (Italy, Spain, Greece, Germany, and Denmark) or on a subset of events (UK, the Netherlands, and Sweden). Validation was performed by retrieving and assessing medical records or hospital notes, contact with medical professionals, retrieving and assessing death certificates, or verbal autopsy. Angina was not assessed as a CHD outcome in the Italian EPIC centres of Varese, Torino, and in Germany, Sweden, and Denmark. To harmonise the definition of fatal CVD across centres, non-fatal and fatal events occurring within 28 days of each other were considered to be a single fatal event, in accordance with commonly used definitions. Information on CVD and overall mortality were ascertained using boards of health and mortality registries (Italy, Spain, UK, the Netherlands, Sweden, and Denmark) or by active follow-up (Greece and Germany). In the EPIC study, loss to follow-up is less than 0.3%.

Participant characteristics
We calculated alcohol consumption at baseline for the whole EPIC cohort from validated dietary questionnaires specific to each country which captured local dietary habits. Participants reported the number of standard glasses of wine, beer, cider, sweet liquor, distilled spirits, or fortified wines they consumed daily or weekly during the 12 months before recruitment. Alcohol consumption was taken from highly standardised 24 hour dietary recall measurements from a subset of the cohort.

We calculated total alcohol intake using the estimated ethanol content in the types of alcohol and information on average glass volumes for each country. Lifetime alcohol consumption, available for 76% of participants (395,183/519,978), was assessed as glasses of different beverages consumed weekly at age 20, 30, 40, and 50. Average lifetime alcohol consumption was determined as a weighted
average of intake over lifetime, with weights equal to the time of individual exposure to alcohol at different ages. Smoking status at baseline, age at starting and quitting smoking, and number of cigarettes smoked daily was collected by lifestyle questionnaires for each country. The following information was also collected: socioeconomic status, height and weight (self reported in the UK Oxford centre, measured elsewhere), physical activity, and history of previous illnesses (including myocardial infarction, angina, stroke, diabetes, cancer, and hypertension). Participants from Norway and France were excluded as there were too few cardiovascular events in these countries to obtain reliable estimates.

Statistical analyses
After exclusion of participants with missing values for smoking variables (n=505), baseline alcohol consumption (51), body mass index (273), physical activity (333), and history of hypertension (1112), 32549 participants (52% women, 17015/32549), remained in the analysis. predefined categories of baseline and lifetime alcohol intakes were defined as lower than 0.1 g/day (defined as non-drinkers at baseline and never drinkers at lifetime), 0.1–4.9 g/day (reference category), 5.0–14.9 g/day, 15.0–29.9 g/day, 30.0–59.9 g/day, and ≥60.0 g/day. Associations for wine and beer intake (each grouped into non-drinkers, 0.1–2.9 g/day (reference), 3.0–9.9 g/day, 10.0–19.9 g/day, 20.0–39.9 g/day, and ≥40.0 g/day in women) were assessed in mutually adjusted models, also accounting for spirits, liquors, and fortified wine intake.

The first non-fatal and fatal CHD and stroke events were modelled in Prentice weighted Cox proportional hazard models with age as the underlying time variable, stratified by sex and centre. Participants within the subcohort contributed follow-up time until the point at which they had a non-fatal or fatal CVD event, died of a non-CVD cause, were lost to follow-up, or reached the end of the centre’s follow-up period. Robust standard errors were used since participants’ contributions to the case-cohort pseudo-likelihood are not independent. Models were adjusted for age at recruitment; body mass index; height; smoking status categorised as never (reference category), former, and current; history of hypertension (yes or no); and physical activity as defined by the Cambridge Index (inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, and active). Adjustment for more extensive information on smoking frequency and duration, plasma levels of total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides at baseline, and education level did not alter the risk estimates; these results were not retained further.

Hazard ratios for overall CVD and non-fatal and fatal CHD and stroke were computed in relation to baseline and lifetime alcohol consumption in predefined categories, per 12 g/day increase, and for mutually adjusted types of alcohol. In analyses of lifetime alcohol consumption, information on alcohol intake at different ages was used to separate non-drinkers at baseline into never drinkers and former drinkers. We conducted sensitivity analyses, in turn, for non-fatal CHD and stroke after exclusion of the first two years of follow-up (to help limit potential reverse causality), for non-fatal and overall myocardial infarction, separately in centres that collected information on incident angina, and by adjusting models for known history of cancer and diabetes. We conducted further analyses separately for ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke.

The overall test of significance for the association of alcohol consumption with CVD outcomes was assessed with Wald test statistics compared with a $\chi^2$ distribution with degrees of freedom equal to the number of categories minus one, not including the non-drinker category. We performed trend tests by modelling alcohol consumption as a continuous variable on the log hazard scale, with inclusion of an indicator variable to define non-drinkers. A quadratic term for alcohol intake was also included in the model for fatal CHD to assess non-linearity. We further investigated the shape of the association between alcohol consumption and risk of non-fatal CHD using restricted cubic splines with knots defined by the midpoints of categories described earlier.

We evaluated potential heterogeneity of associations between alcohol consumption and the risk of non-fatal CHD and stroke according to smoking status by including an interaction term between baseline alcohol consumption (continuous) and smoking status (0=never, 1=current smokers). We assessed the interaction term with the Wald test on one degree of freedom. We also evaluated the heterogeneity of associations by physical activity and education level, but the results were compatible with the hypothesis of homogeneity across levels of these variables. The assumption of proportionality of hazards was evaluated using the inclusion into the disease model of interaction terms between exposure and attained age (data not shown).

The certainty of each incident cardiovascular event was assessed using available clinical information, and a score was developed to express low, medium, or high certainty, as summarised in supplementary table 5. We excluded events with the lowest certainty level when performing the sensitivity analyses and effect estimates were minimally affected, even for fatal stroke.

Hazard ratios for the associations of non-fatal and fatal CHD and stroke with baseline alcohol consumption (per 12 g/day increase) were further estimated for each country and combined with random effects meta-analyses. Linear and quadratic terms were modelled for fatal CHD. Heterogeneity by country was assessed with the Cochran Q test, and estimated by the $I^2$ index. We obtained the associated P value by comparing the Cochran Q statistics to a $\chi^2$ distribution with degrees of freedom equal to the number of countries minus one. Statistical tests were two sided, and P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using Stata version 12 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).
Patient involvement
No participants were involved in setting the research question or the outcome measures, nor were they involved in developing plans for design or implementation of the study. No participants were asked to advise on interpretation or writing up of results. There are no plans to disseminate the results of the research to study participants or the relevant patient community.

Results
Baseline characteristics
Table 1 shows the sex and country specific numbers of cardiovascular events, as well as descriptive statistics about baseline and lifetime alcohol consumption, including types of alcohol, among the subcohort. A total of 11,006 first coronary heart disease (CHD) events were identified; 9307 were non-fatal and 1699 were fatal. A total of 6588 first stroke events were identified; 5855 were non-fatal and 733 were fatal. The median age at recruitment and follow-up time in the subcohort were 52 and 12.5 years, respectively.

Baseline and lifetime alcohol consumption averages among alcohol drinkers in the subcohort were, respectively, 24 g/day and 30 g/day in men, and 10 g/day and 8 g/day in women. Baseline alcohol consumption in men was higher in Italy, Spain, Germany, and Denmark than in other EPIC countries. Women from Denmark had the highest baseline and lifetime alcohol intake. Wine represented more than 50% of total alcohol intake; beer represented around 30% and 20% of total intake, in men and women, respectively. This pattern of consumption was relatively homogeneous across countries for women. In Italy and Spain men mainly consumed wine, but in Germany they mainly consumed beer. The subcohort is described by categories of alcohol intake and smoking status in supplementary table 1. Average baseline alcohol consumption was consistently higher, for men and women, in former and current smokers than in never smokers.

Alcohol consumption, coronary heart disease, and stroke
Alcohol consumption was inversely associated with non-fatal CHD (hazard ratio of 0.94 per 12 g/day increase, 95% confidence interval 0.91 to 0.96, P<0.001 for trend). Table 2 shows that compared with the reference group (0.1-4.9 g/day), alcohol drinkers of 30.0-59.9 g/day and at least 60.0 g/day had hazard ratios of to 0.73 (95% confidence interval 0.65 to 0.83) and 0.68 (0.57 to 0.81), respectively, for non-fatal CHD. Alcohol was non-linearly associated with fatal CHD, with hazard ratios for the 15.0-29.9 g/day group as low as 0.65 (0.53 to 0.81), and up to 0.98 (0.70 to 1.37) for intakes greater than 60.0 g/day. The non-linear association between alcohol intake and fatal CHD was described by a quadratic model with hazard ratio per 12 g/day increase indicating first and second degree terms equal to, respectively, 0.92 (0.85 to 0.99) and 1.01 (1.00 to 1.02). Figure 1 shows the non-linear trends from a restricted cubic splines analysis. Baseline alcohol consumption was positively associated with non-fatal stroke (hazard ratio of 1.04 per 12 g/day increase, 95% confidence interval 1.02 to 1.07), while the association with fatal stroke was of similar magnitude but not statistically significant (1.05, 0.98 to 1.13). Positive associations with overlapping confidence intervals were observed for ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke, with hazard ratio of 1.05 per 12 g/day increase, 95% confidence interval 1.01 to 1.09.

Table 1 | Sex and country specific numbers of cardiovascular events (n=17,594) and descriptive statistics about baseline and lifetime alcohol status and consumption (g/day) among the subcohort (n=16,244)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Total events</th>
<th>CHD</th>
<th>Stroke</th>
<th>Baseline alcohol</th>
<th>Lifetime alcohol</th>
<th>Types of alcohol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-fatal</td>
<td>Fatal</td>
<td>Non-drinkers (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26 (1-65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>1265</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33 (2-88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>1568</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>11 (1-39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18 (1-55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>20 (1-67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26 (2-68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>2763</td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>1,057</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>11 (1-36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>2158</td>
<td>1055</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29 (2-78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>10,034</td>
<td>5531</td>
<td>1,157</td>
<td>3040</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>24 (1-70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23 (1-60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>50 (9-30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>1233</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>16 (7-29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>1444</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20 (11-37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>36 (1-18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5 (10-16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1866</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>18 (7-21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1202</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2 (1-41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>7560</td>
<td>3776</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>2815</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>24 (10-33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA=Information on lifetime consumption not available in Bilthoven (The Netherlands), Naples (Italy), and Sweden.

*Mean and 5th-95th centile values calculated among drinkers only.
†Spirits, liquors, and fortified wine.
Table 2 | Number of events and hazard ratios for coronary heart disease and stroke by levels of baseline alcohol consumption (g/day)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Non-fatal</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Fatal</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Events</td>
<td>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</td>
<td>Events</td>
<td>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-drinkers</td>
<td>1592</td>
<td>1.15 (1.03 to 1.28)</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>1.25 (1.01 to 1.53)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1-4.9</td>
<td>2797</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0-14.9</td>
<td>2207</td>
<td>0.82 (0.75 to 0.90)</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>0.83 (0.70 to 0.98)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0-29.9</td>
<td>1124</td>
<td>0.78 (0.70 to 0.87)</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>0.65 (0.53 to 0.81)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0-59.9</td>
<td>1027</td>
<td>0.73 (0.65 to 0.83)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>0.82 (0.65 to 0.93)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60.0</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>0.68 (0.57 to 0.81)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.98 (0.70 to 1.37)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value†</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 g/day increase</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>0.94 (0.92 to 0.96)</td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>0.92 (0.85 to 0.99)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value for trend†</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 shows that lifetime alcohol consumption was inversely associated with non-fatal CHD, with hazard ratios of 0.74 (95% confidence interval 0.64 to 0.86) and 0.75 (0.62 to 0.91) for alcohol consumption of 30.0-59.9 g/day and at least 60.0 g/day, respectively, compared with the reference category. Lifetime alcohol consumption was positively associated with non-fatal stroke with a hazard ratio of 1.03 (95% confidence interval 1.00 to 1.06, P=0.03 for trend). Never and former drinkers had similar non-fatal CHD and stroke risks, showing on average a 20% higher risk compared with light drinkers (0.1-4.9 g/day).

Alcohol types
Table 4 shows that wine and beer intake were inversely associated with non-fatal CHD, with a hazard ratio of 0.94 per 12 g/day increase (95% confidence interval 0.91 to 0.97, P=0.001 for trend) and 0.94 (0.89 to 0.99, P=0.013 for trend), respectively. Beer intake was positively associated with non-fatal stroke with a 7% higher risk associated per 12 g/day (3% to 12%, P=0.002 for trend), but wine intake was not statistically significant. Spirits, liqueurs, and fortified wine intakes were not associated with CHD or stroke (data not shown).

Interaction with smoking
Smoking was associated with all cardiovascular disease events, with stronger hazard ratio estimates comparing current to never smokers observed for fatal CHD (hazard ratio 2.97, 95% confidence interval 2.53 to 3.50) and non-fatal CHD (2.24, 2.05 to 2.45) than stroke (1.76, 1.61 to 1.92). A test for interaction between alcohol intake and smoking was of borderline statistical significance for non-fatal stroke (P=0.02), but there was no evidence of such an interaction for non-fatal CHD (P=0.46), as reported in supplementary table 2.

Sensitivity analyses
After exclusion of the first two years of follow-up, the associations between baseline alcohol consumption and non-fatal CHD and stroke were little changed, as shown in supplementary table 4. Alcohol consumption had inverse associations of similar magnitude with non-fatal and overall myocardial infarction. The inverse association for non-fatal myocardial infarction was confirmed in centres that did not assess incident angina, with a hazard ratio of 0.91 per 12 g/day increase (95% confidence interval 0.88 to 0.94, P<0.001 for trend). In centres that assessed angina the associations were weaker, with a hazard ratio of 0.97 per 12 g/day increase (0.93 to 1.01, P=0.100 for trend). Further adjustment for known history of cancer and diabetes at baseline did not appreciably change the results (data not shown). Analyses by country indicated that the associations between baseline alcohol consumption and risk of non-fatal and fatal CHD and stroke were not materially different across countries (see supplementary figure 1).

Discussion
The association between alcohol consumption and the risk of incident non-fatal and fatal coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke was evaluated among individuals without cardiovascular disease (CVD) at baseline in eight European countries in the EPIC-CVD study. While baseline and lifetime alcohol consumption were inversely associated with non-fatal CHD risk, alcohol intake was positively associated with non-fatal stroke.

In this study, wine intake and beer intake had broadly similar inverse associations with non-fatal CHD. By contrast, beer intake was positively associated with the risk of non-fatal stroke while its association with wine intake was unclear. Country specific hazard ratio estimates for risk of stroke in predominantly wine consuming countries (eg, Italy) were similar to hazard ratios in predominantly beer consuming countries (eg, Germany and Denmark), arguing against confounding by geographical region. These findings are consistent with evidence from a meta-analysis evaluating the relation between types of alcohol and non-fatal and fatal cardiovascular outcomes.22 Wine intake has been associated with lower oxidative stress levels, putatively through the activity of antioxidants found in wine such as polyphenols.23-25 However, in the EPIC study information on the type of wine consumed (ie, red or white) is not available.
consistent with existing evidence. In line with previous observations, our findings suggested that alcohol consumption and smoking have independent associations with the risk of non-fatal CHD.

Strengths and weaknesses of this study
This is the first population based study assessing the association between baseline and lifetime alcohol consumption and the risk of non-fatal and fatal CHD and stroke in a pan-European prospective investigation. Our findings reinforce previous evidence of the association between alcohol consumption and the risk of CHD in the Spanish population. Our analysis included a comparatively large number of incident CHD and stroke events (after exclusion of prevalent CVD at baseline), and controlled for several potential confounding factors. We harmonised exposure data across participating countries on alcohol consumption, smoking status, and other lifestyle characteristics, and studied validated CVD outcomes.

A controversial element in the evaluation of the association between alcohol consumption and CVD has been the observation that non-drinkers (<0.1 g/day) are at higher risk of CVD than moderate drinkers. We adopted several approaches to help limit potential bias involved in the study of never-drinkers (who...
might differ systematically from drinkers in ways that are difficult to measure, but which might be relevant to disease causation) and of ex-drinkers (a category that includes people who might have abstained from alcohol owing to poor health itself, as well as those who changed their habits to achieve a healthier lifestyle). 31, 32

Firstly, we studied lifetime alcohol consumption (available for 76% of study participants) to help limit the potentially distorting effects of changes in alcohol intake due to pre-existing morbid conditions at the baseline. Secondly, statistical tests and dose-response relations were evaluated on current alcohol drinkers, and light alcohol drinkers (0.1-4.9 g/day) were consistently set as the reference category. Thirdly, in analyses on lifetime alcohol consumption, former and never drinkers were separated out, yet showed similar non-fatal CHD and stroke risks compared with baseline light drinkers (see supplementary table 2). Lastly, we showed our results were robust to a variety of additional sensitivity analyses, including the exclusion of the first two years of follow-up (to limit potential reverse causation), additional adjustment for baseline history of cancer and diabetes, and exclusion of participants with a history of angina.

Nevertheless, our study had potential limitations. Despite attempts to control for many confounders, residual confounding could still affect the observed associations, particularly owing to factors related to socioeconomic status, which are difficult to assess. In the EPIC study, alcohol measurements at baseline showed relatively high validity, 33 but we cannot discount the possibility of misclassification of alcohol intake (for example, under-reporting). Our study could not investigate the relevance of specific drinking patterns, such as binge drinking or regular drinking during meals. Large studies in progress in East Asian populations, where common genetic variants predict 20-fold differences in drinking prevalence, should help to clarify whether alcohol intake is causally or artefactually associated with CVD outcomes. 34

Comparison with other studies

Although a previous cohort-wide analysis of the EPIC study reported no strong association between lifetime alcohol consumption and cardiovascular mortality, that analysis included four times fewer cardiovascular outcomes than the present analysis and did not consider non-fatal events. 30 Data from the EPIC-CVD case-cohort study have contributed to a recent combined analysis of 83 prospective studies. In contrast with the current study, however, that combined analysis could not focus systematically on lifetime alcohol consumption or on different types of alcohol consumed. 35 In a meta-analysis of 26 epidemiological studies (17 cohort and 9 case-control) on stroke morbidity and mortality, alcohol intake was positively associated with the risk of haemorrhagic and ischaemic stroke, similarly in men and women. 30 In a large recent study conducted in the UK, heavy drinkers (defined as participants exceeding current UK guidelines for alcohol of 24 g/day and 16 g/day, for men and women respectively) compared with moderate drinkers (within the UK alcohol guidelines) showed hazard ratio estimates of 1.21 (95% confidence interval 1.08 to 1.35) for coronary heart death, 1.22 (1.08 to 1.37) for heart failure, 1.50 (1.26 to 1.77) for cardiac arrest, 1.11 (1.02 to 1.37) for transient ischaemic attack, 1.33 (1.09 to 1.63) for ischaemic stroke, 1.37 (1.16 to 1.62) for intracerebral haemorrhage, 1.35 (1.23 to 1.48) for peripheral arterial disease, and 0.88 (0.79 to 1.00) for myocardial infarction. 3

Table 3 | Number of events and hazard ratios for non-fatal coronary heart disease (CHD) and non-fatal stroke by levels of lifetime alcohol consumption (g/day), accounting for former drinkers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Non-fatal CHD</th>
<th>Non-fatal stroke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Events</td>
<td>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former drinkers*</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>1.24 (1.05 to 1.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never drinkers</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>1.17 (1.00 to 1.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1-4.9</td>
<td>1571</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0-16.9</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>0.89 (0.80 to 1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0-29.9</td>
<td>1102</td>
<td>0.79 (0.69 to 0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0-59.9</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>0.74 (0.64 to 0.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60.0</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>0.75 (0.62 to 0.91)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value* 0.762 0.002

Table 4 | Number of events and hazard ratios for non-fatal coronary heart disease (CHD) and non-fatal stroke by levels of types of alcohol consumption at baseline (g/day)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Wine intake</th>
<th>Beer intake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Events</td>
<td>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-drinkers</td>
<td>2932</td>
<td>1.14 (1.03 to 1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1-2.9</td>
<td>2823</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0-9.9</td>
<td>1869</td>
<td>0.86 (0.77 to 0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0-19.9</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>0.86 (0.75 to 0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0-39.9</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>0.76 (0.66 to 0.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥40.0</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>0.73 (0.61 to 0.87)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value* 0.001 0.069

12 g/day increase 0.94 (0.91 to 0.97) 0.94 (0.89 to 0.99)
P value for trend* 0.001 0.013

Models were mutually adjusted for wine, beer, spirits, and fortified wine, and stratified by centre and sex, and systematic adjustment was undertaken for age at recruitment, body mass index, height, physical activity, smoking status, and history of hypertension. Models for wine and beer consumptions were mutually adjusted, and also included spirits, liquors, and fortified wine consumption.

Notes:

31 For lifetime alcohol consumption modelled as continuous variable, with inclusion in the model of an indicator variable expressing alcohol consumption, and exclusion of former drinkers.

32 P value for the Wald test statistics compared with a χ2 distribution with four degrees of freedom, not including the category of alcohol subtype non-drinkers (≥0.1 g/day).

33 Former drinkers and non-drinkers at baseline.

34 P value for trend statistics compared with a χ2 distribution with four degrees of freedom, not including the category of alcohol subtype non-drinkers (≥0.1 g/day).

35 Models were mutually adjusted for wine, beer, spirits, and fortified wine, and stratified by centre and sex, and systematic adjustment was undertaken for age at recruitment, body mass index, height, physical activity, smoking status, and history of hypertension. Models for wine and beer consumptions were mutually adjusted, and also included spirits, liquors, and fortified wine consumption.

36 P value for the Wald test statistics compared with a χ2 distribution with four degrees of freedom, not including the category of alcohol subtype non-drinkers (≥0.1 g/day).

37 P value for the Wald test statistics compared with a χ2 distribution with four degrees of freedom, not including the category of alcohol subtype non-drinkers (≥0.1 g/day).

38 Models for wine and beer consumptions were mutually adjusted, and also included spirits, liquors, and fortified wine consumption.

39 P value for trend statistics compared with a χ2 distribution with four degrees of freedom, not including the category of alcohol subtype non-drinkers (≥0.1 g/day).

40 Models were mutually adjusted for wine, beer, and spirits, and stratified by centre and sex, and systematic adjustment was undertaken for age at recruitment, body mass index, height, physical activity, smoking status, and history of hypertension. Models for wine and beer consumptions were mutually adjusted, and also included spirits, liquors, and fortified wine consumption.
Conclusions
Alcohol intake was inversely associated with the risk of non-fatal CHD but positively associated with the risk of different stroke subtypes, highlighting the opposing associations of alcohol intake with different CVD types. Given previous knowledge about alcohol intake’s positive association with all cause mortality and the risk of cancer, our results strengthen policies to reduce alcohol consumption.
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