AARHUS UNIVERSITY

Coversheet

This is the publisher's PDF (Version of Record) of the article.

This is the final published version of the article.

How to cite this publication:

K. Brogaard, D. A. VandenBerg, L. R. Bedin, A. P. Milone, A. Thygesen, F. Grundahl (2017). "The age of 47 Tuc from self-consistent isochrone fits to colour-magnitude diagrams and the eclipsing member V69." *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 468 (1), 645–661.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx378>

Publication metadata

Title: *The age of 47 Tuc from self-consistent isochrone fits to colour-magnitude diagrams and the eclipsing member V69*
Author(s): *K. Brogaard, D. A. VandenBerg, L. R. Bedin, A. P. Milone, A. Thygesen, F. Grundahl*
Journal: *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*
DOI/Link: <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx378>
Document version: *Publisher's PDF (Version of Record)*

This article has been accepted for publication in Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society ©: 2016 The Authors Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Royal Astronomical Society. All rights reserved.

Redistribution subject to OUP license or copyright; see Terms of Use at https://academic.oup.com/journals/pages/access_purchase/rights_and_permissions/self_archiving_policy_p Use of this article is subject to OUP terms of use and conditions.

General Rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

If the document is published under a Creative Commons license, this applies instead of the general rights.

The age of 47 Tuc from self-consistent isochrone fits to colour–magnitude diagrams and the eclipsing member V69

K. Brogaard,^{1★} D. A. Vandenberg,² L. R. Bedin,³ A. P. Milone,⁴ A. Thygesen⁵ and F. Grundahl¹

¹*Stellar Astrophysics Centre, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Aarhus University, Ny Munkegade 120, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark*

²*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, PO Box 1700 STN CSC, Victoria, BC V8W 2Y2, Canada*

³*INAF–Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Vicolo dell’Osservatorio 5, I-35122 Padova, Italy*

⁴*Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics, The Australian National University, Cotter Road, Weston, ACT 2611, Australia*

⁵*California Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California Blvd., MC 249-17, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA*

Accepted 2017 February 9. Received 2017 February 7; in original form 2016 November 14

ABSTRACT

Our aim is to derive a self-consistent age, distance and composition for the globular cluster 47 Tucanae (47 Tuc; NGC104). First, we re-evaluate the reddening towards the cluster resulting in a nominal $E(B - V) = 0.03 \pm 0.01$ as the best estimate. The T_{eff} of the components of the eclipsing binary member V69 is found to be 5900 ± 72 K from both photometric and spectroscopic evidence. This yields a true distance modulus $(m - M)_0 = 13.21 \pm 0.06(\text{random}) \pm 0.03(\text{systematic})$ to 47 Tuc when combined with existing measurements of V69 radii and luminosity ratio. We then present a new completely self-consistent isochrone fitting method to ground-based and *Hubble Space Telescope* cluster colour–magnitude diagrams and the eclipsing binary member V69. The analysis suggests that the composition of V69, and by extension one of the populations of 47 Tuc, is given by $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -0.70$, $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}] \sim +0.60$ and $Y \sim 0.250$ on the solar abundance scale of Asplund, Grevesse & Sauval. However, this depends on the accuracy of the model T_{eff} scale that is 50–75 K cooler than our best estimate but within measurement uncertainties. Our best estimate of the age of 47 Tuc is 11.8 Gyr, with firm (3σ) lower and upper limits of 10.4 and 13.4 Gyr, respectively, in satisfactory agreement with the age derived from the white dwarf cooling sequence *if our determination of the distance modulus is adopted*.

Key words: binaries: eclipsing–Hertzsprung–Russell and colour–magnitude diagrams–globular clusters: individual: 47 Tuc (NGC104).

1 INTRODUCTION

The ages of Galactic globular clusters (GCs) provide insights into the formation of the Milky Way if they are determined to sufficient accuracy – whether in a relative or an absolute sense. The uncertainties associated with relative ages are smaller than those for absolute ages because the former are derived from cluster-to-cluster differences in the morphologies of their colour–magnitude diagrams (CMDs) and in their chemical properties. Absolute ages, on the other hand, depend sensitively on the absolute distances and chemical abundances (particularly of CNO). The study of a large sample of GCs by Vandenberg et al. (2013, hereafter **VBLC13**) and Leaman, Vandenberg & Mendel (2013) provided very precise estimates of their ages. The relative ages implied by those investigations

are expected to be accurate to within $\sim \pm 0.25$ –0.5 Gyr, which is roughly one-half of the uncertainties associated with their absolute ages.

Those studies relied on matching the observed horizontal branches with theoretical zero age horizontal branch (ZAHB) loci to obtain the apparent cluster distances – an essential part of the age estimates. At low metallicities, it is relatively straightforward to match a computed ZAHB to the lower bound of the distribution of HB stars in a given GC. However, for the more metal-rich clusters, this procedure is problematic because the HB is in fact not horizontal, but bends towards brighter magnitudes at the red end. To circumvent this problem, 47 Tucanae (47 Tuc) was used as an anchor point for the metal-rich GCs by adopting for this system the distance modulus derived from the eclipsing cluster member V69 measured by Thompson et al. (2010). **VBLC13** found that the age of 47 Tuc obtained from the mass–radius diagram of the V69 components, 11.0 Gyr, was 0.75 Gyr less than the age that

* E-mail: kfb@phys.au.dk

they derived from fits of isochrones to the *Hubble Space Telescope* (*HST*) photometry of the cluster if the models assume $Y = 0.257$, $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.76$ (Carretta et al. 2009) and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}] = +0.46$ relative to the solar abundances by Grevesse & Sauval (1998). Although they showed that this discrepancy could depend on the adopted helium abundance and/or $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$, they decided to postpone a more detailed analysis to a subsequent investigation when this matter could be examined more thoroughly. This paper is the planned follow-up study.

In the meantime, the true distance modulus of 47 Tuc as inferred from the white dwarf (WD) population of the cluster was reported by Woodley et al. (2012) to be $(m - M)_0 = 13.36 \pm 0.08$ mag while Watkins et al. (2015) reported a dynamical estimate of the true distance corresponding to $(m - M)_0 = 13.09 \pm 0.04$.

Hansen et al. (2013) utilized the WD cooling sequence to obtain an age estimate as young as 9.9 ± 0.7 Gyr (95 per cent confidence) for 47 Tuc for a corresponding true distance modulus of 13.32 ± 0.09 . The same authors reanalysed the eclipsing binary V69 yielding an age of 10.39 ± 0.54 Gyr (systematic error only). García-Berro et al. (2014) obtained an age close to 12 Gyr from the WDs for a corresponding true distance modulus of 13.2 mag (García-Berro, private comm.). Thus, it would seem that the distance modulus and age of 47 Tuc are not well established and that further investigation is warranted – not only for the sake of understanding the cluster itself, but also the other metal-rich GCs for which 47 Tuc serves as an anchor point in the study of GC ages by VBLC13.

In this paper, we derive the distance and age of 47 Tuc from self-consistent isochrone fits to colour–magnitude diagrams (CMDs) and the parameters of the components of the eclipsing member V69. In Section 2, we describe the data used for the analysis and derive the effective temperatures and the distance moduli of the V69 components. Section 3 explains the isochrone fitting procedure. Results are presented and discussed in Section 4, starting with assumptions of perfect models and a simple stellar population (Sections 4–4.2) and extending to potential consequences of uncertain model assumptions (Section 4.3) and multiple populations (Section 4.4). A comparison to the age derived from the WD cooling sequence is made in Section 5 before addressing potential consequences for relative ages of GCs in Section 6. Section 7 presents summary, conclusions and outlook.

2 PARAMETERS OF THE ECLIPSING BINARY V69 AND 47 TUC

2.1 V69

V69 is a detached eclipsing binary ($P = 29.54$ d) member with components very near the turn-off of 47 Tuc, discovered by Weldrake et al. (2004) and measured and analysed by Thompson et al. (2010). We adopted the masses and radii of V69 obtained by Thompson et al. (2010), as well as their luminosity ratio and their finding that the two components have almost identical effective temperatures. Due to the near-identical temperatures, the luminosity ratio does not vary strongly with wavelength and is thus not expected to be significantly different between filters with nearby effective wavelengths. Based on this fact, we adopted the luminosity ratio of $\frac{L_x}{L_p} = 0.792 \pm 0.011$ from the V -band (Thompson et al. 2010) to calculate the individual component magnitudes not only in V but also in $F606W$. Table 1 shows the adopted and derived magnitudes and parameters for V69.

Table 1. Measurements of V69.

Quantity	System	Primary	Secondary
Period (d)	29.53975(1) ¹	(...)	(...)
RA (J2000) ⁹	00: 22: 53.67	(...)	(...)
DEC (J2000) ⁹	−72: 03: 46.7	(...)	(...)
Mass ($M_\odot$)	(...)	0.8762(48) ¹	0.8588(60) ¹
Radius ($R_\odot$)	(...)	1.3148(51) ¹	1.1616(62) ¹
$\log g$	(...)	4.143(3) ¹	4.242(3) ¹
L_s/L_p	0.792(11) ¹	(...)	(...)
B	17.390(1) ²	(...)	(...)
V	16.826(1) ²	17.459 ³	17.713 ³
I_c	16.130(2) ²	(...)	(...)
m_{F606W}	16.6133(8)	17.2466 ³	17.4998 ³
m_{F814W}	16.0804(11)	(...)	(...)
$B - V$	0.564(1)	(...)	(...)
$V - I_c$	0.696(2)	(...)	(...)
$m_{F606W} - m_{F814W}$	0.5329(13)	(...)	(...)
Assuming a nominal reddening of $E(B - V) = 0.030^4$:			
$(B - V)_0$	0.536	(...)	(...)
$(V - I)_0$	0.660	(...)	(...)
$(m_{F606W} - m_{F814W})_0$	0.5033	(...)	(...)
$T_{\text{eff}}(K)^5$	5900(72)	5900(72)	5900(72)
BC_V	−0.099(8)	−0.099(8)	−0.099(8)
BC_{F606W}	+0.080(8)	+0.080(8)	+0.080(8)
$(m - M)_V^6$	13.30(6)	13.30(6)	13.29(6)
$(m - M)_{F606W}^6$	13.26(6)	13.27(6)	13.25(6)
$(V - M_V)_0^7$	13.20(6)	13.21(6)	13.19(6)
$(m_{F606W} - M_{F606W})_0^7$	13.17(6)	13.18(6)	13.17(6)
Distance (pc) ⁸	4365 ± 120		
Assuming a nominal reddening of $E(B - V) = 0.040^4$:			
$(B - V)_0$	0.527	(...)	(...)
$(V - I)_0$	0.648	(...)	(...)
$(m_{F606W} - m_{F814W})_0$	0.4934	(...)	(...)
$T_{\text{eff}}(K)^5$	5950(72)	5950(72)	5950(72)
BC_V	−0.094(8)	−0.094(8)	−0.094(8)
BC_{F606W}	+0.081(8)	+0.081(8)	+0.081(8)
$(m - M)_V^6$	13.34(6)	13.34(6)	13.33(6)
$(m - M)_{F606W}^6$	13.30(6)	13.31(6)	13.29(6)
$(V - M_V)_0^7$	13.21(6)	13.22(6)	13.20(6)
$(m_{F606W} - M_{F606W})_0^7$	13.18(6)	13.19(6)	13.18(6)
distance (pc) ⁸	4405 ± 120		

Notes. ¹Adopted from Thompson et al. (2010).

²Recalculated magnitudes on the scale of Bergbusch & Stetson (2009), excluding observations taken in eclipse. See the text for details.

³Calculated from our magnitudes for the total light of V69 using the V -band light ratio from Thompson et al. (2010).

⁴We make use of table A1 in Casagrande & Vandenberg (2014) and adopt $T_{\text{eff}} = 5900\text{K}$ and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.76$ to calculate R_x values according to the formula in their table. We get $R_B = 4.047$, $R_V = 3.127$, $R_I = 1.884$, $R_{606} = 2.870$, $R_{814} = 1.882$, from which we calculate factors of 0.920, 1.243 and 0.987 to multiply the nominal $E(B - V)$ in order to get $E(B - V)$, $E(V - I)$ and $E(606 - 814)$ for a spectral type corresponding to V69.

⁵The mean value of effective temperature calculated from the three colours using the calibration of Casagrande & Vandenberg (2014) and three values of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$, -0.64 , -0.70 and -0.76 . An uncertainty of 72 K is adopted to allow for uncertainties in the observed colours, the adopted reddening, colour-to-colour differences and potential systematics of the colour–temperature calibration.

⁶Calculated using the formalism in Torres (2010) and $BC_{V,\odot} = -0.068$. The number for ‘system’ is the mean of the results for each component.

⁷The true distance modulus as calculated from V and $F606W$, respectively. Note that in principle the true distance modulus should be the same regardless of the filter used to measure it, and the difference between the two must be due to zero-point errors, either in one or both photometries, or in the bolometric corrections.

⁸Using the V results.

⁹Derived in this paper on the *Gaia* system.

Figure 1. Left: overimposed to the DSS we show the footprints of the *HST* fields analysed in this work. The inner field is indicated in blue, outer field in red (see text), while a $30 \text{ arcmin} \times 30 \text{ arcmin}$ box is given for reference. North is up, east is left. The position of V69 is indicated in green. Middle: same footprint overimposed to a stack image in filter *F814W* for the *HST* outer region. The red footprint has a size of about $3 \text{ arcmin} \times 3 \text{ arcmin}$. Right: a zoom-in around V69, meant to be a finding chart. The largest of the green circles has a radius of 11 arcsec, while the field of view is about $1 \text{ arcmin} \times 1 \text{ arcmin}$.

2.2 Photometry

For the analysis, we made use of the parameters of V69 in conjunction with CMDs of 47 Tuc constructed from the ground-based photometry by Bergbusch & Stetson (2009) and *HST* photometry produced for this work from an *HST* archival pointing where V69 is located.

2.2.1 BVI_C

We employed the BVI_C photometry presented by Bergbusch & Stetson (2009). We were unable to locate V69 in the photometry using coordinates from either Weldrake et al. (2004) or Kaluzny et al. (1998) but were kindly informed (J. Kaluzny, private comm.) that the online catalogue of the latter reference gives J1950 coordinates while the README file incorrectly says J2000, and that the J2000 coordinates of V69 are $(\alpha, \delta) = (00:22:53.65, -72:03:46.64)$. We did not find the reason for the discrepant coordinates of Weldrake et al. (2004).

With the correct coordinates, we identified V69 as star number 44164 in the photometry of Bergbusch & Stetson (2009). However, the magnitudes of V69 were fainter by 0.041 mag in *V* and by 0.027 mag in *B* compared to those reported by Thompson et al. (2010), although these authors report a mean offset of their photometry of only 0.004 mag compared to 20 secondary standard stars in 47 Tuc from the Stetson catalogue (Stetson 2000) that we verified had an even smaller offset compared to Bergbusch & Stetson (2009). An inquiry about the discrepancy lead to the discovery that some observations were taken during eclipse (P. Stetson, private comm.). After removing these measurements from the light curve of V69 kindly provided by P. Stetson, we obtained new out-of-eclipse magnitudes of V69 on the scale of Bergbusch & Stetson (2009) by taking weighted averages of the remaining measurements in each filter. These values, which we report in Table 1, are in close agreement (to within 0.01 mag) with Thompson et al. (2010) for *B* and *V* and also provide a precise and self-consistent value for I_C , which was not measured directly by Thompson et al. (2010).

2.3 *HST* ACS/WFC photometry

We made use of photometry obtained with the *HST*, and in particular images collected with the *Wide Field Channel* (WFC) of the

Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS). Photometry is extracted from two fields mapping two different regions of 47 Tuc.

The location of both fields with respect to 47 Tuc and V69 are shown in the left-hand panel of Fig. 1 superimposed on a Digital Sky Survey image. A zoom-in of the outer field is shown in the middle panel superimposed on the stacked image that was made and released by Anderson et al. (2007; outpix.9Kx9K.fits).¹ On the right-hand panel of Fig. 1, a finding chart of V69 is given.

All the *HST* photometry considered for this work is obtained with the ACS/WFC filters *F606W* and *F814W*.

2.3.1 Outer field

The first field, hereafter referred to as the *outer* field, has been reduced specifically for this work. This field includes the eclipsing binary V69 and is therefore crucial for our analysis. It is located about 7 arcmin from the centre of 47 Tuc and was adopted by STScI as the main calibration field for several purposes (CTE monitoring, geometric distortion, PSFs, etc.). A massive number of images is available for almost any possible exposure length and many filters; they were used in many Instrument Science Reports for the ACS/WFC (e.g. 2013-03, and references therein).

As we are interested in images where V69 is not severely saturated, we restricted ourselves only to those with exposure times shorter than about 360 s. This resulted in 228 images in *F606W* and 59 in *F814W*. We downloaded from the MAST² archive the images corrected for CTE with the algorithm described in Anderson & Bedin (2010). We extracted from each image the photometry and astrometry of individual point sources as described in great detail in Anderson & King (2006). The method is essentially a PSF-fitting, where PSFs are optimized for the ACS/WFC undersampled images. The software is named *img2xym_WFC.09x10* and is also described and made publicly available by Anderson & King (2006).³

Next, we linked all the extracted individual-image catalogues to a common reference frame. The adopted reference frame is the

¹ http://www.stsci.edu/~jayander/47TUC_CAL/

² <http://archive.stsci.edu/hst/search.php>

³ See http://www.stsci.edu/~jayander/OLDPSFs/ACSWFC_PSFs/ for the code as well as library PSFs.

MEMBER.RIGID.XYM that is described and released as part of Anderson (2007).⁴

Then, for each filter, we combined all the individual catalogues to obtain clipped-mean magnitudes around the median, and keeping only stars detected at least 70 times out of the 228 available *F606W* images, and 22 times out of the 59 for *F814W* images. The catalogue for each filter is an average of several epochs spanning several years (Ubeda, Kozhurina-Platais & Bedin 2013), nevertheless the internal motion of 47 Tuc corresponds to just a few hundred pixels over a 10-yr baseline.

To reject most of the spurious detections, mismatches and poorly measured stars, we imposed a consistency of 0.1 pixels (about 5 mas) between the average positions measured in the *F606W* and the *F814W* images. The resulting list contains very solid photometric detections.

2.3.2 Inner field

The second field, hereafter the *inner* field, is centred at the core of 47 Tuc, and was observed as part of the ACS Survey of Galactic Globular clusters (GO-10775, PI: Sarajedini, Sarajedini et al. 2007) and described in detail by Anderson et al. (2008). We also re-reduced this field independently, using the software by Anderson & King (2006), see the next section.

2.3.3 Calibration

The calibration of both the inner and outer field to the VEGAMAG standard system was done using the procedure detailed in Bedin et al. (2005).

For the inner field, we first adopted the calibrated and publicly released photometric catalogue (Sarajedini et al. 2007; Anderson et al. 2008), which was also analysed by Milone et al. (2012). However, when aligning the CMDs of the outer and inner fields using the procedure described in Section 3, which determines the turn-off colour and the magnitude of the point on the subgiant branch that is 0.035 mag redder, we found rather large zero-point differences between the inner and outer field, see Fig. 2. We therefore decided to redo the photometry of the inner field, so that both fields are reduced in exactly the same way, i.e. using exactly the same software by Anderson & King (2006) as used for the outer fields in Section 2.3.1, which is more accurate and precise for relatively isolated bright stars, and most importantly now self-consistent between the inner and outer fields. Doing that, indeed, we find very good

⁴ The reference frame MEMBER.RIGID.XYM was obtained by Anderson (2007) and made publicly available as part of the Instrumental Science Report ACS-WFC 007-008. The MEMBER.RIGID.XYM catalogue is based on 193 *F606W* observations of the outer calibration field in 47 Tuc at various positions and roll angles. For each exposure, fluxes and positions were measured for all the stars in the image using the program `img2xym_WFC.09x10` (weblink in footnote 3), with the positions corrected for distortion using the solution available at <http://www.stsci.edu/~jayander/STDGDCs> (Anderson & King 2006). A collating routine was then used to generate a rough master frame for the entire field, and cross-identify and average the star positions and fluxes measured in the different exposures. Anderson (2007) found that the linear skew terms of the distortion solution of ACS/WFC have been changing at a rate of about 0.04 pixel per year. He provided a simple correction and showed that the remaining solution is globally accurate to 0.02 pixel, i.e. 1 mas. The corrected positions for cluster member stars in the improved master frame are those found in the file MEMBER.RIGID.XYM that can be used as an accurate frame of reference. More details are available at <http://www.stsci.edu/hst/acs/documents/isrs/isr0708.pdf>.

Figure 2. Comparison of the cluster sequences of the inner and outer fields of 47 Tuc as defined in Fig. 1. The inner field photometry, which is from Sarajedini et al. (2007), has been shifted in colour and magnitude by the indicated δ amounts relative to the outer field. These shifts have been calculated using the same procedure that is described in Section 3 using the point on the subgiant branch 0.035 mag redder than the turn-off colour in order to avoid subjective judgments. The relative shift is, however, sensitive to the quality criteria adopted for stars included in the inner field. Here, we have used all stars; but selecting instead only those stars with $\sigma(F606W - F814W) \leq 0.005$ results in $\delta(F606W) = -0.06$.

Figure 3. Similar to Fig. 2 except that the inner field photometry has been derived as part of this work using the exact same procedures as for the outer field.

consistency between the two fields, as seen in Fig. 3. The remaining offsets are within the expected uncertainties of the reduction and calibration procedures and other causes, such as: potential biases due to different amounts of crowding in the two fields, possible

differential reddening effects and PSF modelling. The photometry catalogues of both fields are published along with the paper.⁵

2.4 Reddening

To calculate the photometric T_{eff} values, we needed a reddening estimate. The reddening of 47 Tuc is $E(B - V) = 0.04$ according to the 2010 revision of the Harris catalogue (Harris 1996). We explored the literature in search of the derivation and accuracy of this number. Hesser et al. (1987) state that the best evidence favours $E(B - V) = 0.04 \pm 0.01$ for 47 Tuc citing Crawford & Snowden (1975), Hesser & Philip (1976) and Lee (1977). However, Hesser & Philip (1976) ‘recommend using $E(B - V) = 0.03$ for 47 Tuc in the future’. Considering the references used by Hesser et al. (1987) to favour $E(B - V) = 0.04$, both Crawford & Snowden (1975) and Hesser & Philip (1976) obtained $E(B - V) = 0.03$, while Lee (1977) does not claim to derive a value but only that results are consistent with $E(B - V) = 0.04 \pm 0.01$ as derived by other researchers. This is rather vague, and actually the two papers quoted by Lee (1977) do not claim such a low uncertainty. On the contrary, they base their estimates on means of measurements with a total range that is twice the mean value (Cannon 1974; Hartwick & Hesser 1974), suggesting that the uncertainty is as large as the reddening itself. Thus, it would appear that the number adopted by Hesser in 1987, $E(B - V) = 0.04 \pm 0.01$, which is likely the source of the value in the Harris catalogue, could as well have been $E(B - V) = 0.03 \pm 0.01$. This is far from a complete picture, but it seems that although some of the more precise work from the seventies suggested $E(B - V) = 0.03$, many researchers continued to use the value of $E(B - V) = 0.04$ long after the Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998) dust map value of $E(B - V) = 0.032$ became available, likely because the Harris catalogue gives 0.04.

Much more recently, Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) derived a reddening map based on the SDSS measurements of stars, giving $E(B - V) = 0.028$ for 47 Tuc. Although this is 14 per cent lower than the value derived by Schlegel et al. (1998), about half of this difference appears to be due to the different spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of the objects that are used to derive the extinction law. The reddening maps of Schlegel et al. (1998) are valid for galaxies while the maps of Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) are valid for stars with effective temperatures around 7000 K (see the footnote of their table 6). As described by Casagrande & Vandenberg (2014), the *nominal* reddening, defined as the reddening of a hot star with a flat SED, is larger than the reddening of the cooler turn-off stars, and for 47 Tuc this difference is $\Delta E(B - V) = 0.002$. We therefore adopt a best estimate nominal reddening of $E(B - V) = 0.03$ for 47 Tuc so that the reddening at the turn-off colour is 0.028, in agreement with Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) for stars of similar effective temperature. The very good agreement between the $E(B - V)$ values for 47 Tuc from Schlegel et al. (1998) and Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) suggests that the higher value in the Harris catalogue is too high, which would not be surprising given that all of the $E(B - V) = 0.04$ estimates that we have been able to find in the literature have rather much larger uncertainties.

To also have our own independent reddening estimate, we measured the equivalent widths of the interstellar Na I D lines in the spectra of 47 Tuc giants from Thygesen et al. (2014) and used the calibration of Munari & Zwitter (1997) to transform these to $E(B - V)$. Synthetic spectra were used to remove the stellar lines.

This resulted in $E(B - V) = 0.020 \pm 0.002$ where the uncertainty is the error of the mean from five spectra. However, this value is an extrapolation to lower values than those measured for any star by Munari & Zwitter (1997) and is therefore much more uncertain than the random error and not useful for lending much more credibility to $E(B - V) = 0.03$ than $E(B - V) = 0.04$ although it is closer to the former value.

In order to examine the effects of the reddening uncertainty in the following analysis, we adopt ± 0.01 as the uncertainty on $E(B - V)$ and use two different estimates of $E(B - V)$ (0.03, which corresponds to our best estimate, as justified above, and 0.04, as listed in the Harris (1996) catalogue) when calculating the photometric T_{eff} values. Results for $E(B - V) = 0.02$ can be inferred by extrapolating from these two cases in Table 1.

2.5 T_{eff} of V69

We used the calibration by Casagrande & Vandenberg (2014) to deredden the colours of V69 and transform them into effective temperatures. Since the colours of the two components are very similar we assumed that one T_{eff} , as derived from the colours of the combined light, represents both components to a good approximation. We adopted a mean from the three colours ($B - V$), ($V - I_C$) and ($F660W - F814W$), each calculated assuming three different values of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$, -0.76 , -0.70 and -0.64 . These nine numbers are very similar for a fixed reddening; all but two differ from the mean T_{eff} value by less than 20 K and none of them differ from the mean value by more than 45 K. Assuming a nominal $E(B - V) = 0.03$, the mean effective temperature is 5900 K and assuming $E(B - V) = 0.04$ the mean is 5950 K. If we use instead the empirical calibration by Casagrande et al. (2010) we obtain a hotter temperature by just 7 K from $V - I_C$ and by 42–58 K (depending on the assumed reddening) from $B - V$. Averaging results from the two filters yields a mean T_{eff} of 5933 K for $E(B - V) = 0.03$ and 5974 K for $E(B - V) = 0.04$, just 33 K and 24 K hotter than using the Casagrande & Vandenberg (2014) calibration. This demonstrates very good agreement between the theoretical and empirical colour– T_{eff} relations.

As argued above, we adopt the value of T_{eff} assuming $E(B - V) = 0.03$ as our best estimate. That is, 5900 K, as found from the colour transformations given by Casagrande & Vandenberg (2014), will be used throughout the following analysis for the sake of maximal self-consistency.

We searched the literature for T_{eff} estimates that do not depend on reddening. Carretta et al. (2004) found a spectroscopic estimate of 5832 K for the mean spectrum of three turn-off stars. Their fig. 1 suggests that these stars are significantly different from each other. We therefore reanalysed the spectra of these stars, which we obtained from the ESO archive, and found that only one of them, star 1081, seems to be a good representative of V69 when comparing the surface gravities. This is also implied by the CMD position of the three stars in fig. 1 of Carretta et al. (2004). We give our measured quantities for the three stars in Table 2. In view of the close similarity of their V -magnitudes and $\log g$ values, V69 should have close to the same effective temperature as star 1081, 5980 ± 162 , which is unfortunately rather uncertain due to the low S/N of the spectrum and thus consistent with both our estimates above.

Dobrovolskas et al. (2014) measured T_{eff} values from spectra of turn-off stars by $\text{H}\alpha$ profile fitting. We found in their table A1 10 stars that have $\log g$, V -mag and $(B - V)$ very similar to the primary star of V69. We chose those that have V -mag within 0.05 mag and $\log g$ within 0.04 dex of the V69 primary component. The mean T_{eff}

⁵ http://web.oapd.inaf.it/bedin/files/PAPERS_eMATERIALS/47Tuc_V69/

Table 2. Spectroscopic parameters of turn-off stars.

ID ¹	RA(2000) ¹	DEC(2000) ¹	T_{eff}	logg	ξ_t	[Fe I/H]	[Fe II/H]
1081	00: 21: 03.82	−72: 06: 57.74	5980 ± 162	3.95 ± 0.40	1.60 ± 0.24	−0.94 ± 0.23	−0.93 ± 0.19
1012	00: 21: 26.27	−72: 00: 38.73	5720 ± 129	3.65 ± 0.40	0.85 ± 0.23	−0.97 ± 0.20	−0.94 ± 0.13
975	00: 20: 52.30	−71: 58: 01.80	5850 ± 157	3.65 ± 0.40	1.10 ± 0.25	−0.66 ± 0.22	−0.66 ± 0.25

Note. ¹IDs and coordinates from Carretta et al. (2004).

of these 10 stars is 5882 ± 47 (RMS) K, with the range spanning from 5780 K to 5968 K without a correlation with $(B - V)$, suggesting either a random error larger than measured or low-quality photometry. If the errors were random, the uncertainty on the mean would be $\sqrt{10}$ lower, yielding only 16 K, but in this case, none of the individual measurements would be within 1σ of the true value. Therefore, systematic errors seem to dominate these measurements, and the RMS of 47 K is therefore adopted as the 1σ uncertainty of this spectroscopic estimate.

Our photometric estimate from above has uncertainty components arising from reddening, photometric measurements and the colour– T_{eff} relations. As derived above, the uncertainty due to reddening is 50 K and from the colour–colour differences we estimate conservatively a scatter of 50 K, which averaging the three colours gives 28 K. Ignoring for now the accuracy of the calibration and adding the two contributions in quadrature gives a 1σ estimate of 57 K.

Both the photometric and spectroscopic T_{eff} estimates and their uncertainties are thus very similar and a mean of the two estimates has an uncertainty of 39 K. To this we add, *directly, not in quadrature*, another 33 K such that a full 3σ uncertainty also accommodates a potential zero-point shift of the *observed* temperature scale by 100 K, which seems difficult to rule out (see e.g. Casagrande et al. 2010 and Molenda-Żakowicz et al. 2014).

Thus, based on the evidence presented, we adopt a best estimate effective temperature of 5900 ± 72 K for the V69 components.

2.6 Distance

We calculated the apparent and true distance moduli for the V and $F606W$ filters (see Table 1) as follows. First, we used equation 10 of Torres (2010) generalized to an arbitrary filter X to calculate the absolute component magnitudes of V69:

$$M_X = -2.5 \log \left(\frac{L}{L_\odot} \right) + V_\odot + 31.572 - (BC_X - BC_{V,\odot}) \quad (1)$$

Here, $V_\odot = -26.76$ as recommended by Torres (2010) and $BC_{V,\odot} = -0.068$ as obtained from the calibration of Casagrande & Vandenberg (2014). The luminosities were obtained from the component radii and the T_{eff} as derived in the previous section, using $T_{\text{eff},\odot} = 5777$ K. The apparent distance moduli were then obtained by combining the absolute component magnitudes with the corresponding apparent magnitudes calculated from our measured system magnitudes and luminosity ratio for V -band (see Sections 2.1–2.3). These were also translated to true distance moduli for two values of $E(B - V)$ using R_X values from Casagrande & Vandenberg (2014) as detailed in the footnote of Table 1.

Our derived distance modulus for V -band is in excellent agreement with that derived for V69 by Thompson et al. (2010) if we adopt $E(B - V) = 0.04$ as they did. For our preferred reddening of

$E(B - V) = 0.03$, we get a lower number, $(m - M)_V = 13.30 \pm 0.06$. Converted to a distance this is 4365 ± 120 pc. For comparison, Watkins et al. (2015) found a shorter distance, 4150 ± 80 pc, from a dynamical estimate, while the true distance modulus derived by Woodley et al. (2012) from WDs corresponds to a larger distance, 4699 ± 176 pc. We refer the reader to table 1 of Woodley et al. (2012) for a list of distance modulus measurements for 47 Tuc that have been compiled from the scientific literature.

By definition, the true distance modulus should be the same regardless of the filter used for the measurement, but we find a larger value by 0.02–0.03 mag from V -band than from $F606W$. This could be caused by zero-point errors in either of the photometric data sets or in the bolometric corrections of Casagrande & Vandenberg (2014), or both. We note that comparing the cluster sequence in the *HST* ACS $F606W$, $F814W$ photometry of the central field of 47 Tuc from Sarajedini et al. (2007) and our photometry in the outer field containing V69, as we do in Fig. 2, suggests a zero-point difference of ~ 0.04 mag (such that $F606W$ magnitudes seem fainter in the central field). This difference needs to be considered if comparing our distance moduli from the $F606W$ observations to the results of other studies that used the $F606W$ photometry of Sarajedini et al. (2007) (or Milone et al. 2012 that employ the same zero-point). If one were to trust the Sarajedini et al. (2007) photometric zero-point more, the true distance modulus would be larger by 0.01–0.02 mag when derived from $F606W$ rather than V , instead of lower by 0.02–0.03 mag when using our independently measured zero-point.

Because of the zero-point differences, we conducted an external check; specifically, we used the same procedure to calculate the T_{eff} value and distance moduli for the eclipsing binary V40 in the GC NGC6362 (Kaluzny et al. 2015). That system has the *HST* ACS photometry in the $F606W$ and $F814W$ bands from Sarajedini et al. (2007), where V40 has ID 2539 in the photometry of NGC6362. In this case, we found that the true distance modulus is larger by 0.03 mag in V than in $F606W$, suggesting that this is likely to be the precision level that can be reached, probably due to the uncertainty of the photometric zero-points. Therefore, we adopt as our best estimate of the true distance modulus $(m - M)_0 = 13.21 \pm 0.06$ (random) ± 0.03 (systematic).

We emphasize that the procedure we describe and use below is insensitive to the photometric zero-points when it comes to deriving the age; i.e. only the derived distance, but not the age, is affected by these zero-point issues.

3 MATCHING MODEL ISOCHRONES TO THE OBSERVATIONS

Our procedure, which we demonstrate using Fig. 4, makes use of the CMD cluster sequence in the colour range from the turn-off colour to a point that is 0.035 mag redder in $F606W - F814W$. VBLC13 showed that the shape of isochrones within 0.05 mag of the turn-off colour is quite insensitive to changes in age, composition and model physics and therefore suitable for the age determination of

Figure 4. Isochrone fits to the CMD and the components of V69 using the procedure explained in the text. The top panel shows the $F606W$, $F606W - F814W$ CMD of 47 Tuc in the outer field where V69 is located. The filled blue square shows location of the cluster turn-off and the open blue square identifies the point on the subgiant branch (SGB) that is 0.035 mag redder than the turn-off. Isochrones with ages from 10.25 to 12.75 are all positioned on the observed CMD so that the point that is 0.035 mag redder than the turn-off of the isochrone matches the corresponding point on the CMD. The green triangle shows the location of the combined light of V69 while the horizontal green lines indicate the magnitudes of the individual components. The positions on the isochrones with these magnitudes are marked with red star symbols and give predictions for the properties of V69 from the given isochrone. The lower panels show mass-radius and mass- T_{eff} diagrams. Black star symbols mark the observed values for the components of V69, while dashed lines and dotted lines indicate 1σ and 3σ uncertainties, respectively. Overlaid are the same isochrones as in the top panel. The masses, radii and T_{eff} at the CMD magnitudes of the V69 components are again marked with red star symbols.

metal-poor clusters (because any isochrone will provide the same fit to the observations in the vicinity of the turn-off if the appropriate distance modulus for that isochrone is adopted). Since the shapes of isochrones of higher metallicity, such as those that apply to 47 Tuc, are somewhat more sensitive to age, we chose to narrow the colour range that is fitted from 0.05 to 0.035 mag. As can be seen in Fig. 4, we would obtain even smaller differences between isochrones if we had chosen an even smaller colour range, e.g. 0.02 mag. However, this could cause contamination by the binary sequence crossing the SGB; also, our *HST* photometry of the outer field does not have many SGB stars that are approximately 0.02 mag redder than the turn-off (see Fig. 4).

We first determined the colour of the turn-off (marked by a filled blue square in Fig. 4) in the *HST* photometry containing V69 by binning the data in magnitude and then finding the median colour in each bin. We then derived the *F606W* magnitude of the point on the SGB that is 0.035 mag redder than the turn-off (blue open square), by binning the observed cluster sequence in colour and evaluating the mean magnitude in each bin. Different choices of bin-size and summing methods affected the *F606W* magnitude so derived only at the level of ≤ 0.003 mag.

The green triangle in Fig. 4 marks the CMD position of the total light of V69. To obtain the individual apparent magnitudes of the V69 components, we adopted the luminosity ratio for V-band as derived by Thompson et al. (2010). The T_{eff} of the two components is very close to identical (Thompson et al. 2010), and therefore we are only making a very small error in adopting this light ratio also for the *F606W* filter. Any error in the light ratio and in the assumption of identical T_{eff} of the V69 components will be largely compensated when considering both components together, since a potential overestimate for one component will translate into an underestimate for the other. The green vertical line marks the system colour and the green horizontal lines the *F606W* magnitudes obtained for the individual components.

In this study, isochrones of a chosen chemical composition but different ages are first shifted in colour to match the observed turn-off colour and then adjusted in the vertical direction to match the magnitude of the point on the SGB that is 0.035 mag redder than the turn-off. This procedure, which is equivalent to the isochrone fitting method employed by VBLC13, gives the distance modulus as a function of age. Consequently, the measured distance from, e.g. Section 2.6 could similarly be used to derive an age. However, our aim here is to invoke the constraints of radii and T_{eff} on age separately, and in combination with the masses, independently of the luminosity and distance modulus.

The isochrones are interpolated from a grid of stellar models as described by VandenBerg et al. (2014) and we refer the reader to that paper for details. We show for each case the nominal reddening, $E(B - V)$, as well as the apparent distance modulus needed to satisfy the CMD constraints. We then interpolate the isochrones to the intersections with the measured *F606W* magnitudes of the V69 components, marked with red star symbols, to obtain the corresponding values for mass, radius and effective temperature, which we compare to the observed values in the mass-radius and mass- T_{eff} diagrams in the lower panels. Open (filled) star symbols correspond to the primary (secondary) component.

Since the apparent magnitude of V69 is measured to high precision in exactly the same photometry that is used for the CMD, the procedure is insensitive to any zero-point issues with the photometry when it comes to estimating age (but not distance). This can be understood by considering that a zero-point offset would

shift everything in the top panel of Fig. 4 vertically by the same amount and thus leave the isochrone predictions for the masses, radii and effective temperatures of V69 unchanged. Furthermore, the V69 components are located in the turn-off region of the CMD, very close to the SGB in magnitude, so we are only relying on the bolometric corrections to be trustworthy in a relative sense over very limited magnitude and parameter ranges to provide the age estimate.

Following this procedure, we adjusted the age of the isochrone in steps 0.5 Gyr from 10.25 Gyr to 12.75 Gyr, to be able to estimate the best age for a chosen chemical composition. Fig. 4 shows this for the specific case for which $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.70$, $[\alpha/\text{Fe}] = +0.4$, $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}] = +0.6$ and $Y = 0.25$. The isochrones are ordered in the legend according to their brightness on the main sequence. In the mass-radius diagram, the measured values of the V69 components are given with 1σ uncertainties shown as dashed boxes and 3σ uncertainties as dotted boxes. The isochrone comparisons suggest an optimal age for the chosen composition somewhere between 12.25 Gyr and 12.75 Gyr depending on whether more weight is given to the masses or to the radii. The isochrone effective temperatures for these ages are also compatible with our earlier estimate, especially when considering the fact that the models suggest a lower reddening, in which case our photometric T_{eff} estimate would also have been lower. It should be appreciated that the $E(B - V)$ values implied by fits of isochrones to the turn-off colour are affected by potential systematic errors in the photometry, the model T_{eff} scale and/or in the adopted colour transformations. As a result, the numbers listed for this parameter could well involve appreciable uncertainties.

The example shown in Fig. 4 turns out to be an exceptional case, since for most other reasonable composition choices, we are unable to match both the masses and the radii close to their observed 1σ limits at the same time.

We repeated the above procedure for the *BVI_C* photometry using the *V*, *V - I_C* and *V, B - V* fiducial sequences constructed by Bergbusch & Stetson (2009) from their photometry. This resulted in self-consistent results for the apparent distance modulus $(m - M)_V$ between the two colour-planes that is ~ 0.03 mag larger than $(m - M)_{F606W}$ just as we found for the apparent distance of V69 that was derived previously. However, the colour of V69 was offset from the observed cluster sequence, being bluer than the turn-off in *V, V - I_C* and redder than the turn-off in *V, B - V*. This could suggest that our measured *V* magnitude is too small by ~ 0.01 mag, since that would remove the discrepancy. At the CMD positions of the binary components, the radius is changing much more rapidly than either mass or T_{eff} as a function of magnitude. Our procedure therefore predicts radii larger by 1σ from the *V, V - I_C* and *V, B - V* colours compared to *F606W, F606W - F814W*, while the masses and temperatures are not significantly different. If we were to adopt a 0.01 mag increase to the *V* magnitude, as suggested by the *BVI_C* solutions, the radii, as well as masses and effective temperatures, would agree at the level of $\lesssim 0.1\sigma$. However, the reddening implied by the *V - I_C* and *B - V* colour solutions are too high and too low, respectively, relative to the *F606W - F814W* solution, suggesting that it is the colours of the fiducial sequences that are problematic, rather than the colours of V69. Some evidence for this can be found in the fact that stars in the vicinity of V69 in a *V, V - I_C* CMD scatter only on the blue side of the fiducial sequence, which is constructed from stars occupying a much larger field. However, the ground-based photometry does not have a large sample of stars measured to high precision in the close vicinity of V69, making it difficult to resolve this issue. Because of this, we choose to rely on

the *HST* photometry for our model predictions, while keeping in mind that the ground-based data would predict either identical or larger radii by 1σ .

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 5 shows the result of repeating the above procedure for various composition choices as given in the figure legend. The case marked with the star symbols corresponds to the example in Fig. 4. From top to bottom, the figure compares measurements of the apparent distance modulus, the masses of the primary and secondary component of V69, the corresponding radii, the nominal reddening and T_{eff} to the isochrone predictions. Solid lines are observed values, dashed (dotted) lines their 1σ (3σ) uncertainties.

We have used the chi-square (χ^2) test to determine which isochrones provide viable fits to the masses, radii and T_{eff} s of V69. The bottom panel plots the reduced χ^2 value from masses, radii and T_{eff} of V69 that we explain below. The lines in this panel correspond to a theoretical (dashed) and an empirical (dotted) 3σ limit, as determined in the next section.

Conclusions can be drawn from Fig. 5 on different levels depending on how well one relies on stellar models to be able to reproduce the correct radii and thus effective temperatures of stars. First of all, the distance modulus versus age relations in the top panel can be used, as in the study by VBL13, to derive the preferred age under the assumption of a given distance modulus, e.g. the distance modulus derived in Section 2.6. Another approach is to consider only the masses. This corresponds to matching the observed mass–luminosity relation of V69. From the mass panels alone, it can then be deduced that the age of 47 Tuc must be $\sim 11.75 \pm 0.5$ Gyr (1σ) and older than 10.75 Gyr at the 3σ level if the composition of 47 Tuc can be represented by any of the isochrones shown. However, by inter-comparing the various isochrone predictions, it is also evident that younger ages can be reached by adopting a model with a lower [Fe/H] or higher helium mass fraction Y . For better constraints on the age, the radii must be considered as well.

Examining the radius panels in Fig. 5, it becomes evident that older ages are obtained by demanding that the stellar models reproduce both the mass and radius measurements of V69. Almost all of the model radii are larger than measured by more than 3σ at ages where the masses are in optimal agreement. The reduced χ^2 values in the bottom panel are calculated from the masses, radii and T_{eff} of both components. The exact expression used is

$$\chi_{\text{red}}^2 = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{n=1,2} \sum_{X=M,R,T} \frac{(X_{n,\text{obs}} - X_{n,\text{iso}})^2}{\sigma_{X_{n,\text{obs}}}^2} \quad (2)$$

with obs denoting observations and iso the isochrone predictions while the summation of n runs over the two components of V69 and the summation of X runs through mass, radius and T_{eff} . The reduced χ^2 minima reveal that the best isochrone matches are obtained at ages of 12.5 Gyr and older. The few exceptions to this rule (grey filled circle and double-circle) arise from changing physical assumptions of the models. We return to those later.

4.1 How well do we expect to match V69 properties?

By definition, the reduced χ^2 values should be close to one if measurements are independent and errors are random. For measurements of eclipsing binaries, we know that this is not strictly the case due to correlations between the parameters used to derive the masses and radii. In addition, the binarity could potentially be causing the evolution of the V69 components to be slightly different

from that of single clusters stars, although we expect this effect to be negligible due to the detached configuration ($P = 29.54$ d) and the agreement between the components and the cluster sequence in the *HST* photometry. We therefore investigated the mass–radius diagrams for old star clusters where multiple eclipsing systems have been measured in order to estimate what reduced χ^2 value corresponds to an acceptable model.

For the specific cases of M4 (Kaluzny et al. 2013), NGC6362 (Kaluzny et al. 2015) and NGC6791 (Brogaard et al. 2011, 2012) we estimated the reduced χ^2 values that one would get by comparing the binary measurements to the isochrones presented in the same studies. These reduced χ^2 values turned out to be very close to, or even slightly above, the number that defines the upper 0.1 per cent probability of the reduced χ^2 distribution for the specific number of parameters. This suggests that either the best models were not found, or uncertainties were not correctly estimated. Although it cannot presently be ruled out that these higher-than-expected numbers are caused by inaccurate models in the respective studies, we adopt a conservative approach in the following and define an empirical 3σ upper limit in addition to the theoretical. To define the empirical limit, we used the two eclipsing systems V18 and V20 in NGC6791 (Brogaard et al. 2012) because spectroscopic measurements of T_{eff} are available in addition to masses and radii. We conservatively assumed that an isochrone that matches V20 within 1σ is correct and then calculated the reduced χ^2 from the masses, radii and T_{eff} s of V18. This yielded a reduced χ^2 value of 5.0 (calculated using equation 2 with 1σ , 3σ and 1σ for the mass, radius and T_{eff} of the primary and correspondingly 3σ , 3σ and 1σ for the secondary as determined from the mass–radius diagrams). We will use this as a conservative empirical 3σ upper limit in the following. For comparison, the theoretical 3σ upper limit, which we chose to be the χ^2 value above which there is a 0.1 per cent probability of reaching by chance, is $\chi_{\text{red}}^2 = 3.743$ for the six parameters⁶ (two masses, two radii, two T_{eff}). We leave it to the reader to decide which of the limits to use. In the following, we denote isochrones as good (acceptable) representatives of the observations if they have reduced χ^2 values below 3.743 (5.0) and mark both values in figures showing reduced χ^2 estimates. Any isochrone resulting in a χ^2 value above 5.0 is not considered to be an acceptable representation of the observations.

4.2 Composition effects in the standard model grid

As seen in Fig. 5, the predictions from the isochrone marked by star symbols are the only ones from the standard model grid (black symbols) that result in a good match according to the χ^2 criterion. The other cases shown are chosen such that only one of the composition variables ([Fe/H], [α /Fe], [O/Fe], or Y) are changed relative to the first (as is common practice, a change to [Fe/H] refers to a change of all elements by the same relative amount as Fe). They show that acceptable matches can be obtained at slightly higher values of Y , or slightly lower values of [Fe/H] or [O/Fe] relative to the reference case.

The effects on parameters of changing composition are additive to a very good approximation, which implies that e.g. increasing Y and reducing [O/Fe] relative to the ‘best-fitting’ model (star symbols) will not result in an acceptable match. The case with [α /Fe] = +0.3 does not result in an acceptable fit. This is caused almost exclusively

⁶ $\chi_{\text{red}}^2 = 3.743$ is obtained from $\chi_{\text{red}}^2 = \frac{1}{6} \times \chi^2$ for six parameters and $\chi^2 = 22.46$ calculated by the PYTHON SciPy command `scipy.stats.chi2.isf(0.001, 6)`.

Figure 5. Comparison between measured and predicted values for properties of 47 Tuc and the components of V69 for different assumptions on composition (black) and model physics (grey). From top to bottom, the panels compare the apparent distance modulus in $F606W$, the masses of the primary and secondary component of V69, the corresponding radii, the nominal reddening and T_{eff} to the model predictions. Solid lines are observed values, dashed (dotted) lines their 1σ (3σ) uncertainties. Predictions are shown for ages between 11.0 and 13.5 Gyr in steps of 0.5 Gyr. Note that for each age, the prediction from different isochrone assumptions have been slightly shifted along the age axis for visibility purposes. The bottom panel shows the reduced χ^2 value calculated using masses, radii and T_{eff} for the same age range, but with a 0.1 Gyr spacing. In this panel, the dashed and dotted lines are the upper limits for models considered as good or acceptable matches, respectively, according to the criteria given in the text.

by [O/Fe] following $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ in this case, which shows that low-oxygen models are incapable of representing V69.

For the standard model grid, only isochrones that assume a high oxygen abundance, $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}] = +0.6$, are able to fit the masses and radii at an acceptable level, unless a lower value of Y is adopted. We emphasize that even though we varied the $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}]$ value of the isochrones it is in fact the sum of $\log\epsilon(\text{C} + \text{N} + \text{O})$ that matters (Rood & Crocker 1985; VandenBerg et al. 2012). For an α -enhanced mixture, oxygen is however much more abundant than carbon and nitrogen.

Due to the Na–O anti-correlation observed in GCs, the model $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}]$ should not be compared to the mean abundance derived for an ensemble of stars, but rather to the upper limit of values found (of course taking into account measurement uncertainties).

As far as we are aware, the only spectroscopic evidence for $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}] \sim +0.6$ in 47 Tuc is the study by Koch & McWilliam (2008). Other studies have found $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}] \sim +0.50$ at the high-oxygen end of the Na–O anti-correlation (e.g. Dobrovolskas et al. 2014; Thygesen et al. 2014) but there are also reports of a lower value of $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}] \sim +0.3$ (Carretta et al. 2009; Cordero et al. 2014).

Since our models assume the abundances given by Asplund et al. (2009) for the reference solar mixture, the same absolute oxygen abundance would result from a lower $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}]$ if one adopted the Grevesse & Sauval (1998) solar abundances for the isochrone grid instead. However, Marino et al. (2016) measured $\log\epsilon(\text{C} + \text{N} + \text{O}) = 8.48 \pm 0.07$ from stars on the SGB of 47 Tuc with the Asplund et al. (2009) solar abundances as the reference abundances, just as we have assumed. We calculated the corresponding $\log\epsilon(\text{C} + \text{N} + \text{O})$ values of our isochrones using the description and tabulated values in VandenBerg et al. (2014). At $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.70$ $\log\epsilon(\text{C} + \text{N} + \text{O})$ is 8.495 for $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}] = +0.4$ and 8.659 for $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}] = +0.6$. Thus, the measurements of Marino et al. (2016) suggest that we should use $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}] = +0.4$. This puts some tension between our isochrone comparisons using the standard model grid and the measurements by Marino et al. (2016) and others who find values of $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}] \leq +0.4$.

It is interesting in the same context that the adoption of a lower iron abundance, $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.76$ and $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}] = +0.6$, resulted in acceptable solutions only if it is assumed that $Y = 0.250$ (or less), which is very close to current best estimates of the primordial helium abundance – even though $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.76$ is within the uncertainties of many recent spectroscopic studies (Koch & McWilliam 2008; Carretta et al. 2009; Cordero et al. 2014; Lapenna et al. 2014; Thygesen et al. 2014). However, while this could be an indication that the true metallicity of 47 Tuc is closer to $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.68$ as found by Johnson et al. (2015) and previously by Carretta et al. (2004) and others, or even be an indication that GCs do not follow a helium enrichment law $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$, this depends too much on other details of the stellar models to be a significant result. This is demonstrated in the next section.

While our tests of chemical abundance effects indicate a preference for relatively high $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ and $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}]$ values and a low helium abundance, they also show that the age is not very sensitive to the exact composition. All of the acceptable cases from the standard model grid have χ^2 minima at ages between 12.5 and 13 Gyr and no age younger than 12.3 Gyr is accepted by our reduced χ^2 criterion of 5.

4.3 Mixing length and surface boundary conditions

In contrast to our finding of an age of 12.3 Gyr or higher, Hansen et al. (2013) derived an age of 9.9 ± 0.7 Gyr, at 95 per cent

confidence, using measurements of WDs in 47 Tuc. They supported this determination by reanalyzing V69, the eclipsing binary also used in this study, for which they obtained an age of 10.39 ± 0.54 Gyr (without describing the details of how it was obtained, unfortunately). In order to check whether variations in uncertain model assumptions could result in a younger age, we therefore constructed additional isochrones.

To be specific, model grids were generated in which (i) the solar-calibrated value of the mixing-length parameter α_{MLT} was increased by 0.1, or (ii) 1D MARCS model atmospheres were employed as surface boundary conditions. In the standard grids, the pressure at $T = T_{\text{eff}}$ was calculated by integrating the hydrostatic equation on the assumption of the empirical Holweger–Müller (HM) T-tau relation.

Predictions from these isochrones are shown as grey filled circles and double-circles in Fig. 5, which may be compared with the corresponding model from the standard grid, shown as a black open circle. As can be seen, the luminosity and mass are almost unaffected in these alternative models, while the effective temperatures are higher at the expense of smaller radii. This is completely in line with expectations, since changes to the mixing length and surface boundary conditions affect the size of the model star, and the effective temperature is forced in the opposite direction in order to satisfy $\frac{L}{L_{\odot}} = \left(\frac{R}{R_{\odot}}\right)^2 \times \left(\frac{T_{\text{eff}}}{T_{\text{eff},\odot}}\right)^4$, where the luminosity L is determined deep within the star and therefore is only marginally affected.

As evidenced by the reduced χ^2 minima the isochrones with increased mixing length or alternative surface boundary conditions are able to match the V69 observations better than most, and as well as any, cases from the standard model grid. Importantly, they both do so at significantly younger ages although they are still $\gtrsim 11$ Gyr. A natural question would then be whether enhancing one of the effects or adding both effects to the same isochrone could produce a good match at an even younger age. However, the predicted masses of V69, which are unaffected by the surface assumptions, are already above their 3σ upper limits at 10.75 Gyr and much worse at younger ages. To reproduce the observed masses at younger ages, adjustments to the composition would be needed (e.g. increased Y or decreased $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$), but that would increase further the predicted temperatures, which are already approaching their upper limits using the alternative boundary conditions.

We show in Fig. 6 the same kind of comparison between isochrones and observations as in Fig. 5 but for isochrones assuming MARCS atmosphere conditions, and with varying amounts of helium mass fraction Y . Since in this case, the lower age limit is mainly determined by T_{eff} due to the change to the model temperature scale, we argue that the theoretical $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 3.743$ limit should be used, given that our T_{eff} measurements already include a potential systematic error (Section 2.5). As can be seen, an age as young as 10.4 Gyr is allowed by the theoretical 3σ limit, although only close to the 3σ upper limits of both the radii and T_{eff} of V69 while requiring a high oxygen and helium content *and* a change to the model T_{eff} scale that is as large as the most extreme case we show (adopting MARCS model atmospheres as surface boundary conditions without redoing the solar calibration). We argue below against this possibility.

Since changes to the mixing length and surface boundary conditions have a significant effect on ages that are derived using our approach, we encourage new and on-going efforts to incorporate the results from 3D hydrodynamical atmosphere simulations into stellar models (Magic, Weiss & Asplund 2015; Mosumgaard et al. 2016, Aarslev et al., in preparation). At present, the predicted metallicity

Figure 6. Similar to Fig. 5 but showing only isochrone predictions for cases using 1D MARCS atmosphere models as surface boundary conditions, assuming varying amounts of helium mass fraction Y . For visibility purposes, only the mean values of the two components are shown in the T_{eff} panel. The grey filled circles are to indicate the corresponding model in Fig. 5.

(and $\log g$ and T_{eff}) dependence of the mixing length from 3D hydrodynamical simulations (Magic et al. 2015) suggest that the mixing length of the V69 components and turn-off stars in 47 Tuc should be decreased by 0.04–0.08 relative to the solar value, instead of increased by 0.1 as in our example. While that would make implied ages older by 0.25–0.50 Gyr in Fig. 5, it would also make isochrone fits to the observations of V69 worse if using the standard model grid. We therefore expect that the corresponding changes to the surface boundary conditions, which are not yet available in a form that can be easily implemented in 1D stellar models, will have a compensating and likely larger effect in the other direction. If we reverse the effects of our $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} + 0.1$ case to become instead a $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} - 0.1$, as approximately predicted by the 3D hydrodynamical atmosphere simulations (remember that effects are additive to a good approximation), and also add the effect of using the 1D MARCS model atmospheres as surface boundary conditions as a poor-man’s prediction of the effects of a 3D model atmosphere, we expect to end up with predictions close to, but slightly hotter than those for the $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} + 0.1$ case that will match very well all of our observables of V69 including its T_{eff} at an age close to 11.8 Gyr.

In order to do so, the models with MARCS atmosphere boundary conditions should satisfy the solar constraints. This can be accomplished in several ways, the simplest being a readjustment of α_{MLT} . However, since the MARCS models do not provide a good representation of the solar atmosphere (VandenBerg et al. 2008; Pereira et al. 2013), alternative procedures might be preferred, such as a rescaling of the pressure in the model atmospheres. This procedure was investigated by VandenBerg et al. (2008) and again by VandenBerg et al. (2014) for models that take diffusion of helium into account. For the latter case, which is representative of our models, it was found that low-metallicity models with MARCS surface boundary conditions are hotter than those using the HM boundary conditions, even when the pressure in the MARCS atmospheres has been rescaled so that a $1 M_{\odot}$, $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 0$ model is almost identical for the two cases (see their fig. 4). Thus, 1D MARCS atmosphere boundary conditions suggest a somewhat hotter T_{eff} scale than the standard model grid.

In fact, it is even possible that MARCS model atmospheres without any ad hoc correction to the photospheric pressure provide a more realistic T_{eff} scale at low metal abundances than those in which the boundary pressure has been modified so as to satisfy the solar constraint. Under such assumptions, which corresponds to the MARCS cases of this paper, the evolutionary tracks at low Z are even hotter (see fig. 4 by VandenBerg et al. 2014). Although a solar abundance, $1 M_{\odot}$ track is also shifted to higher temperatures, one cannot conclude that models with HM atmospheres ‘represent those of metal-deficient stars better than standard MARCS models just because the latter are problematic for metal-rich dwarfs’ (VandenBerg et al. 2008). That is, it is entirely possible that stellar models that employ HM boundary conditions become progressively too cool as the metallicity decreases. Fortunately, ongoing advances in theory can be expected to help resolve this issue. For instance, Pereira et al. (2013) have shown that the temperature structure predicted by 3D model atmospheres reproduces the observed centre-to-limb variation of the continuum intensity of the Sun extremely well.

In any case, since we use the same isochrones as VandenBerg et al. (2014) (based on HM boundary conditions), we can make use of their comparisons to observations of local subdwarfs (see their figs 12 and 13) to make an assessment of the accuracy of the T_{eff} scale predicted by these models. If we consider only the subdwarfs with $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \geq -1.5$, they are on average 30 K hotter than the model predictions, whereas our T_{eff} for V69 is hotter by ~ 50 –75 K. Their subdwarfs with $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \leq -1.5$ are on average cooler than the model predictions but that could be telling us that stellar models should assume that α_{MLT} decreases towards lower metallicity, as predicted by 3D atmosphere simulations (Magic et al. 2015). Thus, the nearby subdwarfs provide reasonably good support for the predicted T_{eff} scale of our standard model grid, though higher temperatures by about 50 K cannot be ruled out. Because a 100 K increase would cause all of the subdwarfs considered by VandenBerg et al. (2014) to be cooler than model predictions, we consider such a high-temperature offset to be an upper limit.

Our inaccurate knowledge in modelling surface conditions reduces the significance of our earlier inferences about the composition of 47 Tuc. Fig. 7 shows the reduced χ^2 values as a function of age for different assumptions on the surface conditions, corresponding to different T_{eff} scales. As seen, shifting from the standard model grid to a slightly hotter T_{eff} scale represented by the isochrones with $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} + 0.1$ results in improved matches for all composition choices without affecting the order of preference. However, at the even hotter T_{eff} scale represented by the isochrones with MARCS atmosphere boundary conditions, there is even a change to the order of

Figure 7. Similar to the bottom panel in Fig. 5, but with the χ^2 in each panel calculated for isochrones with different assumptions for the surface physics. The top panel is for the standard model grid. In the middle panel, the isochrones have an increased mixing length parameter. In the bottom panel, 1D MARCS atmosphere models have been used for the surface boundary conditions. Note the different χ^2 ranges in each panel.

composition preference. Thus, if this T_{eff} scale turns out to be correct then earlier conclusions relating to composition are no longer valid.

Regardless of the possible changes to the composition that are allowed by adopting alternative boundary conditions, we still find no acceptable isochrone match that results in an age younger than 10.4 Gyr. Furthermore, this lower limit to the age is only reached close to the upper 3σ limits of T_{eff} and radii while requiring an increase to both the observed and the model T_{eff} scale of $\gtrsim 100$ K and a high value of $Y \sim 0.278$.

Given this and the fact that current stellar models from different researchers produce nearly identical results when the same physics is adopted (see e.g. fig. 1 in VandenBerg, Denissenkov & Cate-lan 2016), we conclude that, unless there are some significant shortcomings in current stellar models that we have yet to realize, the age of 47 Tuc must be strictly larger than 10.4 Gyr and most likely significantly larger. In our investigation, $11.8^{+1.6}_{-1.4}$ Gyr covers the total range of acceptable solutions within a very conservative 3σ

limit; 11.8 Gyr is also the age that is obtained if the model T_{eff} scale yields a temperature for V69 that is identical to our measured value.

4.4 Effects of multiple populations

As is well known by now, GCs harbour multiple populations, and 47 Tucanae is no exception, with the first photometric evidence presented by Anderson et al. (2009). Although the exact meaning of multiple populations and how they arise is still under investigation it has been inferred that there are star-to-star variations in the helium content (di Criscienzo et al. 2010; Milone et al. 2012; Salaris, Cassisi & Pietrinferni 2016). Since the brightness of the SGB is insensitive to the helium content our procedure is largely unaffected by this and is in principle able to put some constraint on the helium content of the stars – at least those that belong to the same population as V69.

The star-to-star helium mass fraction variation in 47 Tuc has been inferred from different methods to be somewhere between $\Delta Y = 0.015$ (Milone et al. 2012) and $\Delta Y = 0.03$ (di Criscienzo et al. 2010; Gratton et al. 2013). To demonstrate how such a variation in helium content will affect the cluster sequence we show in Fig. 8 our isochrone for $Y = 0.257$, representing the lowest- Y population. If we assume that V69 belongs to the lowest- Y population and that there is an intrinsic helium difference between populations then an isochrone for the helium content of the lowest- Y population should be used to match the mass–radius diagram of V69 and set the distance in accordance with our procedure. However, the observed cluster sequence in the CMD should not be matched by this same isochrone, but by some average of isochrones with a distribution of helium contents that represent the cluster. We use isochrones of the same age and distance, but higher values of Y , 0.271 and 0.281, as representatives of the higher- Y population in Fig. 8.

The effective temperature at the turn-off is slightly different for different helium contents. If V69 represents a population with a lower helium content than the mean, then the turn-off colour of that population is redder than the mean turn-off colour. Therefore, the point on the isochrone that is 0.035 mag redder than the turn-off (of the isochrone) should be matched to the point on the observed SGB that is redder than the observed turn-off by 0.035 mag plus the colour-difference from the mean turn-off colour due to helium difference. From Fig. 8, 0.0025 mag seems representative of the extra shift. If applied, the inferred radii are $\sim 0.6(0.5)\sigma$ smaller for the primary (secondary) component, thus alleviating slightly some of the tension of the previous matches to the isochrones of the standard model grid where the predicted radii are usually too large. The fact that the isochrone points representing the V69 components both become slightly redder than the combined light in Fig. 8 is not significant, since 0.0025 mag is within the photometric measurement uncertainty. The implied changes to the radii would suggest that V69 belongs to the lowest- Y population when using the standard model grid, where the radii would give slightly better isochrone matches than the previous ones due to the smaller predicted radii. Assuming instead a high- Y -population scenario for V69 would cause a colour-shift in the other direction and imply larger radii, worsening those isochrone matches. Also, within the standard model grid there are no acceptable solutions for high helium contents, suggesting again a lowest- Y population membership for V69. The indications that the eclipsing binary belongs to the lowest- Y population are in accordance with expectations that most binary stars likely belong to the lowest- Y population (Bedin et al. 2013 and references therein; Lucatello et al. 2015). However, the potential offset to the T_{eff} scale discussed earlier could affect these inferences significantly.

Figure 8. Similar to Fig. 4, except that in this case only the solid isochrone is taken to represent V69 and a potential helium-poor population, while two others of the same age represent potential populations with higher Y values.

The lower 3σ age limit of 10.4 Gyr derived in Section 4.3 was only reached at high $Y = 0.278$. This suggests a high- Y population scenario for V69 that would, as mentioned above, cause the predicted radii to increase by $\sim 0.6(0.5)\sigma$ thus pushing the lower age limit older by another 0.2 Gyr while lowering the maximum

allowed Y value. A low- Y scenario would shift the lower age limit even older.

As seen in Fig. 8, the multiple-population scenario will broaden the main sequence, and change the median fiducial sequence, which becomes some average of the representative isochrones depending

on the distribution of helium contents among the stars. As an example, we assume in Fig. 8 that the total variation in helium is $\Delta Y = 0.024$ (from 0.0257 to 0.281) with a distribution that is skewed slightly towards the higher- Y population as inferred by Milone et al. (2012). The resultant mean helium content would then be close to $Y = 0.271$ and thus the isochrone for that helium content is the one that should match the mean fiducial sequence in Fig. 8, with a width that is defined by the other two isochrones (plus observational scatter). This isochrone matches the complete part of the isochrone within 0.035 mag of the turn-off in colour, and on the main sequence even outside the box we usually consider. Since all the single-population scenarios have the isochrones running along the cool edge of the main sequence, the CMD seems to prefer a multipopulation scenario with V69 as part of the lowest- Y population although we caution the reader not to put too much reliance on such a subtle effect.

It would be useful to measure the magnitudes of V69 in some of the population-sensitive *HST* filters, and/or to do detailed abundance analysis on disentangled spectra to determine which of the populations it belongs to. The latter would also allow a direct measure of the effective temperatures of V69, which if done to high precision would enhance the constraint on the T_{eff} scale, allowing a more precise upper limit on Y and a stronger constraint on the age.

5 COMPARISON TO WD COOLING SEQUENCE AGES

As mentioned earlier there is some systematic uncertainty in either of the photometries and/or the bolometric corrections, causing the true distance modulus to be larger by 0.02 mag when derived using the V filter compared to $F606W$. Here, we adopt the result from V band for the following discussion, noting that a shorter distance modulus, as inferred from $F606W$ would yield slightly older WD ages.

We derived earlier an apparent distance modulus in V of 13.30 ± 0.06 as the best estimate from our procedure. The corresponding true distance modulus is 13.21 ± 0.06 if the reddening is $E(B - V) = 0.03$. Woodley et al. (2012) and Hansen et al. (2013) derived true distance moduli from the WDs in 47 Tuc, obtaining 13.36 ± 0.08 and 13.32 ± 0.09 , respectively, for an adopted $E(B - V) = 0.04$. While these are compatible with ours to within the uncertainties, the latter estimates are higher than ours by 2σ – 2.5σ . This suggests that the young age derived by Hansen et al. (2013) is caused at least partly by the relatively large distance modulus that they adopted – especially since García-Berro et al. (2014) obtained an age close to 12 Gyr from the WDs in 47 Tuc on the assumption of a true distance modulus of 13.20 (García-Berro, private comm.). This latter study is thus in better agreement with ours, both in terms of age and distance. Similarly, the study of the WD cooling sequence by Campos et al. (2016) is in agreement with our findings. Making a rough extrapolation from the two examples for 47 Tuc in their section 4.1.2 to our apparent distance modulus, $(m - M)_V = 13.30$, would yield an age of $11.43^{+0.4}_{-0.2}$ Gyr, where we adopt similar but slightly larger uncertainties than they did due to our rough extrapolation.

Examining the details of the distance moduli derived by Woodley et al. (2012) and Hansen et al. (2013), it turns out that their results depend on the assumed mass of the WDs at the top of the WD cooling sequence. If our preferred true distance modulus, 13.21, at a reddening of $E(B - V) = 0.03$, is used in equation 8 in Woodley et al. (2012), we obtain $M_{\text{WD}} = 0.583 M_{\odot}$ for the mass of the WDs in 47 Tuc, ~ 10 per cent larger than $M_{\text{WD}} = 0.53 M_{\odot}$ that was

assumed by Woodley et al. (2012) and $0.525 M_{\odot}$ by Campos et al. (2016) for the mass of the WDs entering the cooling sequence in 47 Tuc (their fig. 11).

6 POTENTIAL CONSEQUENCES FOR RELATIVE GC AGES

As mentioned in the introduction, 47 Tuc was used as an anchor-point for the metal-rich clusters in the study of GC ages by VBLC13. They adopted for this system the distance modulus derived from the eclipsing cluster member V69, as measured by Thompson et al. (2010), in order to establish the stellar mass at the lower envelope of the observed HB. This reference mass turned out to be $\approx 0.70 M_{\odot}$, implying a maximum mass-loss of $\approx 0.20 M_{\odot}$ during the preceding evolution – in reasonably good agreement with current determinations of the mass-loss along the giant branch of 47 Tuc (e.g. see Origlia et al. 2007; Salaris et al. 2016). By assuming that the same mass-loss occurs in all other metal-rich GCs, their reference ZAHB masses, the corresponding absolute magnitudes, and the implied distance moduli could be determined. Ages then followed from the application of the $\Delta V_{\text{TO}}^{\text{HB}}$ method.⁷ Since our present work allows for a rather broad range of possible ages and corresponding distance moduli for 47 Tuc, we elaborate here on the potential consequences of our results for the relative ages of metal-rich GCs.

The stellar models employed by VBLC13 adopted the solar metal abundances given by Grevesse & Sauval (1998) as the reference mixture, with enhancements to the α -elements that were derived by Cayrel et al. (2004) at low metallicities. Because different α -elements are enhanced by different amounts (e.g. $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}] = +0.5$, whereas $[\text{Mg}/\text{Fe}] = +0.3$; for a detailed listing, see table 1 by VandenBerg et al. 2012), this resulted in the net value of $[\alpha/\text{Fe}] = +0.46$. Since recent spectroscopic studies generally report $[m/\text{H}]$ and $[m/\text{Fe}]$ values, for individual metal m , with respect to the solar abundances tabulated by Asplund et al. (2009), we used in this study the new grids of evolutionary tracks and isochrones based on this reference mixture computed by VandenBerg et al. (2014) for $-0.4 \leq [\alpha/\text{Fe}] \leq +0.4$, this time assuming that the abundances of all of the α -elements vary together. Additional grids that allow for variations of the oxygen abundance relative to that implied by the selected value of $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ have also been generated. They will be described in detail and made generally available in a forthcoming publication.

The combination of differences due to the adopted reference solar abundances and the specific abundance patterns between the study of VBLC13 and our preferred solutions turns out to be small and would result in older ages by only 0.25 Gyr. However, when adding the photometric zero-point offset of 0.04–0.06 mag in $F606W$ between our field with V69 and the inner field as measured by Sarajedini et al. (2007) (cf. Section 2.3.3 and Fig. 2) and used by VBLC13, the distance moduli inferred by our standard model grid would be shorter by 0.04–0.06 mag compared to the value of $(m - M)_{F606W} = 13.34$ adopted by VBLC13 (see the black stars and open circles in the upper panel of Fig. 5 close to the corresponding χ^2 minima in the bottom panel). On the other hand, our

⁷ This procedure involves relatively small uncertainties because, at the red end of a metal-rich horizontal branch, a variation in mass has no more than a small effect on the predicted luminosity. For instance, a $0.75 M_{\odot}$ ZAHB model for $Y = 0.257$ and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.76$ is only 0.020 mag brighter than one for $0.70 M_{\odot}$ and the same chemical abundances. Thus, the assumption of a somewhat higher or lower upper limit to the mass-loss would have only minor consequences for the distance moduli and ages derived by VBLC13.

preferred metallicity is also higher than the $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ value adopted by [VBLC13](#), while the helium mass-fraction is the same or smaller. These differences would cause the HB models to be fainter, which would partly compensate for the shorter distance modulus.

As a result, the inferred mass at the lower envelope of the 47 Tuc HB would remain close to, or just slightly less massive than, the reference mass derived by [VBLC13](#), if redetermined from our standard model grid, while the age would be older by 0.25–0.75 Gyr. Depending on whether or not a similar photometric zero-point problem is present in the data for the other metal-rich clusters and on the metallicities that are adopted for them, there could be a similar revision to their ages. In this case, the overall appearance of the age–metallicity relation determined by [VBLC13](#) would remain unaltered for the metal-rich group of clusters, while there would be a small overall shift of 0.25–0.75 Gyr towards older ages relative to those derived for lower metallicity clusters. While it is possible that the age of 47 Tuc is as high as 12.5–13 Gyr, making it approximately coeval with the most metal-deficient GCs (see e.g. the studies of M 3, M 15 and M 92 by [VandenBerg et al. 2016](#)), such high ages seem highly improbable for most of the other metal-rich clusters. According to [VBLC13](#), see their fig. 14, most of them appear to have formed 10.75–11.25 Gyr ago. To increase these estimates by 1.25–1.75 Gyr would require either reduced CNO abundances by ~ 0.5 dex, or the adoption of smaller distance moduli by 0.12–0.18 mag, which would make the observed HBs considerably fainter than ZAHB models for the appropriate metallicities.

Note that, if one accepts the indications in Section 4.3 that a hotter model temperature scale such that V69 has T_{eff} very close to 5900 K is more appropriate, then we would obtain nearly perfect agreement with the [VBLC13](#) results for the 47 Tuc age and distance modulus (on the zero-point of [Sarajedini et al. 2007](#)) but not for its metallicity. A higher $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ value (i.e. $\gtrsim -0.70$, for which there has been some observational support over the years; e.g. [Carretta et al. 2004](#); [Alves-Brito et al. 2005](#); [Wylie et al. 2006](#)) is suggested by the binary constraints. It is obviously extremely important to discover and analyse eclipsing binaries in other metal-rich GCs in order to determine whether they also suggest a revision to the cluster $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ scale, which could have some ramifications for the slope of the age–metallicity relation.

7 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

We have presented for the first time a self-consistent isochrone fitting method to GC CMDs and an eclipsing binary member. 47 Tuc was found to be older than 10.4 Gyr at the 3σ level, and likely significantly older. For our best estimate of the model T_{eff} scale, we obtain an age of 11.8 Gyr (assuming $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.7$, $[\alpha/\text{Fe}] = +0.4$, $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}] = +0.6$), which is favoured by the observed mass–luminosity relation of the binary. On the other hand, if equal weight is given to the observed radii as to the observed masses, and the T_{eff} scale of the standard model grid is trustworthy, then the best age estimate rises to 12.5 Gyr, or even 12.75–13.0 Gyr if Y is very close to the primordial He abundance.

Consistency between our best estimate age and that from the WD cooling sequence is automatically obtained since adopting the distance modulus from our analysis in a WD analysis results in a WD age ($11.43^{+0.4}_{-0.2}$ Gyr using results from [Campos et al. 2016](#) or ~ 12 Gyr from [García-Berro et al. 2014](#)) in agreement with the age from our analysis.

Our work indicates a preference for a multiple-population scenario with the eclipsing binary V69 belonging to the lowest- Y population with high oxygen, $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}] \sim +0.60$, and low helium content,

$Y \sim 0.250$. We inferred an upper limit to the helium mass fraction of $Y \lesssim 0.278$ for V69.

Our conclusions regarding the composition and the precise age of 47 Tuc are very dependent on the helium content and the model T_{eff} scale, which is 50–75 K cooler than our best estimate but within measurement uncertainties. Therefore, the potential impact on the GC relative ages and age–metallicity relation derived by [VBLC13](#) remains uncertain until our procedure can be repeated for a number of GCs and/or geometrical GC distances can be determined.

The analysis of 47 Tuc can be improved in several ways. As already mentioned by [Thompson et al. \(2010\)](#), the uncertainty of the mass measurements of the V69 components can be decreased by 33 per cent by doubling the existing number of radial velocity measurements, thereby leading to stronger constraints. Furthermore, if enough spectra of sufficient S/N can be gathered, then the effective temperatures of the V69 components can be directly derived from disentangled spectra, also improving the constraint on their effective temperatures which is important for determining the correct distance and T_{eff} scale for the models. Such an analysis could also reveal which population V69 belongs to by measuring, e.g. its Na and O abundances.

Perhaps even more interesting is the possibility of adding an additional eclipsing member to the analysis. A very suitable eclipsing SB2 system, E32, quite similar to V69 has already been identified and suggested for further observation and analysis by [Kaluzny et al. \(2013\)](#). Having two additional points in the mass–radius diagram, and two more distance measures, would tighten the constraints significantly.

Although one of the strengths of the method is that the age estimate is insensitive to the true distance, there is still much additional benefit in knowing the true distance to the cluster, especially given the significant span of distances derived from various methods or even from our method alone when varying the chemical composition and/or input physics. Therefore, a potential precision distance measurement from the *Gaia* mission ([Pancino, Bellazzini & Marinoni 2013](#); [Gaia Collaboration 2016](#); [Pancino et al. 2017](#)) would be of benefit to tighten the constraints – not only on the distance but also on the effective temperature scale of the models when combined with the binary measurements.

The full potential of the method presented will be reached when applied to a larger sample of GCs with eclipsing binary members since this will allow much more precise relative GC ages than presently available and enable a detailed study of the T_{eff} scale of current and future stellar models. Due to the large effort that is needed to find and analyse eclipsing systems in GCs, this has only been done for a small number of these objects, so far all within the CASE project ([Kaluzny et al. 2015](#)). These known systems will benefit from a reanalysis using our method, but for some cases, *HST* photometry for both the cluster and the eclipsing system – a key ingredient for the method – is not available. Thus, future efforts should focus on obtaining *HST* photometry for these known systems while finding and analysing eclipsing binaries in more GCs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank Luca Casagrande for useful discussions on interstellar reddening. We thank P. Stetson, J. Kaluzny and E. García-Berro for quick and useful responses to inquiries related to this work.

Funding for the Stellar Astrophysics Centre is provided by The Danish National Research Foundation (grant DNRF106). The research was supported by the ASTERISK project (ASTERoseis-

mic Investigations with SONG and Kepler) funded by the European Research Council (grant agreement no.: 267864). KB acknowledges support from the Villum Foundation and the Carlsberg Foundation. A Discovery Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada supported the contribution to this project by DAV. APM acknowledges support by the Australian Research Council through the Discovery Early Career Researcher Awards DE150101816.

Some of the data presented in this paper were obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). STScI is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555. Support for MAST for non-HST data is provided by the NASA Office of Space Science via grant NNX09AF08G and by other grants and contracts.

The Digitized Sky Surveys were produced at the Space Telescope Science Institute under U.S. Government grant NAG W-2166. The images of these surveys are based on photographic data obtained using the Oschin Schmidt Telescope on Palomar Mountain and the UK Schmidt Telescope. The plates were processed into the present compressed digital form with the permission of these institutions.

REFERENCES

- Alves-Brito A. et al., 2005, *A&A*, 435, 657
- Anderson J., 2007, Technical report, Instrument Science Report for ACS/WFC 2007-08, STScI, Baltimore
- Anderson J., Bedin L. R., 2010, *PASP*, 122, 1035
- Anderson J., King I. R., 2006, Technical report, PSFs, Photometry, and Astronomy for the ACS/WFC, STScI, Baltimore
- Anderson J. et al., 2008, *AJ*, 135, 2055
- Anderson J., Piotto G., King I. R., Bedin L. R., Guhathakurta P., 2009, *ApJ*, 697, L58
- Asplund M., Grevesse N., Sauval A. J., Scott P., 2009, *ARA&A*, 47, 481
- Bedin L. R., Cassisi S., Castelli F., Piotto G., Anderson J., Salaris M., Momany Y., Pietrinferni A., 2005, *MNRAS*, 357, 1038
- Bedin L. R. et al., 2013, *Astron. Nachr.*, 334, 1062
- Bergbusch P. A., Stetson P. B., 2009, *AJ*, 138, 1455
- Brogaard K., Bruntt H., Grundahl F., Clausen J. V., Frandsen S., Vandenberg D. A., Bedin L. R., 2011, *A&A*, 525, A2
- Brogaard K. et al., 2012, *A&A*, 543, A106
- Campos F. et al., 2016, *MNRAS*, 456, 3729
- Cannon R. D., 1974, *MNRAS*, 167, 551
- Carretta E., Gratton R. G., Bragaglia A., Bonifacio P., Pasquini L., 2004, *A&A*, 416, 925
- Carretta E., Bragaglia A., Gratton R., D'Orazi V., Lucatello S., 2009, *A&A*, 508, 695
- Casagrande L., Vandenberg D. A., 2014, *MNRAS*, 444, 392
- Casagrande L., Ramírez I., Meléndez J., Bessell M., Asplund M., 2010, *A&A*, 512, A54
- Cayrel R. et al., 2004, *A&A*, 416, 1117
- Cordero M. J., Pilachowski C. A., Johnson C. I., McDonald I., Zijlstra A. A., Simmerer J., 2014, *ApJ*, 780, 94
- Crawford D. L., Snowden M. S., 1975, *PASP*, 87, 561
- di Criscienzo M., Ventura P., D'Antona F., Milone A., Piotto G., 2010, *MNRAS*, 408, 999
- Dobrovolskas V. et al., 2014, *A&A*, 565, A121
- Gaia Collaboration et al., 2016, *A&A*, 595, A1
- García-Berro E., Torres S., Althaus L. G., Miller Bertolami M. M., 2014, *A&A*, 571, A56
- Gratton R. G. et al., 2013, *A&A*, 549, A41
- Grevesse N., Sauval A. J., 1998, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 85, 161
- Hansen B. M. S. et al., 2013, *Nature*, 500, 51
- Harris W. E., 1996, *AJ*, 112, 1487
- Hartwick F. D. A., Hesser J. E., 1974, *ApJ*, 194, L129
- Hesser J. E., Philip A. G. D., 1976, *PASP*, 88, 89
- Hesser J. E., Harris W. E., Vandenberg D. A., Allwright J. W. B., Shott P., Stetson P. B., 1987, *PASP*, 99, 739
- Johnson C. I. et al., 2015, *AJ*, 149, 71
- Kaluzny J., Kubiak M., Szymanski M., Udalski A., Krzeminski W., Mateo M., Stanek K. Z., 1998, *A&AS*, 128, 19
- Kaluzny J., Rozyczka M., Pych W., Krzeminski W., Zloczewski K., Narloch W., Thompson I. B., 2013, *Acta Astron.*, 63, 309
- Kaluzny J., Thompson I. B., Dotter A., Rozyczka M., Schwarzenberg-Czerny A., 2015, *AJ*, 150, 155
- Koch A., McWilliam A., 2008, *AJ*, 135, 1551
- Lapenna E., Mucciarelli A., Lanzoni B., Ferraro F. R., Dalessandro E., Origlia L., Massari D., 2014, *ApJ*, 797, 124
- Leaman R., Vandenberg D. A., Mendel J. T., 2013, *MNRAS*, 436, 122
- Lee S.-W., 1977, *A&AS*, 27, 381
- Lucatello S., Sollima A., Gratton R., Vesperini E., D'Orazi V., Carretta E., Bragaglia A., 2015, *A&A*, 584, A52
- Magic Z., Weiss A., Asplund M., 2015, *A&A*, 573, A89
- Marino A. F. et al., 2016, *MNRAS*, 459, 610
- Milone A. P. et al., 2012, *ApJ*, 744, 58
- Molenda-Žakowicz J., Brogaard K., Niemczura E., Bergemann M., Frasca A., Arentoft T., Grundahl F., 2014, *MNRAS*, 445, 2446
- Mosumgaard J., Aguirre V. S., Weiss A., Christensen-Dalsgaard J., Trampedach R., 2016, preprint ([arXiv:1610.07323](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.07323))
- Munari U., Zwitter T., 1997, *A&A*, 318, 269
- Origlia L., Rood R. T., Fabbri S., Ferraro F. R., Fusi Pecci F., Rich R. M., 2007, *ApJ*, 667, L85
- Pancino E., Bellazzini M., Marinoni S., 2013, *Mem. Soc. Astron. Ital.*, 84, 83
- Pancino E., Bellazzini M., Giuffrida G., Marinoni S., 2017, *MNRAS*, preprint ([arXiv:1701.03003](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.03003))
- Pereira T. M. D., Asplund M., Collet R., Thaler I., Trampedach R., Leenaarts J., 2013, *A&A*, 554, A118
- Rood R. T., Crocker D. A., 1985, in Danziger I. J., Matteucci F., Kjar K., eds, *Eur. South. Obs. Conf. Workshop Proc. Vol. 21. European Southern Observatory, Garching*, p. 61
- Salaris M., Cassisi S., Pietrinferni A., 2016, *A&A*, 590, A64
- Sarajedini A. et al., 2007, *AJ*, 133, 1658
- Schlafly E. F., Finkbeiner D. P., 2011, *ApJ*, 737, 103
- Schlegel D. J., Finkbeiner D. P., Davis M., 1998, *ApJ*, 500, 525
- Stetson P. B., 2000, *PASP*, 112, 925
- Thompson I. B., Kaluzny J., Rucinski S. M., Krzeminski W., Pych W., Dotter A., Burley G. S., 2010, *AJ*, 139, 329
- Thygesen A. O. et al., 2014, *A&A*, 572, A108
- Torres G., 2010, *AJ*, 140, 1158
- Ubeda L., Kozhurina-Platais V., Bedin L. R., 2013, Technical report, ACS/WFC Geometric Distortion: a Time Dependency Study. STScI, Baltimore
- Vandenberg D. A., Edvardsson B., Eriksson K., Gustafsson B., 2008, *ApJ*, 675, 746
- Vandenberg D. A., Bergbusch P. A., Dotter A., Ferguson J. W., Michaud G., Richer J., Proffitt C. R., 2012, *ApJ*, 755, 15
- Vandenberg D. A., Brogaard K., Leaman R., Casagrande L., 2013, *ApJ*, 775, 134 (VBL13)
- Vandenberg D. A., Bergbusch P. A., Ferguson J. W., Edvardsson B., 2014, *ApJ*, 794, 72
- Vandenberg D. A., Denissenkov P. A., Catelan M., 2016, *ApJ*, 827, 2
- Watkins L. L., van der Marel R. P., Bellini A., Anderson J., 2015, *ApJ*, 812, 149
- Weldrake D. T. F., Sackett P. D., Bridges T. J., Freeman K. C., 2004, *AJ*, 128, 736
- Woodley K. A. et al., 2012, *AJ*, 143, 50
- Wylie E. C., Cottrell P. L., Sneden C. A., Lattanzio J. C., 2006, *ApJ*, 649, 248

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.