

WORKING PAPER 08-21



WP

Linda Bell, Nina Smith, Valdemar Smith and Mette Verner

Gender differences in promotion into top-management jobs

Department of Economics

ISBN 9788778823830 (print)

ISBN 9788778823847 (online)

Gender differences in promotion into top-management jobs

Linda Bell
Nina Smith
Valdemar Smith
&
Mette Verner

JEL: J7, J3

Keywords: Promotion process, gender differences, top management

Abstract: In this paper the promotion process of top executive officers (CEOs) in Danish private firms is analysed. The main research question to be analysed is whether the lower chances for women to become promoted into top management jobs are mainly attributable to individual background characteristics and special focus is given to the effects of family related variables. The descriptive statistics suggest that the family background (marital status, number of children, spouse labour force participation, education and occupation) differs substantially by gender of individuals in top management. Furthermore, we will try to detect whether women in women-led companies are more likely to be promoted than women in firms managed by men only. The regression results show that the child variables have different effects for women (none) than for men (positive). This is interpreted as evidence of statistical discrimination of women, as the (potential) negative effect of children and parental leave behaviour is included in the constant term and hence applies to all women in the pool of potentials. Furthermore, males' career opportunities are declining if the wife is working, whereas the women's careers are only affected if their husbands have a high level occupation. The results also suggest that women employed in women-led firms are more likely to be promoted than in the case of not women-led firms.

1. Introduction

During the latest decade, there has been an increasing focus on the gender of top executives and boards of directors of firms. The proportion of women reaching top positions is still very low in Denmark as in many other countries, including other Scandinavian countries. As a consequence, it is debated whether governments should regulate the gender composition of the boards of directors. In fact such rules exist in Norway, where the government has decided that at least 40% of the boards of directors of private larger firms must be women - in order to improve equal opportunities.

According to Smith et al. (2006), 4.3% of the CEOs in the largest Danish private firms were women in 2001. When extending the top management category to include vice-directors, this figure increases to 10.9%. Furthermore Smith et al. (2006, 2008) find empirical evidence that the proportion of females on executive board and board of directors has either no effect or a significantly positive effect on the performance of the largest Danish firms during the 1990s and beginning of the new century.

In this paper the promotion process of top executive officers (CEOs) in Danish private firms is analysed. The main research questions to be analysed are whether the lower chances for women to become promoted into top management jobs is mainly attributable to individual background characteristics and whether women pays a 'price of children' with respect to forgone promotion chances? Thus the next section discusses the existing literature on occupational segregation, promotion and selection into top management jobs. The following section deals with the data used in the empirical part of the paper. Section 4 brings in the empirical model to be used in our analysis and subsequently the estimation results of the promotion model are presented in section 5. Section 6 concludes.

2. Existing evidence.

There exists a large literature on occupational segregation, promotion and the selection into top-management jobs. Some mainly sociological theories focus on organizational structures, internal labour market and institutional factors, see for instance Jacobs (1989) and Beckman and Phillips (2005), in order to explain how observed discriminatory behaviour of firms. Other studies within the management literature describes the different factors which prevents women to obtain top position within the firm by different factors like entrepreneurial culture and firm specific norms, a number of factors which are often summarized in the notion of an invisible 'glass ceiling' in firms, see the survey in Meyerson and Fletcher (2000).

In this paper our starting point is an economic modelling of promotion behaviour in the firm. There are a number of economic theories on occupational differences between seemingly identical types of workers and also on the existence of permanent pay gaps between these groups, see the survey in Gibbons and Waldman (1999). One typical explanation is discrimination which can be defined as different treatment of workers from different groups based solely on group membership rather than on the workers' productivity, see the pioneering work in Becker (1957). According to Becker, discrimination is due to taste-based preferences against certain groups, women, minorities etc.

However, the Becker discrimination theory suffers from several problems. One is that it does not explain permanent discrimination against a given minority group, for instance women. Firms owned by individuals without negative taste preferences against the minority group will in the long run outperform discriminating firms by employing the more cheap labour from the minority group, or in our case, by hiring female executive officers, since these non-discriminating firms are able to get the same qualification at a lower price.

An alternative to the classical taste-based discrimination theories are theories based on information asymmetries and statistical discrimination. Imprecise knowledge about the productivity of young women or their career preferences may lead to systematic underestimation of the productivity of this group. The consequence is that women end up in flatter career profiles and are observed to have lower wages than their male peers, see the classical article in Phelps (1972) and Coate and Loury (1993).

These extensions of the classical economic discrimination theories have been formalized in a model on firm promotion by Milgrom and Oster (1987). They assume that individuals belonging to a minority group often have invisible abilities. Milgrom and Oster show that in the case of invisible abilities in a minority group, the average wages will be lower for the minority group, and a smaller proportion of the group will become promoted. Even in cases where the firm 'discovers' the true ability of a minority member (for instance a woman in a lower job level, i.e. the pool of potential CEOs), for instance because the woman is employed in the firm in more periods, the firm may have an incentive not to promote the person because promotion will make the abilities of the woman visible to other firms. These firms may hire the woman at a higher wage. Thus, the firm can earn a rent from not promoting women within the firm, and since the woman has fewer outside opportunities due to the invisibility of her abilities, she is likely to stay in the firm.

Another group of promotion theories are based on the work by Lazear and Rosen (1990). Lazear and Rosen assume that women in higher job levels have relative superior opportunities in non-

market activities due to a higher productivity in household production compared to their male peers. Even though these women may be as qualified as men they will not be promoted to the same extent or earn as much as their male peers, because of these relative comparative advantages in home production which may induce women to have higher turnover rates, and thus, imply higher costs to the firms in case they are hired in CEO positions. However, for women who are eventually promoted, the observed average wage growth will according to the Lazear-Rosen model be higher than for male CEOs.

In a more recent paper, Booth et al. (2003), generalize or combine the existing models by Lazear-Rosen, Milgrom-Oster, and others. Booth et al. women and men in career tracks are assumed to be treated differently by the firm because women typically have less outside job offers. Booth et al. show that women may have higher or lower chances of becoming promoted, and in the first case, female wage increases will be lower compared to men subsequent upon promotion, while the opposite happens in the second case. Empirical evidence is needed in order to determine the exact career profiles and factors determining these profiles.

There exists a large empirical literature on the factors determining promotion within the firm, see for instance the survey in Gibbons and Waldman (1999). However, when it comes to studies focusing on gender differences in the promotion process into top positions (CEOs), and gender differences in earnings among top executives, the empirical evidence is scarce.

The empirical results concerning the promotion rates show a clear tendency that when controlling for other observed factors, women are less likely to get promoted in private firms, see for instance McCue (1996) and Cobb-Clark (2001). When focusing solely on promotion into top executive we know of no studies which directly estimate promotion probabilities. However, a number of international studies describe the gender distribution of CEOs, typically in larger firms. Bell (2005) finds 4.5% of top executives to be female in 2200 large US firms. Other studies focus in e.g. Fortune 500 firms in the US or listed firms, see the survey in Smith et al. (2006). Though it is rather difficult to compare the figures for different countries because of different ways in collecting the firms, the general impression from Smith et al. (2005) is that – somewhat surprisingly – the Scandinavian countries are lagging behind for instance the US with respect to getting women promoted into top executive positions.

There are a number of studies which focus on the earnings of newly hired CEOs, i.e. wage growth after promotion, and the earnings of the total group of CEOs, including CEOs with many years of tenure within a given position as CEOs. According to the theories described above, the implications

with respect to gender differences in wage growth after a promotion were indeterminate. Also the existing empirical evidence is mixed. McCue (1996) finds no difference between wage growth for (white) men and women upon promotion, while Cobb-Clark (2001) finds that women who are promoted experience a higher wage growth than male colleagues after promotion. However, the opposite results are found in Hersch and Viscusi (1996) and Booth et al. (2003). As noted in Cobb-Clark (2001) many of the studies (except her own) analysing wage growth due to promotion do not control for the potential endogeneity of promotion, and thus these results may be biased (upwards), simply because of endogeneity, see the section on methods below.

A few studies have analysed the earning gap between CEOs. For Denmark, Lausten (2001) finds a large raw gender gap among CEOs in a sample of the largest Danish firms. However, when controlling for a number of observable factors, virtually all of the gender wage gap is 'explained'. However, as noted by Lausten (2001), a number of these observed factors which are controlled for may in itself reflect discriminatory processes. A study by Bertrand and Hallock (2001) on the earnings of US CEOs finds parallel results, i.e. virtually all of the gender wage differential is 'explained' by observed factors. Bell (2005), finds that a significant gender gap exists also when controlling for a number of observables in a large recent sample of US firms. She also finds that the salaries of female executives (and also the likelihood of observing women among top executives) are significantly higher in women-led firms.

None of above studies control for the selection into the position as CEO. If women are promoted into CEO positions to a smaller extent than men, as theory predicts, those women who are observed in the position as CEOs are expected to be systematically more able or productive than male CEOs. In order to control for this selectivity, it is necessary to observe employees before they become promoted, i.e. to have access to data on 'the pool of potential candidates for promotion' within the firm (or outside the firm) and estimate a simultaneous model of CEO earnings and the probability of being a CEO, see the section on methods below.

3. Data

The data used in this project comes from a panel of large Danish firms observed during the period 1993-2003.¹ The data set is selected from registers in Statistics Denmark. The information on firms is merged with individual information on the employees of the firm, including information on background characteristics the CEOs and their spouses. Furthermore the register information from

¹ See Smith, Smith and Verner (2008). This data set was constructed in order to analyse the potential effects on firm performance of female CEOs and female board members.

the administrative registers is merged with account information from a private Danish data register KOB (*Købmandsstandens Oplysningsbureau*), which also gives information on the members of the board of directors.

The sample is selected from the administrative registers as the 5000 largest Danish firms, defined by total assets. We restrict the sample to firms which are observed at least 5 years during the gross period. Furthermore, noting that Denmark is a relatively small country with many small and medium sized firms the final data set used in the empirical analysis includes firms with more than 50 employees. i.e. we end up having an unbalanced panel of about 1500 firms.

Based on this selection of firms, we further select all the managers and potential managers who are observed as being employed at least one year in the selected firms during the period 1993-2003. The data set includes information on boards of directors as well as the CEOs. In this study the concept of managers (CEOs) is different from earlier Danish Studies, where occupational codes normally were used to identify the CEOs. In line with a number of US studies, see e.g. Bell (2005) we use the annual salary to identify the managers. Thus persons getting the highest salaries are assumed to be the persons who are the CEOs.


The most narrow definition of CEO's includes only the person with the highest wage, which is interpreted as *the* CEO of the firm (CEO-I). However, many firms have a leadership by a group of CEOs. Therefore we also expand the definition of management to include vice-directors as defined by the top 5 in the wage distribution. Thus managers and potential managers are defined as:

- CEO-I: Executive director = The person with the highest earning within the firm.
- CEO-II: Vice-directors = The top 5 persons in the earnings distribution
- Pot-CEO: Pool of potential managers = Employees outside top 5 earnings, but at the same time persons with qualifications and occupations which means they are at 'risk' for getting promoted as CEOs.²

² According to the occupational codes used by the Statistics Denmark 'DISCO-codes' CEO-I=Executive director. CEO-I and CEO-II=Vice-directors are DISCO code 1 persons. In accordance with that Pot-CEO=Potential managers, DISCO belongs to Disco code 2,3 and is above Disco code 4.

Figure 1 shows the share of female directors, CEO I and CEO II. While the former has been relatively stable over the time-period, since the late 1990s the share of female Vice Directors has increased continuously.

Figure 1. Share of female Executive directors (CEO I) and Vice-directors (CEO II), 1994-2003



The selected employees, i.e. CEOs and potential CEOs are followed in the registers for the full period 1993-2003. This means that individuals are also included in the sample, before they become employed in one of the selected firms, and furthermore these persons are followed even if they leave the firms. Note that our sample consist of both firm information and information on individuals (managers and candidates for becoming promoted as a manager) as well as relevant information for the family situation of the individuals. This allows us to separate out firms/industry specific factors as well as individual/family specific factors. Table 1 gives means statistics of the variables used in the analysis

Table 1. Sample descriptions

	Mean	Std. Deviation
Individual Characteristics		
Woman (0/1)	0,218	0,413
No education	0,097	0,296
High School	0,064	0,244
Vocational education	0,373	0,484
Short further education	0,100	0,300
Medium length education	0,227	0,419
Long further education	0,140	0,347
Unemployed last year (0/1)	0,031	0,174
Work Experience	19,031	9,344
Partner (0/1)	0,812	0,391
Accumulated leave (days)	3,507	31,093
Accumulated leave (0/1)	0,042	0,200
No. Of children	1,448	1,081
Child aged 0-3 (0/1)	0,153	0,360
Child aged 4-10 (0/1)	0,270	0,444
Child aged 11-18 (0/1)	0,219	0,414

CEO	0,000	0,022
Top 1-5	0,012	0,107
Firm Characteristics		
Female CEO in firm	0,016	0,126
Female in top 1-5 in firm	0,037	0,189
Woman in firm with a female CEO	0,006	0,074
Woman in firm with a female in top 1-5	0,012	0,110
Manufacturing	0,372	0,483
Energy	0,005	0,069
Construction	0,035	0,185
Trade	0,184	0,387
Transportation	0,050	0,219
Finance	0,354	0,478
Ln(No. Of employees)	6,580	1,478
Spouse Characteristics		
Sp Work experience	12,242	9,715
No education	0,135	0,341
High School	0,057	0,232
Vocational education	0,320	0,466
Short education	0,053	0,224
Medium length education	0,176	0,380
Long further education	0,072	0,258
High level salaried worker	0,174	0,363
Low level salaried worker	0,218	0,413
Skilled worker	0,223	0,416
Unskilled worker	0,038	0,191
N	804593	

4. Empirical model

The models applied in this study are chosen in order to focus on the dynamic process by estimating the probability of becoming a top 5 manager. The simple approach most often used in the econometric literature is to model the promotion probabilities, by estimating probit or logit models. Hence, we estimate probit models of the probability of becoming promoted in a given year, from the pool of potentials to the top 5.

The model for the transition from the pool of potentials into top 5 is given as:

$$P(R_{it} = 1 | R_{it-1} = 0, X_{it-1}) = \Phi(\beta X_{it-1})$$

Where R denotes the rank of the individual (R=1 if top 5 manager an R=0 otherwise), X_{it-1} is a matrix of individual specific covariates measured at time t-1, and Φ is the cumulative normal distribution. In order to be included in the estimations, individuals must be observed two subsequent years.

The explanatory variables (X) consist of standard human capital variables (education, work experience etc), demographic characteristics (age, marital status, children) and firm characteristics (firm size, industry codes). Furthermore, the individual information includes information on accumulated duration of previous parental leaves, which potentially may affect promotion probabilities differently for women and men.

In addition to individual characteristics, the unique nature of the register based information, allows us to include information on the spouses of the individuals. Hence, we can test whether the marital behaviour influence the individual careers. E.g. whether a high education or occupation of the spouse, increases or decreases the likelihood of promotion of the individual.

Another remarkable advantage of the dataset is that the gender of the CEO of the employing company of the individual is observed. Hence, we can identify, whether female CEOs are more likely to promote people, and eventually more likely to promote women, than their male counterparts in other companies.

5. Results

In Table 2 the marginal effects from the probit models are presented for women and men, respectively. In the lower part of the table, it can be seen that the “baseline” promotion probability for males is 0.010 and for women it is 0.002. Hence, before including the individual characteristics the likelihood of promotion for men is 5 times that of women. This (or part of this) can be interpreted as reflecting discrimination of women, but can also include unobservable factors that differ systematically across gender.

For both men and women the education variables have significant impact on the likelihood of promotion. Having a vocational or short further education decreases the likelihood of promotion, whereas having a long education increases the likelihood of promotion (compared to having upper secondary school as the highest education level). The marginal effect of having experienced unemployment in the previous year is negative for both women and men, however the size of the effect is substantially higher for men.

The results for the family characteristics differ across gender. None of the included variables have a significant impact on the promotion probabilities of the women, which may be surprising. For males, having a partner (cohabiting or formally married) and having children increases the promotion probabilities significantly. This is in line with previous research on both promotion and wages, finding that family fathers tend to get positive returns to these characteristics. The effect of having taken parental leave (0/1 variable), however is negative, whereas the duration effect is positive within the relevant range (up to 1300 days).

The fact that the family characteristics have no effects for women can be explained within the theory of statistical discrimination. It is not the actual family characteristics and behaviour that affects promotion, however this is the case for males. Instead, the general level of the promotion probability is lower for *all* women.

The age profiles, for both males and females are inversely U-shaped with a declining probability of promotion in the relevant age interval.

Table 2. Estimation results. Probit models for promotion into top 5 positions

	Women		Men	
	Marginal effect	Std. Dev.	Marginal effect	Std. Dev.
Individual Characteristics				
High School	0.0015	0.0006	0.0055	0.0008
Vocational education	-0.0005	0.0003	-0.0009	0.0004
Short further education	-0.0006	0.0003	-0.0021	0.0005
Medium length education	0.0004	0.0004	0.0064	0.0006
Long further education	0.0017	0.0005	0.0109	0.0008
Unemployed last year (0/1)	-0.0008	0.0003	-0.0029	0.0006
Partner (0/1)	-0.0002	0.0004	0.0031	0.0004
Accumulated leave (days)	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0002	0.0001
Accumulated leave -squared	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Leave (0/1)	0.0012	0.0016	-0.0020	0.0010
No. Of children	-0.0001	0.0001	0.0015	0.0002
Child aged 0-3 (0/1)	-0.0001	0.0003	0.0004	0.0004
Child aged 4-10 (0/1)	-0.0001	0.0002	0.0004	0.0003
Child aged 11-18 (0/1)	0.0003	0.0003	-0.0002	0.0003
Age	0.0004	0.0001	0.0022	0.0001
Age Squared	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Firm Characteristics				
Female CEO in firm	0.0040	0.0009	0.0016	0.0010
Energy	-	-	-0.0079	0.0005
Construction	0.0054	0.0016	0.0033	0.0007
Trade	0.0009	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003
Transportation	0.0016	0.0007	0.0016	0.0006
Finance	-0.0012	0.0002	-0.0046	0.0002
ln(No. Of employees)	-0.0018	-0.0001	-0.0072	0.0001
Spouse Characteristics				
High School	0.0000	0.0005	0.0025	0.0007
Vocational education	0.0004	0.0004	0.0017	0.0004
Short education	0.0002	0.0005	0.0020	0.0006
Medium length education	0.0005	0.0005	0.0006	0.0005
Long further education	0.0008	0.0005	0.0012	0.0007
High level salaried worker	-0.0006	0.0003	-0.0017	0.0004
Low level salaried worker	-0.0003	0.0003	-0.0015	0.0003
Skilled worker	-0.0005	0.0003	-0.0021	0.0003
Unskilled worker	-0.0001	0.0005	-0.0041	0.0005
Baseline (const)	0.002		0.010	
Log likelihood	-4895		-47370	
N	174737		629025	

Note: Bold letters indicate significance at the 5% level. Year specific indicators are included in all models.

The effects of the firm characteristics show that being a woman in the construction sector increases the probability more than it does for males, and that individuals in finance generally have a lower promotion probability (reference category: manufacturing). However, this negative effect of being employed in finance appears to be (numerically) smaller for women than for males.

As previously described, the unique nature of the data, allows us to identify the gender of the CEO in all the observed firms. Hence, we know whether the observed individuals work in a “women-led” firm. The regression results show that for women being employed in a women-led firm increases the probability of being promoted, which is not the case for men. Looking also at the size of the marginal effect (0.004), shows that it is a substantial increase in the promotion probability. This result is in line with what is found in Bell (2005)³.

Another advantage of the data is that spouse characteristics can also be included in the regression. Generally we see that these have a very low explanatory power for women, whereas most come out significant for men. The general conclusion that can be drawn from these is that for males, having a wife with a relatively short education tends to increase the likelihood of promotion, whereas a longer education has no significant effect. Generally, having a working wife decreases the promotion probability for males, whereas for women, it is only if the husband has a high level occupation that her promotion probability is decreased. Generally, this can be interpreted as evidence that the promotion likelihood of double working career families are lower (especially for men) and that women’s opportunities for having a top-position decreases mainly if the husband has a relatively high position as well. Hence, the opportunities of individuals in double career families are less favourable and this can reflect that balancing work and family life in these families has some costs in terms of career prospects.

6. Conclusion

Only 2-3% of the CEOs and less than 7% of the top 5 managers are women. Thus it seems relevant to ask why there are so few female managers? Some studies focus on the actual gender composition of the board of the firms but these kinds of analysis describe the actual gender distribution of the managers in a static way by ignoring the promotion processes into being a top manager. Therefore in this paper the process of becoming top executive officers (CEOs) in Danish private firms is

³ Furthermore, when the gender differences in top executive salaries are investigated, a substantial gain is found for women employed in women-led firms. This is also in line with the results in Bell (2005).

analysed, i.e. whether there are lower chances for women than men to become promoted into top management jobs and whether firm specific factors or individual background characteristics plays a significant role.

By using a large data set covering the period 2003-2003 with more than 800.000 time-observations included we use a probit model for describing the transition from belonging to the pool of potentials to become a manager in the top 5 hierarchy of CEOs. Overall the results indicate that men have a factor 5 larger probability of becoming promoted to a top 5 job as compared to women. On the other hand, if the CEO is a woman, potential female candidates seem to have a significantly larger probability of becoming a top 5 manager.

Potential important individual factors like parental leave the number and age of the children and other family factors does not seem to be an important factor for the promotion opportunities for women (in contrast to men) but this may be due to statistical discrimination, i.e. the promotion probability for all women is lower than for men.

Finally males' career opportunities are declining if the wife is working, whereas the women's careers are affected only if their husbands have a high level occupation.

References

Beckman, C. M. and D. J. Phillips (2005), Interorganizational Determinants of Promotion: Client Leadership and the Attainment of Women Attorneys, *American Sociological Review*, 70(4), 678-701.

Becker, G. (1957): *The economics of discrimination*, University of Chicago Press

Bell, L. (2005), Women-Led Firms and the Gender Wage Gap in Top Executive Jobs, IZA Discussion Paper no. 1689.

Bertrand, M. and K. Hallock (2001), The Gender Gap in Top Corporate Jobs, *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, vol. 55, 3-21.

Booth, A., M. Francesconi, and J. Frank (2003), A sticky floors model of promotion, pay, and gender, *European Economic Review*, vol 47: 295-322.

Coate, S. and G. Loury (1993): "[Will Affirmative-Action Policies Eliminate Negative Stereotypes?](#)", *American Economic Review* 83, 1220-40.

Cobb-Clark, D. (2001), Getting Ahead: The Determinants of and Payoffs to Internal Promotion for Young US Men and Women, Centre for Economic Policy Research, Australian National University, Discussion Paper no. 430.

Datta Gupta, N., R. Oaxaca and N. Smith (2006), Swimming Upstream, Floating Downstream: Comparing Women's Relative Wage Progress in the U.S. and Denmark, *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 59(2), 243-266.

Gibbons, R. and M. Waldman (1999), Careers in Organizations, Ch 36. in O. Ashenfelter and D. Card (eds.), *Handbook of Labor Economics*, vol 3B, Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Henrekson, M. (2004), *Vägar till ökad jämställdhet i svenskt näringsliv*, SNS forlag, Stockholm

Henrekson, M. and A. Dreber (2004), Female Career Success, Institutions, Path Dependence, and Psychology, Working paper series in Economics and Finance, No. 574, Stockholm School of Economics.

- Hersch, J. and K. Viscusi (1996), Gender differences in promotions and wages, *Industrial Relations* 35(4), 461-472.
- Jacobs, J. A. (1989), Long Term Trends in Occupational Segregation by Sex, *American Journal of Sociology*, 95:160-173.
- Lausten, M. (2001), Gender differences in managerial compensation - Evidences from Danish Data. Department of Economics, Aarhus School of Business, [Working Paper 01-4](#).
- Lazear, E. and S. Rosen (1990), Male-female wage differentials in job ladders, *Journal of Labor Economics*, vol 8: S106-S123.
- McCue, K. (1996), Promotion and Wage Growth, *Journal of Labor Economics*, vol 14:, 175-209.
- Meyerson, D.E. and J. K Fletcher (2000), A Modest Manifesto for Shattering the Glass Ceiling, *Harvard Business Review*, 127-136.
- Milgrom, P. and S. Oster (1987), Job Discrimination, market forces, and the invisibility hypothesis, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 102:453-476.
- Phelps, E. S. (1972): "[The Statistical Theory of Racism and Sexism](#)", *American Economic Review* v62, 659-61.
- Smith, N., V. Smith & M. Verner (2006): Do Women in Top Management Affect Firm Performance? A Panel Study of 2500 Danish Firms. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management* 55(7), pp. 569-593.
- Smith, N., V. Smith & M. Verner (2008): *Women in Top Management and Firm Performance*, WP 08-12, Department of Economics, Aarhus School of Business, University of Aarhus.

Department of Economics:

Skriftserie/Working Paper:

2003:

- WP 03-1 Søren Harck: Er der nu en strukturelt bestemt langsigts-ledighed i SMEC?: Phillipskurven i SMEC 99 vis-à-vis SMEC 94. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-2 Beatrice Schindler Rangvid: Evaluating Private School Quality in Denmark. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-3 Tor Eriksson: Managerial Pay and Executive Turnover in the Czech and Slovak Republics. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-4 Michael Svarer and Mette Verner: Do Children Stabilize Marriages? ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-5 Christian Bjørnskov and Gert Tinggaard Svendsen: Measuring social capital – Is there a single underlying explanation? ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-6 Vibeke Jakobsen and Nina Smith: The educational attainment of the children of the Danish ‘guest worker’ immigrants. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-7 Anders Poulsen: The Survival and Welfare Implications of Altruism When Preferences are Endogenous. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-8 Helena Skyt Nielsen and Mette Verner: Why are Well-educated Women not Full-timers? ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-9 Anders Poulsen: On Efficiency, Tie-Breaking Rules and Role Assignment Procedures in Evolutionary Bargaining. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-10 Anders Poulsen and Gert Tinggaard Svendsen: Rise and Decline of Social Capital
– Excess Co-operation in the One-Shot Prisoner’s Dilemma Game. ISSN 1397-4831.

- WP 03-11 Nabanita Datta Gupta and Amaresh Dubey: Poverty and Fertility: An Instrumental Variables Analysis on Indian Micro Data. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-12 Tor Eriksson: The Managerial Power Impact on Compensation – Some Further Evidence. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-13 Christian Bjørnskov: Corruption and Social Capital. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-14 Debashish Bhattacharjee: The Effects of Group Incentives in an Indian Firm
– Evidence from Payroll Data. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-15 Tor Eriksson och Peter Jensen: Tidsbegränsade anställningar – danska erfarenheter. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-16 Tom Coupé, Valérie Smeets and Frédéric Warzynski: Incentives, Sorting and Productivity along the Career: Evidence from a Sample of Top Economists. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-17 Jozef Koning, Patrick Van Cayseele and Frédéric Warzynski: The Effects of Privatization and Competitive Pressure on Firms' Price-Cost Margins: Micro Evidence from Emerging Economies. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-18 Urs Steiner Brandt and Gert Tinggaard Svendsen: The coalition of industrialists and environmentalists in the climate change issue. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-19 Jan Bentzen: An empirical analysis of gasoline price convergence for 20 OECD countries. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-20 Jan Bentzen and Valdemar Smith: Regional income convergence in the Scandinavian countries. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-21 Gert Tinggaard Svendsen: Social Capital, Corruption and Economic Growth: Eastern and Western Europe. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-22 Jan Bentzen and Valdemar Smith: A Comparative Study of Wine Auction Prices: Mouton Rothschild Premier Cru Classé. ISSN 1397-4831.

- WP 03-23 Peter Guldager: Folkepensionisternes incitamenter til at arbejde. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-24 Valérie Smeets and Frédéric Warzynski: Job Creation, Job Destruction and Voting Behavior in Poland. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-25 Tom Coupé, Valérie Smeets and Frédéric Warzynski: Incentives in Economic Departments: Testing Tournaments? ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-26 Erik Strøjer Madsen, Valdemar Smith and Mogens Dilling-Hansen: Industrial clusters, firm location and productivity – Some empirical evidence for Danish firms. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 03-27 Aycan Çelikaksoy, Helena Skyt Nielsen and Mette Verner: Marriage Migration: Just another case of positive assortative matching? ISSN 1397-4831.

2004:

- WP 04-1 Elina Pylkkänen and Nina Smith: Career Interruptions due to Parental Leave – A Comparative Study of Denmark and Sweden. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 04-2 Urs Steiner Brandt and Gert Tinggaard Svendsen: Switch Point and First-Mover Advantage: The Case of the Wind Turbine Industry. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 04-3 Tor Eriksson and Jaime Ortega: The Adoption of Job Rotation: Testing the Theories. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 04-4 Valérie Smeets: Are There Fast Tracks in Economic Departments? Evidence from a Sample of Top Economists. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 04-5 Karsten Bjerring Olsen, Rikke Ibsen and Niels Westergaard-Nielsen: Does Outsourcing Create Unemployment? The Case of the Danish Textile and Clothing Industry. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 04-6 Tor Eriksson and Johan Moritz Kuhn: Firm Spin-offs in Denmark 1981-2000 – Patterns of Entry and Exit. ISSN 1397-4831.

- WP 04-7 Mona Larsen and Nabanita Datta Gupta: The Impact of Health on Individual Retirement Plans: a Panel Analysis comparing Self-reported versus Diagnostic Measures. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 04-8 Christian Bjørnskov: Inequality, Tolerance, and Growth. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 04-9 Christian Bjørnskov: Legal Quality, Inequality, and Tolerance. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 04-10 Karsten Bjerring Olsen: Economic Cooperation and Social Identity: Towards a Model of Economic Cross-Cultural Integration. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 04-11 Iben Bolvig: Within- and between-firm mobility in the low-wage labour market. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 04-12 Odile Poulsen and Gert Tinggaard Svendsen: Social Capital and Market Centralisation: A Two-Sector Model. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 04-13 Aditya Goenka and Odile Poulsen: Factor Intensity Reversal and Ergodic Chaos. ISSN 1397-4831.
- WP 04-14 Jan Bentzen and Valdemar Smith: Short-run and long-run relationships in the consumption of alcohol in the Scandinavian countries.
ISBN 87-7882-010-3 (print); ISBN 87-7882-011-1 (online).
- WP 04-15 Jan Bentzen, Erik Strøjer Madsen, Valdemar Smith and Mogens Dilling-Hansen: Persistence in Corporate Performance? Empirical Evidence from Panel Unit Root Tests.
ISBN 87-7882-012-X (print); ISBN 87-7882-013-8 (online).
- WP 04-16 Anders U. Poulsen and Jonathan H.W. Tan: Can Information Backfire? Experimental Evidence from the Ultimatum Game.
ISBN 87-7882-014-6 (print); ISBN 87-7882-015-4 (online).
- WP 04-17 Werner Roeger and Frédéric Warzynski: A Joint Estimation of Price-Cost Margins and Sunk Capital: Theory and Evidence from the European Electricity Industry.
ISBN 87-7882-016-2 (print); ISBN 87-7882-017-0 (online).

WP 04-18 Nabanita Datta Gupta and Tor Eriksson: New workplace practices and the gender wage gap.
ISBN 87-7882-018-9 (print); ISBN 87-7882-019-7 (online).

WP 04-19 Tor Eriksson and Axel Werwatz: The Prevalence of Internal Labour Markets – New Evidence from Panel Data.
ISBN 87-7882-020-0 (print); ISBN 87-7882-021-9 (online).

WP 04-20 Anna Piil Damm and Michael Rosholm: Employment Effects of Dispersal Policies on Refugee Immigrants: Empirical Evidence.
ISBN 87-7882-022-7 (print); ISBN 87-7882-023-5 (online).

2005:

WP 05-1 Anna Piil Damm and Michael Rosholm: Employment Effects of Dispersal Policies on Refugee Immigrants: Theory.
ISBN 87-7882-024-3 (print); ISBN 87-7882-025-1 (online).

WP 05-2 Anna Piil Damm: Immigrants' Location Preferences: Exploiting a Natural Experiment.
ISBN 87-7882-036-7 (print); ISBN 87-7882-037-5 (online).

WP 05-3 Anna Piil Damm: The Danish Dispersal Policy on Refugee Immigrants 1986-1998: A Natural Experiment?
ISBN 87-7882-038-3 (print); ISBN 87-7882-039-1 (online).

WP 05-4 Rikke Ibsen and Niels Westergaard-Nielsen: Job Creation and Destruction over the Business Cycles and the Impact on Individual Job Flows in Denmark 1980-2001.
ISBN 87-7882-040-5 (print); ISBN 87-7882-041-3 (online).

WP 05-5 Anna Maria Kossowska, Nina Smith, Valdemar Smith and Mette Verner: Til gavn for bundlinjen – Forbedrer kvinder i topledelse og bestyrelse danske virksomheders bundlinje?
ISBN 87-7882-042-1 (print); ISBN 87-7882-043-X (online).

WP 05-6 Odile Poulsen and Gert Tinggaard Svendsen: The Long and Winding Road: Social Capital and Commuting.
ISBN 87-7882-044-8 (print); ISBN 87-7882-045-6 (online).

WP 05-7 Odile Poulsen and Gert Tinggaard Svendsen: Love Thy Neighbor: Bonding versus Bridging Trust.
ISBN 87-7882-062-6 (print); ISBN 87-7882-063-4 (online).

- WP 05-8 Christian Bjørnskov: Political Ideology and Economic Freedom.
ISBN 87-7882-064-2 (print); ISBN 87-7882-065-0 (online).
- WP 05-9 Sebastian Buhai and Coen Teulings: Tenure Profiles and Efficient Separation in a Stochastic Productivity Model.
ISBN 87-7882-066-9 (print); ISBN 87-7882-067-7 (online).
- WP 05-10 Christian Grund and Niels Westergård-Nielsen: Age Structure of the Workforce and Firm Performance.
ISBN 87-7882-068-5 (print); ISBN 87-7882-069-3 (online).
- WP 05-11 Søren Harck: AD-AS på dansk.
ISBN 87-7882-070-7 (print); ISBN 87-7882-071-5 (online).
- WP 05-12 Søren Harck: Hviler Dansk Økonomi på en Cobb-Douglas teknologi?
ISBN 87-7882-092-8 (print); ISBN 87-7882-093-6 (online).
- 2006:
- WP 06-1 Nicolai Kristensen and Edvard Johansson: New Evidence on Cross-Country Differences in Job Satisfaction Using Anchoring Vignettes.
ISBN 87-7882-094-4 (print); ISBN 87-7882-095-2 (online).
- WP 06-2 Christian Bjørnskov: How Does Social Trust Affect Economic Growth?
ISBN 87-7882-096-0 (print); ISBN 87-7882-097-9 (online).
- WP 06-3 Jan Bentzen, Erik Strøjer Madsen and Valdemar Smith: The Growth Opportunities for SMEs?
ISBN 87-7882-098-7 (print); ISBN 87-7882-099-5 (online).
- WP 06-4 Anna Piil Damm: Ethnic Enclaves and Immigrant Labour Market Outcomes: Quasi-Experimental Evidence.
ISBN 87-7882-100-2 (print); ISBN 87-7882-101-0 (online).
- WP 06-5 Svend Jespersen, Nicolai Kristensen og Lars Skipper: En kritik af VEU-udvalgets arbejde.
ISBN 87-7882-159-2 (print); ISBN 87-7882-160-6 (online).
- WP 06-6 Kræn Blume and Mette Verner: Welfare Dependency among Danish Immigrants.
ISBN 87-7882-161-4 (print); ISBN 87-7882-162-2 (online).

- WP 06-7 Jürgen Bitzer, Wolfram Schrettl and Philipp J.H. Schröder: Intrinsic Motivation versus Signaling in Open Source Software Development.
ISBN 87-7882-163-0 (print); ISBN 87-7882-164-9 (online).
- WP 06-8 Valérie Smeets, Kathryn Ierulli and Michael Gibbs: Mergers of Equals & Unequals.
ISBN 87-7882-165-7 (print); ISBN 87-7882-166-5 (online).
- WP 06-9 Valérie Smeets: Job Mobility and Wage Dynamics.
ISBN 87-7882-167-3 (print); ISBN 87-7882-168-1 (online).
- WP 06-10 Valérie Smeets and Frédéric Warzynski: Testing Models of Hierarchy: Span of Control, Compensation and Career Dynamics.
ISBN 87-7882-187-8 (print); ISBN 87-7882-188-6 (online).
- WP 06-11 Sebastian Buhai and Marco van der Leij: A Social Network Analysis of Occupational Segregation.
ISBN 87-7882-189-4 (print); ISBN 87-7882-190-8 (online).
- 2007:
- WP 07-1 Christina Bjerg, Christian Bjørnskov and Anne Holm: Growth, Debt Burdens and Alleviating Effects of Foreign Aid in Least Developed Countries.
ISBN 87-7882-191-6 (print); ISBN 87-7882-192-4 (online).
- WP 07-2 Jeremy T. Fox and Valérie Smeets: Do Input Quality and Structural Productivity Estimates Drive Measured Differences in Firm Productivity?
ISBN 87-7882-193-2 (print); ISBN 87-7882-194-0 (online).
- WP 07-3 Elisabetta Trevisan: Job Security and New Restrictive Permanent Contracts. Are Spanish Workers More Worried of Losing Their Job?
ISBN 87-7882-195-9 (print); ISBN 87-7882-196-7 (online).
- WP 07-4 Tor Eriksson and Jaime Ortega: Performance Pay and the “Time Squeeze”.
ISBN 9788778822079 (print); ISBN 9788778822086 (online).

- WP 07-5 Johan Moritz Kuhn: My Pay is Too Bad (I Quit). Your Pay is Too Good (You're Fired).
ISBN 9788778822093 (print); ISBN 9788778822109 (online).
- WP 07-6 Christian Bjørnskov: Social trust and the growth of schooling.
ISBN 9788778822116 (print); ISBN 9788778822123 (online).
- WP 07-7 Jan Bentzen and Valdemar Smith: Explaining champagne prices in Scandinavia – what is the best predictor?
ISBN 9788778822130 (print); ISBN 9788778822147 (online).
- WP 07-8 Sandra Cavaco, Jean-Michel Etienne and Ali Skalli: Identifying causal paths between health and socio-economic status: Evidence from European older workforce surveys
ISBN 9788778822154 (print); ISBN 9788778822161 (online).
- WP 07-9 Søren Harck: Long-run properties of some Danish macro-econometric models: an analytical approach.
ISBN 9788778822390 (print); ISBN 9788778822406 (online).
- WP 07-10 Takao Kato and Hideo Owan: Market Characteristics, Intra-Firm Coordination, and the Choice of Human Resource Management Systems: Evidence from New Japanese Data.
ISBN 9788778822413 (print); ISBN 9788778822420 (online).
- WP 07-11 Astrid Würtz: The Long-Term Effect on Children of Increasing the Length of Parents' Birth-Related Leave.
ISBN 9788778822437 (print); ISBN 9788778822444 (online).
- WP 07-12 Tor Eriksson and Marie-Claire Villeval: Performance Pay, Sorting and Social Motivation.
ISBN 9788778822451 (print); ISBN 9788778822468 (online).
- WP 07-13 Jane Greve: Obesity and Labor Market Outcomes: New Danish Evidence.
ISBN 9788778822475 (print); ISBN 9788778822482 (online).
- 2008:
- WP 08-1 Sebastian Buhai, Miguel Portela, Coen Teulings and Aico van Vuuren: Returns to Tenure or Seniority
ISBN 9788778822826 (print); ISBN 9788778822833 (online).

- WP 08-2 Flora Bellone, Patrick Musso, Lionel Nesta et Frédéric Warzynski: L'effet pro-concurrentiel de l'intégration européenne : une analyse de l'évolution des taux de marge dans les industries manufacturières françaises
ISBN 9788778822857 (print); ISBN 9788778822864 (online).
- WP 08-3 Erdal Yalcin: The Proximity-Concentration Trade-Off under Goods Price and Exchange Rate Uncertainty
ISBN 9788778822871 (print); ISBN 9788778822888 (online)
- WP 08-4 Elke J. Jahn and Herbert Brücker: Migration and the Wage Curve: A Structural Approach to Measure the Wage and Employment Effects of Migration
ISBN 9788778822895 (print); ISBN 9788778822901 (online)
- WP 08-5 Søren Harck: A Phillips curve interpretation of error-correction models of the wage and price dynamics
ISBN 9788778822918 (print); ISBN 9788778822925 (online)
- WP 08-6 Elke J. Jahn and Thomas Wagner: Job Security as an Endogenous Job Characteristic
ISBN 9788778823182 (print); ISBN 9788778823199 (online)
- WP 08-7 Jørgen Drud Hansen, Virmantas Kvedaras and Jørgen Ulff-Møller Nielsen: Monopolistic Competition, International Trade and Firm Heterogeneity - a Life Cycle Perspective -
ISBN 9788778823212 (print); ISBN 9788778823229 (online)
- WP 08-8 Dario Pozzoli: The Transition to Work for Italian University Graduates
ISBN 9788778823236 (print); ISBN 9788778823243 (online)
- WP 08-9 Annalisa Cristini and Dario Pozzoli: New Workplace Practices and Firm Performance: a Comparative Study of Italy and Britain
ISBN 9788778823250 (print); ISBN 9788778823267 (online)
- WP 08-10 Paolo Buonanno and Dario Pozzoli: Early Labour Market Returns to College Subjects
ISBN 9788778823274 (print); ISBN 9788778823281 (online)
- WP 08-11 Iben Bolvig: Low wage after unemployment - the effect of changes in the UI system
ISBN 9788778823441 (print); ISBN 9788778823458 (online)

- WP 08-12 Nina Smith, Valdemar Smith and Mette Verner: Women in Top Management and Firm Performance
ISBN 9788778823465 (print); ISBN 9788778823472 (online)
- WP 08-13 Sebastian Buhai, Elena Cottini and Niels Westergård-Nielsen: The impact of workplace conditions on firm performance
ISBN 9788778823496 (print); ISBN 9788778823502 (online)
- WP 08-14 Michael Rosholm: Experimental Evidence on the Nature of the Danish Employment Miracle
ISBN 9788778823526 (print); ISBN 9788778823533 (online)
- WP 08-15 Christian Bjørnskov and Peter Kurrild-Klitgaard: Economic Growth and Institutional Reform in Modern Monarchies and Republics: A Historical Cross-Country Perspective 1820-2000
ISBN 9788778823540 (print); ISBN 9788778823557 (online)
- WP 08-16 Nabanita Datta Gupta, Nicolai Kristensen and Dario Pozzoli: The Validity of Vignettes in Cross-Country Health Studies
ISBN 9788778823694 (print); ISBN 9788778823700 (online)
- WP 08-17 Anna Piil Damm and Marie Louise Schultz-Nielsen: The Construction of Neighbourhoods and its Relevance for the Measurement of Social and Ethnic Segregation: Evidence from Denmark
ISBN 9788778823717 (print); ISBN 9788778823724 (online)
- WP 08-18 Jørgen Drud Hansen and Jørgen Ulff-Møller Nielsen: Price as an Indicator for Quality in International Trade?
ISBN 9788778823731 (print); ISBN 9788778823748 (online)
- WP 08-19 Elke J. Jahn and John Wegner: Do Targeted Hiring Subsidies and Profiling Techniques Reduce Unemployment?
ISBN 9788778823755 (print); ISBN 9788778823762 (online)
- WP 08-20 Flora Bellone, Patrick Musso, Lionel Nesta and Frederic Warzynski: Endogenous Markups, Firm Productivity and International Trade: Testing Some Micro-Level Implications of the Melitz-Ottaviano Model
ISBN 9788778823779 (print); ISBN 9788778823786 (online)

WP 08-21 Linda Bell, Nina Smith, Valdemar Smith and Mette Verner:
Gender differences in promotion into top-management jobs
ISBN 9788778823830 (print); ISBN 9788778823847(online)